

Recreation and Conservation Funding Board Summarized Meeting Agenda and Actions, July 9, 2009

1. Call to Order

-
2. Consent Calendar Resolution #2009-13
Passed
- Approval of Board Meeting Minutes – March 26, 2009, Advisory Committee Recognition, Service Recognition for Jim Fox

-
3. Management Report
- Director's Report, Fiscal Report, Habitat and Recreation Lands Coordinating Group Update, RCO Work Plan Update

-
4. Legislative and Budget Update
- Update on 2009-11 Budget; Implementation of 2009 Legislative Directives; Potential Request Legislation for 2010 Legislative Session; Potential Supplemental Budget Request for 2010 Legislative Session

-
5. 2009 Grant Programs: Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account, Approve Final Project List Resolution #2009-14
Passed with friendly amendment

-
6. 2009 Grant Programs: Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, Approve Final Project Lists Resolution #2009-15
Passed

-
7. Discussion of Proposed Policy Changes
- CREP Lease Extensions in Riparian Program; Puget Sound Partnership; Nonprofit Eligibility; Farmland Preservation Criteria; Scope Change Requests

-
8. Changes to Project Evaluation Processes Resolution #2009-16
Passed

-
9. Use of Returned Funds in NOVA and RTP Grant Programs Resolution #2009-17 Passed
as amended

-
10. Compliance and Conversion Overview
- Park closures and operating restrictions due to economic conditions

-
11. Briefing on Timeline for Consideration of Potential Conversion Associated with the SR-520 Bridge Construction

-
12. Conversion Request: Department of Fish and Wildlife Land Exchange Resolution #2009-18
Passed

-
13. Removing the High-Risk Sponsor Designation Motion passed adopting language for inclusion in policy. Adoption by resolution scheduled for September.

Recreation and Conservation Funding Board Summary Minutes

Date: July 09, 2009

Place: Kitsap Conference Center
Bremerton, Washington

Recreation and Conservation Funding Board Members Present:

Bill Chapman, Chair	Mercer Island	Dave Brittell	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife
Karen Daubert	Seattle	Stephen Saunders	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Steven Drew	Olympia	Rex Derr	Director, State Parks and Recreation
Jeff Parsons	Leavenworth	<i>Steve Hahn</i>	<i>Designee, State Parks and Recreation</i>
Harriet Spanel	Bellingham		<i>(replaced Rex at the lunch break)</i>

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDING IS RETAINED BY RCO AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Bill Chapman called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Introductions were made and a quorum was determined.

CONSENT CALENDAR

(See notebook item #2)

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board (board) reviewed Resolution #2009-13, Consent Calendar approving:

- Approval of Board Meeting Minutes – March 26, 2009
- Advisory Committee Recognition
- Service Recognition for Jim Fox

Jeff Parsons MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-13. Karen Daubert SECONDED. Resolution #2009-13 APPROVED as presented.

MANAGEMENT REPORTS

Director Kaleen Cottingham presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3 for detailed report.)

Kaleen highlighted some items on the management report.

- Susan Zemek will ask each board member to commit to attending at least one “big check ceremony.”
- The Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) launched a new website for boaters. The site consolidates and simplifies access to a variety of information on boating services, rules and regulations, places to boat, fishing licenses, and boating news.
- The RCO will launch a new website for the agency in the fall.
- Kaleen introduced Karl Jacobs, who is a new outdoor grants manager in the RCO’s recreation section.

- Kaleen referred to the performance measures, and noted that RCO is getting grants under agreement earlier in the process. She also noted that staff closed 83 percent of projects on time, exceeding the target of 80 percent.

LEGISLATIVE AND BUDGET UPDATE

Rachael Langen and Megan Duffy presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #4 for details.)

Rachael quickly highlighted the capital budget and its effect on RCO grant programs, as detailed in the notebook. She noted that funding for the Boating Facilities Program (BFP) and Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicles Account (NOVA) were diverted to other state agencies. The Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) received \$70 million while Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) funding remained the same as in the previous biennium.

Rachael also noted significant reductions for grant programs managed by the Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB), including Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (PSAR). Kaleen noted that the federal funds awarded to the SRFB are for the 2009 grant cycle and that levels for 2010 are unknown at this time.

Rachael stated that the agency's operating budget was reduced by \$476,000 to \$17.9 million for the biennium. These reductions in state general funds affect the Biodiversity Council, Monitoring Forum, SRFB, and recreation and conservation program operating costs. She then noted that on June 18, the Governor directed agencies to take a 2 percent reduction in FTEs funded with GF-S. That amount may increase to 5 percent, depending on future revenue forecasts.

Steven Drew asked about the effect of federal stimulus funds. Rachael responded that the RCO's project did not receive funds. She also noted that while there is an estimated \$3 billion in federal stimulus money coming into Washington, but that will end and contribute to what's called the "cliff effect."

Megan Duffy updated the board on bills from the legislature.

- The board request legislation that asked the legislature to remove mitigation banking from the WWRP, passed unanimously.
- SHB 1957 added nonprofit organizations and the Conservation Commission as eligible applicants in the riparian and farmland preservation categories in WWRP.
- The legislature directed RCO to provide a report by January 10, 2010 on the use of various land preservation mechanisms. The report will be contracted out and an update will be given at the next board meeting.

Megan also summarized the changes made to the WWRP list, noting that at the \$70 million funding level, the original list had 87 projects. Following the legislative changes, there were 95 funded projects. She explained that the apparent rationale was to move dollars from acquisition projects to development projects that could act to stimulate the economy. She explained that partially funded projects are still eligible for additional funding.

Megan also noted that the Governor is convening the natural resource agencies to look for potential efficiencies and consolidation opportunities. She added that the group has met twice and will prepare a report by early September. The RCO has suggested that the group consider agency functions, services that agencies provide to one another, and public services. Another aspect that the group is considering

is how regional offices could coordinate around ecosystem boundaries versus boundaries that are currently used.

Bill Chapman referred to Megan's presentation and asked her to explain which agencies and which types of permitting were included in the bulleted item "services to the public (e.g., permitting)." Megan answered that the topic was part of a summary up for discussion by the broader Natural Resources cabinet. Kaleen noted the group discussed if there are any ways to be more efficient in dealing with the public. Permitting and grant management were two suggested areas.

Megan added that the GSRO and the lead entity program have moved to the RCO. The Legislature wants RCO to assess watershed planning and salmon recovery efforts to see if there are opportunities for more coordination and incentives for providing more coordination. A report is due to the legislature in December.

Megan highlighted a few other legislative notes of interest:

- The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee will be looking at the state recreational boating program to find opportunities for coordination. They will complete their review by October 31, 2010.
- A few bills were introduced directing funding from the Youth Athletic Facilities program to the King County Arts and Cultural groups, but the bills did not pass.
- The SRFB, NOVA advisory committee, and FARR committee were on various bills eliminating boards and commissions. None of the bills passed.
- Chair Chapman and members Daubert, Drew, and Parsons were submitted by the Governor for Senate confirmation. State law allows members to serve while confirmation is pending. No hearings were scheduled on confirmations for these members.

Megan then noted that 2010 will be a short session and more economic difficulties are expected. Staff recommends that the agency monitor the legislative session, and that the board submit request legislative only if critical. She asked board members if there were any areas of potential legislation that they would like staff to explore. The board did not provide any legislative requests.

Kaleen noted that she did not anticipate that any of our programs would require a supplemental budget request.

Steven Drew asked why the Elk River project was not funded in WWRP.

Kaleen answered that a legislator had suggested that a proviso be added to the project stating that none of the money could be contracted to Department of Natural Resources until they finish the area plan for Elk River. This did not occur and instead, the project was removed from the funding list.

2009 ALEA, APPROVE FINAL PROJECT LIST

Scott Robinson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #5a for details.)

Rebecca Connolly noted that Tauren Ibarra had provided the board with two letters that were received the previous day:

- A letter from the Puget Sound Partnership stating they have reviewed the ALEA and WWRP funding lists with regard to the Puget Sound Partnership's Action Agenda. They stated that no projects are in conflict with the action agenda.

- A letter from Snohomish County in response to concerns raised by a citizen's group regarding Peoples Ranch. These concerns were raised in a series of emails that staff included in the board notebooks.

Rebecca also noted that she had received a 10-page letter from the citizens' group opposing a grant award for Peoples Ranch (2009 WWRP Farmland Preservation project, next item), but that it was received that morning and she was unable to print it due to the size and timing. She offered to summarize the letter during the discussion of WWRP.

Kaleen noted that the board also received a letter from King County regarding the Point Heyer project.

