

# PROJECT REVIEW SHEET - EZ1

## HISTORIC & CULTURAL RESOURCES REVIEW

---

**Property / Client Name:** Maynard Nearshore Restoration, 11-1314  
**Worksite Name/Number:** Maynard Nearshore (Worksite 1 of 1)  
**Funding Agency:** Rec. and Conserv. Office

---

**Project Applicant** North Olympic Salmon Coalition  
**Contact Person** Rebecca Benjamin  
**Address** 205 B W Patison St  
**City, State, Zip** Port Hadlock, WA 98339  
**Phone** (360) 379-8051  
**E-Mail** rbenjamin@nosc.org

---

### Funding Agency:

**Organization** Rec. and Conserv. Office  
**Address** PO Box 40917  
**City, State, Zip** Olympia, WA 98504-0917  
**Phone** 360-902-3000  
**Contact** Mike Ramsey, Email: mike.ramsey@rco.wa.gov

## PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TYPE OF WORK TO BE COMPLETED

(Be as detailed as possible to avoid having to provide additional information)

### Provide a detailed description of the proposed project:

The Maynard Nearshore Restoration project goal is to restore 1,800 feet of marine shoreline to improve habitat conditions for multiple species, including migrating juvenile ESA listed summer chum salmon and spawning forage fish. Habitat improvements will begin with removal of early 1900's industrial fill, shoreline armoring, a creosote railway trestle and a defunct tide gate. Through removal of a portion of old railroad grade, a one acre pocket estuary will be created where now an artificially impounded freshwater pond exists. Two acres of beach, degraded by the presence of rip rap and paved with industrial fractured rock, will be cleaned up and re-graded to a natural slope using material suitable for forage fish spawning and shellfish recruitment.

---

### Describe existing project site conditions.

Construction of a railroad grade in the early 1900's led to nearshore filling, occlusion of shoreline meanders, piping of freshwater drainages to the beach, degradation of beach conditions and introduction of industrial debris and contaminants into the nearshore waters. The placement of the railroad grade at the north end of the project site created a lagoon and associated mill pond controlled with a now defunct tide gate, a freshwater pond (the Cherry Pond) and an over-steepened, coarse beach where previously the area was mostly mudflat. WDFW owns the property for restoration and protection. Salmon use the nearshore for feeding, rearing, migration.

---

### Describe any proposed ground disturbing activities. That is, will a tool(s) be used to move earth (soil, rock, gra

Backhoes, excavators, cranes, bulldozers, shovels, pick axe.  
Greater detail is provided in the narrative.

---

# PROJECT REVIEW SHEET - EZ1

## HISTORIC & CULTURAL RESOURCES REVIEW

---

**Will buildings be altered or demolished? If so please complete a DAHP Determination of Eligibility EZ2 form for each building affected by the proposed project and attach the form to your project in PRISM. <http://www.dahp.wa.gov/pages/Documents/Sites.htm>**

No

# PROJECT REVIEW SHEET - EZ1

## HISTORIC & CULTURAL RESOURCES REVIEW

If no PRISM map, please attach a copy of the relevant portion of a 7.5 series USGS quad map and outline the project impact area.  
(USGS Quad maps are available on-line at <http://www.topozone.com>)

### Worksite Location (identified with star):

**Address:** No historic buildings.

**Township:**

**Range:**

**Section:**

**City:**

**County:** Jefferson

**Latitude:** 48.00

**Longitude:** -122.88