Scott Robinson then presented information about the final project list for the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) program. He explained that the legislature approved \$5.025 million for ALEA, and staff was requesting final funding approval for the projects shown in Table 1 – ALEA Ranked List of Projects, Fiscal Year 2010 via Resolution #2009-14. Scott noted that both State Parks and King County were unable to certify the match for projects #08-1872 and #08-1420 before the board meeting, as required by Washington Administrative Code (WAC). As a result, Table 1 shows a staff recommendation for no funding for either project, with the funds shifted to alternates on the list.

Kaleen Cottingham noted that the WAC allows the director to grant a waiver for meeting the match deadlines, but that due to the timing, she decided to defer to the board.

Bill Chapman clarified that the WAC already delegates that authority, and asked Kaleen if she was asking the board's opinion so that she could make a decision. Kaleen responded that the board would be making the decision by approving the project list. She suggested that the table be revised to show the projects as alternates rather than zero funding.

Jeff Parsons asked if projects further down the list would be able to meet the match certification, and Scott replied that they could.

In response to a question from Dave Brittell, Scott confirmed that projects #08-1872 and #08-1420 would become the first and second alternates, above the other alternates, once the partially-funded projects received full funding. Kaleen clarified that they would still need to provide the match.

Steven Drew asked if approving the resolution would give adequate instruction to staff and the director to determine the amount of match the Point Heyer Project would need to provide since they had originally proposed nearly a 7 to 1 match ratio. Scott described the value of match in the context of total evaluation points, and noted that it is a valid question, but difficult to answer.

Chair Chapman suggested that the board make a friendly amendment to the motion to mark the projects as alternates, rather than receiving no funding.

Jeff Parsons stated that it is important to not change the rules after the fact because it isn't fair to other projects.

Steven Drew said that he thinks the amendment would change the rules because the revised match proposed by King County is substantially different from what was in the evaluations, and that changes how it would rate compared to other projects.

Chair Chapman noted that in the past, when the match that was certified was a smaller amount than proposed, the grant amount was reduced.

Marguerite Austin suggested that significant factor was not the amount of match but whether the scope of work as evaluated could be completed. She stated that there are a number of projects where the match may drop, and staff determines what the project was evaluated for, why it ranked where it did, and whether they can achieve a scope that would keep it at the same rank. If it's a major concern, they can take it back to an evaluation committee. She suggested that it could be tied to whether they can complete a scope of work that can reasonably meet the intent of the project as proposed. If the scope is too significant, policy allows staff to bring it back to the board.

Steven Drew stated he is comfortable with staff addressing the issue, but wanted to be sure that the resolution gave staff the flexibility they need.

Public Comment

Karen Bergeron, habitat project coordinator for WRIA 9, asked that the sponsor match deadline be waived for the Point Heyer Drift Cell Preservation project because they can certify their match by December 31, 2009. She noted they can certify \$600,000 in match by the end of the year and they anticipate another \$350,000 from the King County Parks Levee Assessment and their Conservation Futures Program.

Bill Chapman asked if they could come up with the originally proposed \$3,000,000 match by the end of the year. Karen Bergeron replied that they could not get that amount, but they could get the minimum match of \$600,000 by the end of the year.

Chair Chapman asked her to explain the project and WRIA 9.

Karen Bergeron answered that the project is for the acquisition of shoreline parcels on Vashon-Maury Island. She added that it is 2.1-mile long drift cell. She explained that WRIA 9 is a lead entity for salmon habitat recovery, and that they represent the Green, Duwamish, and Central Puget Sound watersheds.

Rex Derr asked what the difference would be between a \$3.5 million project and a \$1.2 million project, and Karen Bergeron responded that it would mean purchasing fewer parcels.

Steven Drew noted that she had mentioned other projects for which they had not requested a waiver of retroactivity, and asked her what the value was and if they were in the match calculations.

Karen Bergeron answered that the project that was approved by the Salmon Recovery Funding Board was valued at \$250,000. They also had applied for \$1.2 million from the ESRP program and included that in the match, but it was not awarded.

Jeff Parsons MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-14 with the friendly amendment proposed by Bill Chapman. Karen Daubert SECONDED. Resolution #2009-14 APPROVED as amended.

2009 WWRP, APPROVE FINAL PROJECT LISTS

Scott Robinson and Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #5b for details.)

Scott Robinson presented information about the final project lists for the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP). He explained that the legislature approved \$70 million for WWRP, and

staff was requesting final funding approval for the projects shown in Table 1 – WWRP Ranked List of Projects, Fiscal Year 2010 for each WWRP category via Resolution #2009-15.

Scott noted that he would discuss projects in the Habitat Conservation, Riparian Protection, and Farmland Preservation accounts, and that Marguerite Austin would discuss the Outdoor Recreation account.

Scott noted that the Elk River project was removed from the Natural Areas funding list. The \$300,000 from that project was moved to the Beebe Springs project so that it would be fully funded. He added that the Dabob Bay project would receive partial funding.

Scott explained that in the Urban Wildlife category, policy requires that 40% of funding goes to local projects, 40% goes to state projects and 20% goes to partial local projects first then partial state projects. The legislature's changes resulted in a division of funds to 33% to local projects and 67% to state projects.

Harriett Spanel asked if the board could change that allocation. Chair Chapman noted that legislature has the power of appropriation.

Steven Drew noted that the changes may have been necessary to receive \$70 million, and asked Scott where the funding line would be if the legislature had awarded only \$50 million. Scott answered that the Atoine and Stavis projects would have received full funding and Woodard Bay would have been partially funded.

Jeff Parsons asked if the legislative action is consistent with the statute. Kaleen noted that while it is not consistent with past practice, there are differing opinions about whether it is consistent with the statute.

Marguerite talked about the Outdoor Recreation Account, which received \$27 million. She also went over the funding list by project. Marguerite gave an overview of all the ranked projects in all WWRP categories.

Scott then reviewed the Riparian Protection category, noting that there were no changes to the list approved by the board for submission to the governor and legislature.

Scott reviewed the farmland grants, noting the difference in match requirements due to the timing of the federal match.

Steven Drew asked if the Puget Sound Partnership criteria leave farmland in uncharted territory. He noted that if they are focused more on consequences of new activity versus this program, which focuses on maintenance of existing activities. Kaleen noted that staff worked with Partnership before the list was presented to the board last September. She stated that Steven made good points, but that policy changes to ensure compliance with the Action Agenda need to be in place for next grant round, not this one.

Public Comment

Arvilla Ohlde, Director of Kitsap County Parks, commented on the South Kitsap Regional Park and expressed her appreciation to the board.

Pete Mayer, Director of Vancouver-Clark Parks and Recreation, expressed his gratitude to the board and RCO.

Karen Daubert MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-15. Steven Drew SECONDED. Jeff Parsons recused himself from the vote. Resolution #2009-15 APPROVED as presented.

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED POLICY CHANGES

Dominga Soliz & Jim Eychaner presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #6 for details.)

Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) Lease Extensions

Dominga Soliz began this presentation by discussing legislation that requires the board to make CREP lease extensions eligible in the WWRP Riparian Protection Account. Dominga reviewed the background and presented three options for making CREP lease extensions eligible in the next WWRP grant cycle. She then explained the public review process staff would undertake before presenting a proposal for board approval in November 2009.

Alignment of WWRP and ALEA with Puget Sound Partnership Action Agenda.

Dominga explained that to comply with legislation, the evaluation criteria and eligibility requirements for the ALEA and the Habitat Conservation and Outdoor Recreation accounts of WWRP must change to reflect the priorities of the Puget Sound Action Agenda and the status of sponsors that are "Puget Sound Partners." She explained the three major activities of a work group assigned to the task, and the steps they would take before presenting a proposal for board approval in November 2009.

The board then discussed the options presented for revising the scoring system to favor Puget Sound partners. Chair Chapman noted the complexity of the topic, and suggested that the first two options could not be done fairly. He stated that option three should be developed further.

Steven Drew asked staff to consider the farmland program and use the criteria in that program as well.

Dave Brittell suggested there might be a mechanism by which they can compare how well projects compare to major planning initiatives to overcome east versus west issue. Harriett Spanel added that it not just east and west but north, south, and central. Karen noted that rural and urban issues also should be considered.

Jeff Parsons stated that he also likes the concept of ranking (option 3) because it creates a more level playing field.

Public comment

Pete Mayer, Director of Vancouver-Clark Parks and Recreation, noted that he would urge that a natural resource policy be established for the entire state that looks in total the system regardless of political boundaries.

Nonprofit and Conservation Commission Eligibility in WWRP – Habitat Account.

Jim Eychaner reviewed the notebook memo (6c) and discussed some of the plans he has looked at as samples for the planning requirement. He explained the work group that would address the issue and asked for early guidance so that they could propose a policy to the board in November, for use in the next WWRP grant cycle.

Steven Drew noted that, in his experience, nonprofits use the planning tools in place. He added that there are plans available. He commented that a solution would be to encourage partnerships with local or county governments.

Jeff noted that it seemed sufficient for eligibility purposes for nonprofits to adopt by reference the plans of other entities.

Karen Daubert noted that small nonprofits may not have plans that are as comprehensive as city or county plans. She noted that a challenge she sees in the minimum requirements would be giving the public ample opportunity to review and comment.

Farmland Program Review

Dominga gave an overview of the staff review of farmland program criteria, and noted that the drivers of the review were board suggestions and recent legislation. She noted that in September 2008, board members suggested that staff compare participation patterns and evaluation criteria to program goals. In addition, she explained that recent legislation requires changes to the program's eligibility policies, so the program should be reviewed to consider the role of third parties in preserving farmlands. She explained that changes to the eligibility criteria would need board approval in November, and noted the process staff would use to develop a proposal. She also noted that other changes may or may not be ready for consideration in November.

Scope Change Requests Involving Acquisition Projects

Dominga explained that sponsors sometimes request project amendments that are outside the scope of original project and that staff is proposing to develop a clearer scope change policy regarding acquisition projects. She noted that the same issue affects the Salmon Recovery Funding Board, and the RCO would like to provide consistency across the two boards in decision-making, guidelines to sponsors, and program accountability. She explained the approach staff would take and asked the board if they had any early guidance.

Chair Chapman commented that the policy needs to define what "close" means so that there is a greater degree of specificity.

Jeff Parsons mentioned that there are biological and ecological issues that need to be considered. He added that it is important to think in terms of systems.

Steven Drew noted that this scope issue is significantly different for categories that fund only one or two state agencies, as compared to those that fund both state and local. He added that the board and policies must stay true to the mission that drove the funding in that category.

The board took a short break and returned for a working lunch. Steve Hahn replaced Rex Derr for the remainder of the meeting.

CHANGES TO PROJECT EVALUATION PROCESSES

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #7 for details.)

Greg gave a brief overview of the changes to the project evaluation processes. He explained that staff would like to reduce the time and resources committed to the project evaluations of some grant programs by switching them to a written evaluation method. Affected programs would be NOVA, Education and Enforcement (E&E) category and program categories in grant cycles that are undersubscribed (more dollars than requests). He concluded by noting that staff recommended that the board adopt the evaluation process via Resolution #2009-16.

Steven Drew expressed concern, noting that with written evaluations, the agency loses the opportunity for new evaluators to learn from experienced evaluators. He added that there also is less of an opportunity for people presenting their proposals to see and learn from other proposals. He noted that these concerns could be mitigated by further education or information provided by RCO.

Greg responded that in NOVA, there is a technical review process in which all application materials are made available to evaluation team members. The RCO gives their comments to applicants to help them improve. He added that there is a post-evaluation meeting at which the evaluators discuss all aspects of the process. He also noted that the evaluators could be brought together in a conference call or meeting.

Karen Daubert noted that this makes sense to be greener, sustainable, save time, and be efficient. She also noted that it could allow evaluators more time to really think about the projects.

Karen Daubert MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-16. Jeff Parson SECONDED. Resolution #2009-16 APPROVED as presented.

USE OF RETURNED FUNDS IN NOVA AND RTP GRANT PROGRAMS

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook items #8 for details.)

In lieu of a full presentation by Greg Lovelady, Chair Chapman asked board members if they had any questions about the memo or the staff proposal to temporarily apply returned grant funds in the NOVA and RTP programs as cost increases for specific project types.

Steven Drew made a friendly amendment to the resolution to replace “through December 2010; and” with “until new funds become available; and”.

Marguerite noted that the wording “through December 2010” was a staff effort to accelerate the next grant round. She explained that in June 2011, they wanted to be able to ask the board to fund the projects and allow sponsors to pick up the summer season. She noted that the language change would affect their ability to do so, but that either approach would work.

Chair Chapman asked if it should be amended to read “through the end of the biennium.” Marguerite responded that it would be acceptable. Chair Chapman asked Steven Drew if he had a preference. Steven responded that his intent was to allow for funding in the next biennium or if the legislature creates new funding.

Dave Brittel MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-17. Jeff Parsons SECONDED.

Steven Drew MOVED to adopt a friendly amendment to strike “through December 2010” and insert “until new funds become available in these programs” Jeff Parsons SECONDED. Amendment approved.

Marguerite clarified that the approach would depend on the source of funds, stating that if the funds were available for only the ORV category or for the entire program, then staff would use the standard processes.

Resolution #2009-17 APPROVED as amended.

COMPLIANCE AND CONVERSION OVERVIEW

Jim Anest, Leslie Ryan-Connelly & Scott Robinson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #9 for details.)

Scott Robinson began the presentation by noting that this was a follow-up to the board's questions in March 2009. He gave an overview of compliance issues, the staff approach, and the recent steps to strengthen compliance and oversight of agency grant programs. Scott distinguished conversions as a type of compliance issue.

Jim Anest then gave an overview of compliance issues, and discussed the challenges of keeping investments in the public estate in the long-term. He noted that National Park Service has indicated that the agency needs to improve its approach to conversions to maintain LWCF funds. He explained that while staff estimate that 5 to 10 percent of RCO projects have a compliance problem, only a fraction of those are the serious conversion problems. He described recent successes and agency next steps.

Karen Daubert asked whether the issue was one that would be a long-term effort or a problem that could be solved.

Jim Anest responded that the goals are to correct existing issues and prevent future problems. Scott added that the agency as a whole is trying to solve this problem. Kaleen noted that there always will be compliance issues.

Steve Saunders said that he appreciates the emphasis and noted that DNR is working on conversion issues for their own grants. He noted the need for flexibility, common sense, and problem solving. He stated that perpetuity is an issue, especially in light of climate change, and posed rhetorical questions about whether we know that the lands we acquire will still provide the habitat in 50 years, and if a natural change is a conversion. He cautioned against unintended consequences and social changes, such as changes in the types of activities at parks.

Jim responded that staff is working on how to design grant programs to reflect those changes.

Steven Drew noted that there is a profound difference between making it easy to understand the conversion process and making it too easy to convert properties. He is concerned that staff's efforts to create solid policy not make it easier for unnecessary conversions.

Jim responded that the policy puts protecting the public investment first, and that staff draws a distinction between simplifying the process once there is a legitimate conversion and making it easier to do a conversion.

Conversion Examples

Jim Anest and Leslie Ryan-Connelly then presented examples of compliance and conversion issues and explained how staff is approaching each situation. They noted challenges and policy issues raised by each proposed conversion.

Steve Hahn suggested that conversion policies address willful conversions and inadvertent conversions differently. As an example, he noted that State Parks has hundreds of utility lines along trails that are a conversion, but no one knew about them. He also suggested that the board support the idea of banking to reduce amount of process and get larger parcels rather than numerous small replacements.

Public Comment

Pete Mayer, Vancouver-Clark Parks and Recreation, urged common sense, flexibility, careful, and thoughtful deliberation on this issue. He suggested that situations that might be considered as not rising to the level of conversion would be changing a gravel path to a paved trail, putting wireless communications on ball field light poles, and trimming mature vegetation. He also suggested that some conversions may actually generate revenue that can keep parks open.

Steven Drew echoed the comments about willful conversions and inadvertent conversions, and suggested consideration of temporary versus permanent conversions. He asked how those making willful conversions can remain eligible for grants.

Scott noted that our sponsors' staffing constantly changes and somewhere down the line they forget that they received funding from grants. He added that staff needs to come up with a way to remind them that they received these grants through the state and they have long-term responsibilities.

Site Closures

Finally, Scott Robinson gave an overview of site closures and operating restrictions due to economic conditions. As sponsors at all levels are experiencing unusually dramatic declines in revenues, RCO is becoming increasingly aware and receiving questions about proposals to close grant-funded sites. This memo updates the board on the agency's approach to dealing with this issue.

Stephen Saunders noted his appreciation to RCO with working with the Department of Natural Resources as we go through budget cuts.

BRIEFING ON POTENTIAL CONVERSION WITH THE SR-520 BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION

Leslie Ryan-Connelly presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #10 for details.)

Leslie Ryan-Connelly provided a briefing on the potential conversion associated with proposed improvements to State Route 520 in Seattle. This transportation project, which includes replacing the Evergreen Point floating bridge, will create a conversion at two previously funded projects at the Washington Park Arboretum (#66-037D and #85-9036D).

Kaleen noted that the Pacific Northwest Region has identified a need to be creative in this area and have asked that this project in particular help them work through national policy issues.

CONVERSION REQUEST: WDFW

Leslie Ryan-Connelly presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #11 for details.)

Leslie reminded the board that the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) is working on an exchange of land with the Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR). The exchange will affect property acquired with funding assistance from the Land and Water Conservation Fund (#69-609A and #74-606A) and WWRP Critical Habitat category (91-828A and #92-633A).

Leslie explained that WDFW is asking the board to delegate authority to approve the conversion to the RCO director so that they can meet their revised timeline. She noted that staff had no recommendation, but prepared three options for board consideration on how to proceed with this conversion request.

1. Delegate approval authority to the RCO director via Resolution #2009-18
2. Conduct a special board meeting when the conversion package is complete
3. Defer action to the September meeting

Leslie explained that the board would need Resolution #2009-18 only if it chose option 1.

Kaleen asked Leslie when WDFW expected to finish the environmental review and have the package ready. In response, Dave Brittell noted that the NEPA/SEPA review would be in August. He added that there is a public meeting scheduled in Ellensburg next week. He also added that the biggest unknown is working with the National Park Service and getting their concurrence with the language on the conversion and land exchange.

Harriett asked why is there a rush to finish this issue.

Dave answered that they are trying to maintain the integrity of the original appraisals. He noted that the appraisals have a shelf life, which they have extended twice already, so they are trying to move it as quickly as possible.

Stephen Saunders echoed Dave's comments. He noted that the most significant delay has been in identifying conversion lands and ensuring that the value of the conversion lands is similar.

Kaleen clarified that the staff were not making a recommendation.

Steven Drew asked if there is public opposition, and if so, what the nature of the opposition is. He also noted that this meeting's agenda did not say that this was delegation of final approval, so there may not have been opportunity for public comment. Dave responded that the public involvement process has existed for at least four years. Stephen noted that they had 13 public workshops around the state in November and December of 2006. He also added that the primary concern was from the cattlemen about the change in leases from WDFW to DNR.

Jeff Parsons commented that the board is being asked to delegate decision-making authority on the largest land exchange in state history without the benefit of a decision package that tells them exactly what parcels will be exchanged or what decision is being requested. He added that he is not comfortable with delegating something this significant. He asked what the worst case scenario would be if they delay until September.

Dave responded that the issue was the timing of several decisions from the board, National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife commission, and others.

Steven Drew asked for confirmation that the National Park Service decision follows the board's decision. Kaleen responded that the board makes the final decision for the parcels funded with WWRP. She then explained that for parcels funded by the Land and Water Conservation Fund, the board makes a recommendation to the National Park Service, which makes the final decision.

Chair Chapman suggested that they establish a subcommittee to consult with the director on her delegated authority. He specified that the subcommittee would not make the decision. The board asked Kaleen to consult with Jeff Parsons, Steven Drew, and Dave Brittell before making her decision. Chair Chapman clarified that Kaleen could defer back to the board if the package had changed significantly from what the board had seen before.

Harriett Spanel asked if nothing had progressed by the September meeting, would the agencies still ask Kaleen to make a decision. Dave Brittell responded that if they could bring a decision to Kaleen in the timeframe, they want to proceed. Otherwise, they would be able to use the board meeting. Stephen Saunders noted that if they could not move it forward before the next meeting, it would make sense to either bring it to the meeting or revisit the board's delegation to Kaleen.

Harriett asked if the existing motion gave the director the discretion not to make a decision. Chair Chapman and Dave Brittell replied that it did. Kaleen noted that if the request came to her shortly before the next board meeting, it would not be within the realm of delegated authority.

Leslie clarified that in her discussions with the National Park Service they wanted to make sure that it was not the board's intent to have a predetermination on a final decision before the NEPA/SEPA process. She added that if the board could make that for the record then it would be a good clarification.

Dave Brittell noted that the board would be changing only the authority for making the decision, not predetermining a decision before the NEPA/SEPA process. They will not ask the director for a decision until the SEPA process is complete.

Karen Daubert MOVED to adopt Resolution #2009-18. Dave Brittell SECONDED. Resolution #2009-18 APPROVED as presented.

REMOVING THE HIGH-RISK SPONSOR DESIGNATION

Scott Robinson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #12 for details.)

Scott Robinson reminded the board that they had discussed the high-risk sponsor designation in March 2009, and asked staff to develop a formal process to remove a sponsor from the "high risk" designation. He stated that staff was recommending that the board adopt resolution #2009-19 delegating to the director the authority to remove a sponsor from the "high risk" designation if the sponsor has shown adequate progress and commitment to resolving outstanding conversion issues.

Steven Drew asked if the RCO was applying this policy to existing conversions, and stated that he would like sponsors to come back to the board. He proposed delegating the authority only if conversion is resolved.

Karen Daubert noted that the policy uses the terms conversion and compliance interchangeably.

Kaleen suggested that in place of the language suggested by staff, the board adopt the following language into the policy:

6. If the sponsor has resolved the conversion, the director may remove the sponsor from the high-risk list. If the sponsor has made substantial progress to resolving the conversion or has other legitimate reasons, the director shall refer the decision to the board for determination.

Steven Drew MOVED to adopt the policy language proposed by Kaleen. Jeff Parsons SECONDED. Motion APPROVED.

Scott Robinson stated that staff would clarify the use of conversion versus compliance, incorporated the language adopted, and bring the revised policy to the board for approval in September.

Approved by:

Bill Chapman, Chair

Date

REVISED RESOLUTION #2009-13
July 2009 Consent Agenda

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following July 2009, Consent Agenda items are approved:

- a) Approval of Recreation and Conservation Funding Board Minutes, March 26, 2009
- b) Advisory Committee Recognition
 - i. Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Advisory Committee
 - ii. Land and Water Conservation Fund Advisory Committee
 - iii. Nonhighway and Off-road Vehicle Activities Advisory Committee
- c) Service Recognition for Jim Fox

Resolution moved by: Jeff Parsons

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: July 9, 2009

RESOLUTION #2009-14

***Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account
Final Funding Approval for Fiscal Year 2010 Projects***

WHEREAS, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board (board) recommended a ranked list of eligible Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) projects to the Governor for inclusion in the 2009-2011 State Capital Budget, and

WHEREAS, Governor Gregoire submitted to the 2009 Legislature all RCO recommended fiscal year 2010 projects for funding consideration, and

WHEREAS, the 2009 Legislature, as part of Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1216 (Capital Budget), appropriated \$5.025 million for ALEA and approved projects contained in LEAP Capital Document No. 2009-3, and

WHEREAS, these ALEA projects were evaluated and approved in open public meetings using criteria developed with stakeholder involvement, and

WHEREAS, the criteria development and project evaluation process supports the board's goal to deliver successful projects by using broad public participation and feedback, and its strategy to ensure that its work is conducted in a fair and open manner, and

WHEREAS, approval of these projects supports the board's strategic objective to provide funding to help partners protect, restore, and develop habitat facilities and lands, and

WHEREAS, the projects enhance, improve, or protect aquatic lands and provide public access to such lands and associated waters, thereby supporting the board's strategies to provide partners with funding for both conservation and recreation opportunities statewide,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board hereby approves the ranked list of ALEA projects contained in *LEAP Capital Document No. 2009-3* and reflected in *Table 1 – ALEA Ranked List of Projects, Fiscal Year 2010*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board hereby approves the funding amounts shown in *Table 1 – ALEA Ranked List of Projects, Fiscal Year 2010*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that beginning immediately the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board authorizes RCO's Director to execute agreements and implement fiscal year 2010 funding.

Resolution moved by: Jeff Parsons

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: July 9, 2009

Table 1
Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account
State Fiscal Year 2010

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	\$5M Passed	Staff Recommends
1 of 22	59.429	08-1872D	Lk Sammamish State Park: Sunset Beach Renovation 2	State Parks	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0 (1)
2 of 22	54.000	08-1682C	Lily Point Acq & Development	Whatcom County Parks & Rec	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
3 of 22	53.286	08-1688A	Dutcher Cove Tidelands Acquisition	Ecology Dept of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
4 of 22	53.000	08-1420A	Pt Heyer Drift Cell Preservation - Phase I ALEA	King Co Water & Land Res	\$600,000	\$2,919,525	\$3,519,525	\$2,600,000	\$600,000	\$0 (2)
5 of 22	51.571	08-1285C	Judd Cove Acquisition/Restoration/Development	San Juan County Land Bank	\$650,000	\$651,774	\$1,301,774	\$3,250,000	\$650,000	\$650,000
6 of 22	51.429	08-1198D	Mount Vernon Riverfront North Trail	Mount Vernon City of	\$436,442	\$436,442	\$872,884	\$3,686,442	\$436,442	\$436,442
7 of 22	51.143	08-1494D	Beebe Springs Natural Area Phase 3 Development	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,186,442	\$500,000	\$500,000
8 of 22	47.714	08-1961A	Manzanita Bay Park II	Bainbridge Island Park Dist	\$1,000,000	\$1,002,500	\$2,002,500	\$5,186,442	\$838,558	\$1,000,000
9 of 22	46.286	08-1357A	Ghost Forest Acquisitions	State Parks	\$103,070	\$103,115	\$206,185	\$5,289,512	Alternate	\$103,070
10 of 22	46.143	08-1900D	Silverdale Sailboat Storage Float ALEA	Silverdale Port of	\$36,088	\$54,136	\$90,224	\$5,325,600	Alternate	\$36,088
11 of 22	46.000	08-1122D	Maple Hollow Renovation Phase 1	Key Peninsula Metro Park Dist	\$344,200	\$344,200	\$688,400	\$5,669,800	Alternate	\$344,200
12 of 22	45.714	08-1594D	Percival Landing Restoration	Olympia Parks, Arts & Rec	\$164,075	\$164,075	\$328,150	\$5,833,875	Alternate	\$164,075
13 of 22	44.714	08-1679D	Clover Island Improvement	Kennewick Port of	\$500,000	\$1,376,074	\$1,876,074	\$6,333,875	Alternate	\$291,125 P (3)
14 of 22	43.429	08-1809D	Riverfront Trail Extension	Castle Rock City of	\$159,202	\$239,956	\$399,158	\$6,493,077	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 22	43.143	08-1710R	North Wind's Weir Intertidal Restoration #1 ALEA	King Co Water & Land Res	\$500,000	\$1,572,000	\$2,072,000	\$6,993,077	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 22	41.857	08-1567D	Cusick Park River Enhancement	Cusick Town of	\$55,144	\$55,144	\$110,288	\$7,048,221	Alternate	Alternate
17 of 22	40.857	08-1633C	Westside Camano Acquisition (Henry Hollow)	Island County Planning Dept.	\$675,965	\$675,966	\$1,351,931	\$7,724,186	Alternate	Alternate
18 of 22	39.714	08-1824R	Manchester Port Shoreline Restoration	Manchester Port of	\$100,000	\$120,350	\$220,350	\$7,824,186	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 22	39.429	08-1882D	Ike Kinswa ADA Pier and Non-motorized Launch	State Parks	\$66,560	\$67,000	\$133,560	\$7,890,746	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 22	39.429	08-1908C	Silverdale Wetlands Acquisition-Beach Restoration	Silverdale Port of	\$378,707	\$378,707	\$757,414	\$8,269,453	Alternate	Alternate
21 of 22	37.429	08-1707A	Cockreham Island Land Acquisition	Skagit County Public Works	\$579,340	\$579,340	\$1,158,680	\$8,848,793	Alternate	Alternate
22 of 22	32.143	08-1715C	Allyn Waterfront Park Expansion ALEA	Allyn Port of	\$299,250	\$365,750	\$665,000	\$9,148,043	Alternate	Alternate
					\$9,148,043	\$13,606,054	\$22,754,097		\$5,025,000	\$5,025,000

Notes:

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

(1) The sponsor was not able to secure match for 08-1872, State Parks, Lake Sammamish State Park, so the sponsor is unable to implement the project at this time.

(2) The sponsor was not able to secure match for 08-1420, King County, Pt Heyer Drift Cell, so the sponsor is unable to implement the project at this time.

(3) Port of Kennewick's Clover Island Improvement, 08-1679D, received partial funding, eligible for full funding.

RESOLUTION #2009-15

Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program Final Funding Approval for Fiscal Year 2010 Projects

WHEREAS, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board recommended a ranked list of eligible Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) projects to the Governor for inclusion in the 2009-2011 State Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS, Governor Gregoire submitted to the 2009 Legislature all RCO recommended fiscal year 2010 projects for funding consideration; and

WHEREAS, the 2009-2011 Capital Budget includes \$70 million for WWRP; and

WHEREAS, the 2009 Legislature, as part of Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1216 (Capital Budget), approved projects contained in LEAP Capital Document No. 2009-1a; and

WHEREAS, RCW 79A.15.030 (7) authorizes RCO to use up to three percent (3%) of the WWRP appropriation for administration of the program; and

WHEREAS, the projects in the Riparian category provide habitat benefits for a variety of species, thereby supporting the Board's strategy to provide partners with funding to for projects that help sustain Washington's biodiversity; protect "listed" species, and maintain fully functioning ecosystems; and

WHEREAS, the projects in the Farmland Preservation category meet criteria that demonstrate preference for perpetual easements, thus supporting the Board's strategic goal to maximize the useful life of Board-funded projects; and

WHEREAS, the projects in the Habitat Conservation Account (a) address a variety of critical habitat needs, (b) restore existing lands to self-sustaining functionality, (c) protect areas that have retained their natural character and are important in preserving species or features of value, and (d) have been evaluated based on long-term viability, thereby supporting the Board's goals to help agencies maximize the useful life of Board-funded projects and to fund projects that maintain fully functioning ecosystems, sustain Washington's biodiversity, or protect "listed" species and natural settings; and

WHEREAS, the Outdoor Recreation Account projects involve acquisition, development, and/or renovation of properties for recreation, public access on state lands, trails, and access to water, thereby supporting the board's strategy to provide partners with funding to enhance recreation opportunities statewide, including bicycling and walking facilities and facilities most conducive to improved health; and

WHEREAS, the evaluation and approval of these projects occurred in open public meetings, thereby supporting the Board's strategy to ensure that its work is conducted with integrity and in a fair and open manner, and the Board's principles to make strategic investments that are guided by community support and established priorities; and

WHEREAS, funding these projects would further the Board's strategic goal to "[f]und the best projects as determined by the evaluation process";

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board hereby approves the ranked list of WWRP projects contained in *LEAP Capital Document No. 2009-1a* and reflected in Table 1 – *WWRP Ranked List of Projects for Fiscal Year 2010*, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that three percent (3%) of the \$70 million WWRP appropriation be subtracted from the appropriation, to be used for administration of the program, and the remaining \$67.9 million be distributed to the eleven WWRP funding categories according to statutory requirements and RCO policy, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board hereby approves the funding amounts shown in Table 1 – *WWRP Ranked List of Projects for Fiscal Year 2010* and authorizes RCO's Director to execute agreements and implement fiscal year 2010 funding.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Steven Drew

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: July 9, 2009

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Critical Habitat
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 16	41.250	08-1504A	West Branch Little Spokane River Phase II	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$6,472,252		\$6,472,252	\$6,472,252	\$4,140,000	\$4,140,000 P (1)
2 of 16	41.125	08-1505A	Methow Watershed Phase 6	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$3,500,000		\$3,500,000	\$9,972,252	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000
3 of 16	40.500	08-1502A	Okanogan Similkameen Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$4,600,000		\$4,600,000	\$14,572,252	\$2,836,000	\$2,836,000 P (2)
4 of 16	38.375	08-1509A	Mid Columbia Shrubsteppe Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	\$17,072,252	Alternate	Alternate
5 of 16	37.000	08-1185A	Wanapum NAP 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,511,685		\$1,511,685	\$18,583,937	Alternate	Alternate
6 of 16	36.875	08-1518A	Touchet River and Grasslands 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,477,600		\$1,477,600	\$20,061,537	Alternate	Alternate
7 of 16	35.625	08-1501A	Mountain View Phase 1	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$3,700,000		\$3,700,000	\$23,761,537	Alternate	Alternate
8 of 16	35.500	08-1510A	Klickitat White Oak	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$731,950		\$731,950	\$24,493,487	Alternate	Alternate
9 of 16	35.375	08-1818A	Tarboo Headwaters to Bay	Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	\$25,993,487	Alternate	Alternate
10 of 16	35.125	08-1508A	Heart of the Cascades Phase 1	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,400,000		\$2,400,000	\$28,393,487	Alternate	Alternate
11 of 16	34.000	08-1503A	Stemilt Basin Phase 1	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$4,800,000		\$4,800,000	\$33,193,487	Alternate	Alternate
12 of 16	33.000	08-1261A	Turtleback Mountain	San Juan County Land Bank	\$1,500,000	\$2,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$34,693,487	Alternate	Alternate
13 of 16	30.625	08-1341A	White River Acquisition	King County DNR & Parks	\$585,000	\$585,000	\$1,170,000	\$35,278,487	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 16	27.750	08-1398A	Pt Heyer Drift Cell Preservation - Phase 1 WWRP	King Co Water & Land Res	\$800,000	\$2,719,525	\$3,519,525	\$36,078,487	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 16	25.500	08-1681D	Hansen Creek Floodplain Restoration	Upper Skagit Tribe	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$36,328,487	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 16	22.625	08-1877A	Duwamish Gardens Estuarine Habitat Acquisition	Tukwila City of	\$245,000	\$2,058,521	\$2,303,521	\$36,573,487	Alternate	Alternate
					\$36,573,487	\$9,113,046	\$45,686,533		\$10,476,000	\$10,476,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$10,476,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) DFW's West Branch Little Spokane River Phase II, 08-1504A, legislature applied funds to 08-1502A. Eligible for full funding.

(2) DFW's Okanogan Similkameen Phase 2, 08-1502A, partially funded, eligible for full funding. Legislature provided funding to leverage federal matching funds.

Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Natural Areas
State Fiscal Year 2010

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 8	42.429	08-1180A	Lacamas Prairie Natural Area 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$3,540,022	\$3,540,022	\$3,540,022	\$3,540,022	\$3,540,022
2 of 8	40.286	08-1186A	Washougal Oaks NAP/NRCA 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$1,709,977	\$1,709,977	\$5,249,999	\$1,709,977	\$1,709,977
2 of 8	40.286	08-1179A	Ink Blot and Shumocher Creek NAPs 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$1,747,200	\$1,747,200	\$6,997,199	\$1,747,200	\$1,747,200
4 of 8	39.857	08-1184A	Trout Lake NAP 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$1,672,440	\$1,672,440	\$8,669,639	\$859,801	\$859,801 ^{P (1)}
5 of 8	36.571	08-1175A	Bone River and Niawiakum River NAPs 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$883,312	\$883,312	\$9,552,951	Alternate	Alternate
5 of 8	36.571	08-1826A	Admiralty Inlet Heritage Forest Acquisition	State Parks	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$13,552,951	Alternate	Alternate
7 of 8	32.571	08-1177A	Cypress Island Natural Area 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$2,820,825	\$2,820,825	\$16,373,776	Alternate	Alternate
8 of 8	30.286	08-1176A	Columbia Hills NAP 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$91,927	\$91,927	\$16,465,703	Alternate	Alternate
					\$16,465,703	\$16,465,703		\$7,857,000	\$7,857,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$7,857,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) DNR's Trout Lake NAP 2008, 08-1184A, partially funded, eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - State Lands Restoration & Enhancement
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 21	45.500	08-1524R	Sinlahekin Ecosystem Restoration - Phase 1	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$778,632	\$75,000	\$853,632	\$778,632	\$778,632	\$778,632
2 of 21	45.000	08-1535R	South Sound Prairie and Grassland Bald Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$270,380	\$15,000	\$285,380	\$1,049,012	\$270,380	\$270,380
3 of 21	43.125	08-1399R	Elk River NRCA - Phase 2 (Restoration) 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$300,000		\$300,000	\$1,349,012	\$0	\$0 (1)
4 of 21	42.375	08-1400R	Washougal Oaks NAP (Restoration) 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$235,000		\$235,000	\$1,584,012	\$235,000	\$235,000
5 of 21	41.875	08-1584R	North Douglas County Shrub-Steppe Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$249,812		\$249,812	\$1,833,824	\$249,812	\$249,812
6 of 21	41.250	08-1536R	John's River Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$250,000		\$250,000	\$2,083,824	\$250,000	\$250,000
6 of 21	41.250	08-1870R	Skagit Bay Riparian Enhancement	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$246,460		\$246,460	\$2,330,284	\$246,460	\$246,460
8 of 21	40.750	08-1397R	Chehalis River SP NAP Shoreline (Restoration) 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$60,000		\$60,000	\$2,390,284	\$60,000	\$60,000
9 of 21	40.250	08-1530R	Parke Creek Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$129,000	\$8,500	\$137,500	\$2,519,284	\$129,000	\$129,000
10 of 21	39.875	08-1537R	Silverspot Butterfly Enhancement	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$40,500	\$6,000	\$46,500	\$2,559,784	\$40,500	\$40,500
11 of 21	39.125	08-1527R	Beebe Springs Phase 3 Columbia River Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$250,000		\$250,000	\$2,809,784	\$250,000	\$250,000
12 of 21	38.375	08-1528R	Colockum Road Abandonment	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$90,094		\$90,094	\$2,899,878	\$90,094	\$90,094
13 of 21	38.250	08-1383R	Dabob Bay NAP Restoration Phase 1 (2008)	Dept of Natural Resources	\$330,000		\$330,000	\$3,229,878	\$19,122	\$19,122 P (2)
14 of 21	38.125	08-1610R	Pogue Mountain Pre-commercial Thin	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$328,800		\$328,800	\$3,558,678	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 21	37.875	08-1392R	Pole Creek Restoration (Hoh River Trust 2008)	Dept of Natural Resources	\$49,872	\$25,750	\$75,622	\$3,608,550	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 21	37.750	08-1534R	Mt St Helens, Hoffstadt Creek	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$185,706		\$185,706	\$3,794,256	Alternate	Alternate
17 of 21	37.625	08-1846R	Chehalis River Brazilian Elodea Eradication	Dept of Natural Resources	\$207,900	\$10,000	\$217,900	\$4,002,156	Alternate	Alternate
18 of 21	36.750	08-1402R	Woodard Bay NRCA - Phase 1 (Restoration) 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$50,000		\$50,000	\$4,052,156	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 21	36.250	08-1825R	Desert W.A. Cooperative Wetland Enhancement	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$246,200		\$246,200	\$4,298,356	Alternate	Alternate
20 of 21	35.875	08-1529R	Sunnyside, Morgan Lake Restoration	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$147,200		\$147,200	\$4,445,556	Alternate	Alternate
21 of 21	33.375	08-1533R	Vaux's Swift Chimney Habitat	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$221,740		\$221,740	\$4,667,296	Alternate	Alternate
					\$4,667,296	\$140,250	\$4,807,546		2,619,000	2,619,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$2,619,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) DNR's Elk River, 08-1399R, removed by the legislature.

(2) DNR's Dabob Bay NAP Restoration Phase 1, 08-1383R, partially funded eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Urban Wildlife Habitat
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 15	65.750	08-1334A	Antoine Peak Acquisition Phase 2	Spokane County Parks & Rec	\$1,674,450	\$1,674,450	\$3,348,900	\$1,674,450	\$1,674,450	\$1,674,450
2 of 15	64.250	08-1182A	Stavis NRCA / Kitsap Forest NAP 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,586,025		\$1,586,025	\$3,260,475	\$1,586,025	\$1,586,025
2 of 15	64.250	08-1187A	Woodard Bay NRCA 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,036,455		\$1,036,455	\$4,296,930	\$509,175	\$509,175 P (1)
4 of 15	61.875	08-1335A	Antoine Peak Acquisition Phase 3	Spokane County Parks & Rec	\$1,884,850	\$1,884,850	\$3,769,700	\$6,181,780	Alternate	Alternate (2)
5 of 15	61.750	08-1787A	Grand Ridge - Canyon Creek Acquisition	King County of	\$510,000	\$1,700,000	\$2,210,000	\$6,691,780	Alternate	Alternate
6 of 15	60.500	08-1247A	Mud Lake/Lewis River	Clark County of	\$536,140	\$536,140	\$1,072,280	\$7,227,920	\$62,085	\$62,085 P (3)
7 of 15	60.000	08-1512A	Lynch Cove Estuary	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,406,265		\$1,406,265	\$8,634,185	\$1,406,265	\$1,406,265 (4)
8 of 15	59.875	08-1181A	West Tiger Mt., Mount Si, and Rattlesnake Mt.	Natural Resources Dept of	\$3,573,307		\$3,573,307	\$12,207,492	Alternate	Alternate
9 of 15	56.500	08-1366D	Audubon Birdloop, Phase II	King County DNR & Parks	\$74,522	\$75,000	\$149,522	\$12,282,014	Alternate	Alternate
10 of 15	52.625	08-1591D	NW Stream Center Boardwalk Interpretive Trail	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$220,750	\$220,750	\$441,500	\$12,502,764	Alternate	Alternate
11 of 15	52.125	08-1511A	John's Creek Prairie and Estuary	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,764,200		\$2,764,200	\$15,266,964	Alternate	Alternate
12 of 15	50.750	08-1423A	Judd Ck Watershed / Paradise Valley Preservation	King County DNR & Parks	\$578,550	\$578,550	\$1,157,100	\$15,845,514	Alternate	Alternate
13 of 15	50.000	08-1478A	Quimper Wildlife Corridor	Port Townsend City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$16,345,514	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 15	47.250	08-1429A	North Kitsap Heritage Park-Phase 2	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$1,000,000	\$1,151,550	\$2,151,550	\$17,345,514	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 15	43.000	08-1061D	Oakland Bay County Park Development	Mason County	\$353,000	\$357,000	\$710,000	\$17,698,514	Alternate	Alternate
					\$17,698,514	\$8,678,290	\$26,376,804		\$5,238,000	\$5,238,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$5,238,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Per RCFB policy, 40% of the funding goes to local government projects, 40% to state projects and remaining 20% goes to partial local project first, then partial state project second, then next highest ranked project. However as passed by the legislature the funding is approximately 33% local projects, 67% state projects.

(1) DNR's Woodard Bay NRCA, 08-1187A, was partially funded by the legislature. It is eligible for full funding.

(2) Spokane County's Antoine Peak Acquisition Phase 3, 08-1335A, was changed to alternate by the legislature. The funding was applied to 08-1247A and 08-1512A (see notes 3 and 4). Remains eligible for full funding.

(3) Clark County's Mud Lake/Lewis River, 08-1247A, was partially funded by the legislature with funds from 08-1335A. It is eligible for full funding.

(4) DFW's Lynch Cove Estuary, 08-1512A, was fully funded by the legislature with funds from 08-1335A.

Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Local Parks
State Fiscal Year 2010

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 76	55.250	08-1669D	Evergreen Park Expansion/Shoreline Rest. 08	Bremerton City of	\$500,000	\$1,681,217	\$2,181,217	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
2 of 76	54.250	08-1603D	Outdoor Swimming Pool Renovation	Prosser City of	\$500,000	\$541,380	\$1,041,380	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
3 of 76	53.875	08-1648D	Pioneer Park Field Lighting	Aberdeen Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$630,675	\$1,130,675	\$1,500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
4 of 76	53.375	08-1337D	South Kitsap Regional Park-Phase 1	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$500,000	\$1,091,000	\$1,591,000	\$2,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
5 of 76	53.125	08-1580D	Doc Hageman Park	Lynnwood City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
6 of 76	52.750	08-1609D	Palouse City Park Renovation	Palouse City of	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000	\$2,512,000	\$12,000	\$12,000
7 of 76	52.375	08-1602D	McDonald Park Lighting Phase II	Colfax City of	\$39,639	\$39,639	\$79,278	\$2,551,639	\$39,639	\$39,639
8 of 76	51.750	08-1831D	Multi-Purpose Sports Turf Field - Central Park	Issaquah City of	\$500,000	\$1,645,000	\$2,145,000	\$3,051,639	\$500,000	\$500,000 (1)
9 of 76	51.438	08-1630C	Rocky Hill Park	Liberty Lake City of	\$503,500	\$1,710,000	\$2,213,500	\$3,555,139	\$503,500	\$503,500
10 of 76	51.125	08-1091D	Wright Park Spray and Playground	Tacoma MPD	\$500,000	\$1,075,149	\$1,575,149	\$4,055,139	\$376,861	\$426,683 P (2)
11 of 76	51.000	08-1205A	Fallen Leaf Lake Park	Camas City of	\$1,000,000	\$1,067,800	\$2,067,800	\$5,055,139	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
12 of 76	50.875	08-1303D	Kiwanis Park Splash Park and Boardwalk	Mount Vernon Parks & Rec Dept	\$322,000	\$322,000	\$644,000	\$5,377,139	\$322,000	\$322,000
13 of 76	50.625	08-1538D	Trustland Trails Parking and Connection	South Whidbey Parks & Rec Dist	\$56,198	\$104,360	\$160,558	\$5,433,337	\$56,198	\$56,198
14 of 76	50.500	08-1596A	Ward Lake Acquisition	Olympia Parks, Arts & Rec	\$750,000	\$1,500,000	\$2,250,000	\$6,183,337	\$750,000	\$750,000
15 of 76	50.000	08-1290A	Tenino City Park Expansion - WWRP	Tenino City of	\$57,500	\$57,500	\$115,000	\$6,240,837	\$57,500	\$57,500
15 of 76	50.000	08-1292A	Ballard Park Acquisition	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$350,000	\$2,826,193	\$3,176,193	\$6,590,837	\$350,000	\$350,000
15 of 76	50.000	08-1212A	Agnew Soccer Fields	Clallam Co Parks Dept	\$205,500	\$205,500	\$411,000	\$6,796,337	\$205,500	\$205,500
18 of 76	49.875	08-1299D	Memorial Field Renovation-2008	Skagit County Parks & Rec	\$65,178	\$72,822	\$138,000	\$6,861,515	\$115,000	\$65,178 P (3)
18 of 76	49.875	08-1094D	Children's Nature Exploration Area	Tacoma MPD	\$350,000	\$456,476	\$806,476	\$7,211,515	\$350,000	\$350,000
20 of 76	49.750	08-1390A	Tolle Anderson Park Acquisition	Issaquah City of	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$8,211,515	Alternate	Alternate (4)
21 of 76	48.500	08-1133D	Stadler Ridge Park	Lynnwood City of	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$700,000	\$8,561,515	\$350,000	\$350,000
22 of 76	48.375	08-1340D	Vashon Athletic Fields Improvements Ph 2 & 3	Vashon Park District	\$500,000	\$628,876	\$1,128,876	\$9,061,515	\$368,802	\$368,802 P (5)
23 of 76	48.250	08-1209D	Lighthouse Park Phase 2	Mukilteo City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$9,561,515	Alternate	Alternate
24 of 76	48.000	08-1251D	D. Douglas Community Park Renovation	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$1,120,822	\$1,620,822	\$10,061,515	Alternate	Alternate
24 of 76	48.000	08-1305D	Camas Field of Dreams Sports Complex: Phase 1	Camas City of	\$500,000	\$1,466,724	\$1,966,724	\$10,561,515	Alternate	Alternate
24 of 76	48.000	08-1149D	Ron Regis Park Phase II	Renton City of	\$500,000	\$1,970,700	\$2,470,700	\$11,061,515	Alternate	Alternate
27 of 76	47.875	08-1374D	Steve Cox Memorial Park, Phase II	King County DNR & Parks	\$500,000	\$505,000	\$1,005,000	\$11,561,515	Alternate	Alternate
27 of 76	47.875	08-1455D	Cirque Park Phase 2	University Place City of	\$417,907	\$417,908	\$835,815	\$11,979,422	Alternate	Alternate
29 of 76	47.375	08-1425D	Badger Mountain Park Water Spray Improvements	Richland Parks & Rec	\$177,893	\$177,893	\$355,786	\$12,157,315	Alternate	Alternate
29 of 76	47.375	08-1082D	Finch Spray Park	Aberdeen Parks & Rec Dept	\$120,000	\$122,218	\$242,218	\$12,277,315	Alternate	Alternate
31 of 76	47.250	08-1310D	Perrigo Park Development Phase 2	Redmond City of	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$12,777,315	Alternate	Alternate
31 of 76	47.250	08-1284D	Jefferson Park Development	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$722,142	\$1,222,142	\$13,277,315	Alternate	Alternate
33 of 76	47.000	08-1801D	Paul Powers Park	Port Orchard City of	\$300,000	\$349,907	\$649,907	\$13,577,315	Alternate	Alternate
34 of 76	46.625	08-1748A	Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Acquisition	Bellevue City of	\$1,000,000	\$2,630,000	\$3,630,000	\$14,577,315	Alternate	Alternate (6)
35 of 76	46.500	08-1430D	Claybell Park Improvements	Richland Parks & Rec	\$497,600	\$497,600	\$995,200	\$15,074,915	Alternate	Alternate
36 of 76	46.375	08-1370D	Yakima Youth Soccer Complex	Yakima Parks & Recreation	\$500,000	\$1,580,011	\$2,080,011	\$15,574,915	Alternate	Alternate
36 of 76	46.375	08-1115A	Garrett Property Acquisition	Washougal City of	\$355,460	\$355,460	\$710,920	\$15,930,375	Alternate	Alternate
38 of 76	46.250	08-1382D	Memorial Park Improvements - Phase 1	Carnation City of	\$32,800	\$32,900	\$65,700	\$15,963,175	Alternate	Alternate
39 of 76	46.125	08-1404D	Hales Pass Renovation	Peninsula Metropolitan Park	\$500,000	\$516,476	\$1,016,476	\$16,463,175	Alternate	Alternate
39 of 76	46.125	08-1469A	Cordata Park Acquisition	Bellingham Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$533,333	\$1,033,333	\$16,963,175	Alternate	Alternate
39 of 76	46.125	08-1309D	Phase II - Greenacres Park Development	Spokane Valley City of	\$500,000	\$700,000	\$1,200,000	\$17,463,175	Alternate	Alternate
42 of 76	46.000	08-1293A	Knights Forest	Peninsula Metropolitan Park	\$693,800	\$693,800	\$1,387,600	\$18,156,975	Alternate	Alternate
42 of 76	46.000	08-1714D	Columbia Park Off Leash Dog Park	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$18,656,975	Alternate	Alternate
44 of 76	45.750	08-1089D	SERA Skate Park, Spray and Playground	Tacoma MPD	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$1,250,000	\$19,156,975	Alternate	Alternate

Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Local Parks
State Fiscal Year 2010

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
45 of 76	45.500	08-1588D	Hamlin Park Renovation	Shoreline City of	\$500,000	\$1,024,999	\$1,524,999	\$19,656,975	Alternate	Alternate
46 of 76	45.375	08-1154A	Sunset Park Acquisition	Clark County Parks Dept	\$540,850	\$540,853	\$1,081,703	\$20,197,825	Alternate	Alternate
46 of 76	45.375	08-1880D	West Hill Park Development 3	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$300,000	\$516,282	\$816,282	\$20,497,825	Alternate	Alternate
48 of 76	45.250	08-1145D	Ashford Community Park, Phase 1	Pierce County Parks & Rec	\$500,000	\$2,949,365	\$3,449,365	\$20,997,825	Alternate	Alternate
49 of 76	45.000	08-1539D	Sunset Crossing Park Development	Airway Heights City of	\$150,000	\$159,795	\$309,795	\$21,147,825	Alternate	Alternate
50 of 76	44.375	08-1163D	Curtin Creek Community Park	Clark County Parks Dept	\$500,000	\$2,381,597	\$2,881,597	\$21,647,825	Alternate	Alternate
50 of 76	44.375	08-1196D	Northgate Urban Center Park Development Ph 1	Seattle Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$1,619,777	\$2,119,777	\$22,147,825	Alternate	Alternate
52 of 76	44.250	08-1473D	Beacon Park Phase 1	Montesano City of	\$217,500	\$217,500	\$435,000	\$22,365,325	Alternate	Alternate
53 of 76	44.125	08-1137A	WRAC Acquisition	Wenatchee City of	\$410,150	\$410,150	\$820,300	\$22,775,475	Alternate	Alternate
54 of 76	43.125	08-1706D	Naches Trail Preserve	Pierce Co Water Programs Div	\$282,383	\$282,383	\$564,766	\$23,057,858	Alternate	Alternate
55 of 76	42.875	08-1263D	Volunteer Park Renovation Phase 1	Key Peninsula Metro Park Dist	\$486,750	\$486,750	\$973,500	\$23,544,608	Alternate	Alternate
56 of 76	42.750	08-1150A	Mackie Park	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$501,358	\$501,358	\$1,002,716	\$24,045,966	Alternate	Alternate
56 of 76	42.750	08-1636C	Paradise Valley Mt. Bike Skill Center	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$499,500	\$1,944,838	\$2,444,338	\$24,545,466	Alternate	Alternate
58 of 76	42.000	08-1758D	DuPont Skate Park	DuPont City of	\$252,568	\$252,568	\$505,136	\$24,798,034	Alternate	Alternate
59 of 76	41.875	08-1685D	Hansen Park - Phase III Amenities	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$25,298,034	Alternate	Alternate
59 of 76	41.875	08-1647D	Cromwell Park Renovation	Shoreline City of	\$500,000	\$1,050,000	\$1,550,000	\$25,798,034	Alternate	Alternate
61 of 76	41.625	08-1658D	Torguson Park Playground Equipment	North Bend City of	\$32,500	\$32,500	\$65,000	\$25,830,534	Alternate	Alternate
62 of 76	41.375	08-1159D	Lauren Neighborhood Park	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$294,904	\$294,904	\$589,808	\$26,125,438	Alternate	Alternate
63 of 76	41.125	08-1441A	Victor Falls Viewpoint	Pierce County Parks & Rec	\$246,200	\$246,200	\$492,400	\$26,371,638	Alternate	Alternate
64 of 76	41.000	08-1418D	Shelterbelt Linear Park Trail Improvements	Richland Parks & Rec	\$446,445	\$446,445	\$892,890	\$26,818,083	Alternate	Alternate
65 of 76	40.750	08-1066D	Mason County Recreation Area Renovation	Mason County	\$400,000	\$409,500	\$809,500	\$27,218,083	Alternate	Alternate
66 of 76	40.375	08-1162D	Bosco Place Neighborhood Park	Clark County Parks Dept	\$491,587	\$491,587	\$983,174	\$27,709,670	Alternate	Alternate
67 of 76	40.000	08-1652D	Ponderosa Park Renovation	Cle Elum City of	\$100,000	\$119,879	\$219,879	\$27,809,670	Alternate	Alternate
68 of 76	39.625	08-1237A	Community Park Acquisition	College Place City of	\$392,868	\$392,868	\$785,736	\$28,202,538	Alternate	Alternate
69 of 76	39.375	08-1161D	Lakeshore Neighborhood Park	Clark County Parks Dept	\$352,273	\$352,273	\$704,546	\$28,554,811	Alternate	Alternate
69 of 76	39.375	08-1600D	College Marketplace Ballfields	Poulsbo City of	\$240,114	\$240,115	\$480,229	\$28,794,925	Alternate	Alternate
71 of 76	39.000	08-1095A	Oak Tree Park Expansion	Tacoma MPD	\$323,500	\$323,500	\$647,000	\$29,118,425	Alternate	Alternate
72 of 76	38.000	08-1302D	Dayton Skate Park Development	Dayton City of	\$36,728	\$36,728	\$73,456	\$29,155,153	Alternate	Alternate
73 of 76	37.000	08-1759D	Southridge Sports Fields Park	Kennewick Parks & Rec Dept	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$29,655,153	Alternate	Alternate
74 of 76	36.375	08-1254A	Southwest County UGA Community Park	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$1,000,000	\$1,859,470	\$2,859,470	\$30,655,153	Alternate	Alternate
75 of 76	34.750	08-1608D	Torguson Park Climbing Rock	North Bend City of	\$72,000	\$72,845	\$144,845	\$30,727,153	Alternate	Alternate
76 of 76	29.875	08-1087A	Happy Dell Park Acquisition	Kettle Falls City of	\$92,500	\$95,000	\$187,500	\$30,819,653	Alternate	Alternate
					\$30,819,653	\$54,912,510	\$85,732,163		\$7,857,000	\$7,857,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.
indicates acquisition amounts

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

No less than 50% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Funding level at \$70M: \$7,857,000; acquisition limit \$3,928,500.

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Italics Per WWRP statute, 50% of the funding goes to acquisition projects. However as passed by the legislature the funding is approximately 30% acquisition, 70% development projects.

- (1) Sponsor match and total cost of project 08-1831D, Issaquah Multipurpose Sports Turf Field, reduced to correspond with amount of match certified.
- (2) Tacoma's partially funded Wright Park Spray and Playground, 08-1091D, eligible for full funding. Used \$49,822 from 08-1299, see (3) below.
- (3) The grant request, sponsor match and total cost of project 08-1299D, Skagit County Memorial Field Renovation, were reduced to correspond
- (4) Issaquah's Tolle Anderson Park Acquisition, 08-1390A, legislature changed to alternate, funding applied to higher ranked development projects, this is the first eligible acquisition alternate.
- (5) Vashon Park District's partially funded Vashon Athletic Fields Improvements Phase 2 & 3, 08-1340D, eligible for full funding.
- (6) Bellevue's Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Acquisition, 08-1748A, was changed to alternate by the legislature. The funding was applied to higher ranked development projects. This is now the second eligible acquisition alternate.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - State Lands Development & Renovation
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 13	46.750	08-1165D	Mt. Si NRCA Trail Bridges Development 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$170,000	\$18,000	\$188,000	\$170,000	\$170,000	\$170,000
2 of 13	43.625	08-1052D	Samish Overlook	Dept of Natural Resources	\$325,000		\$325,000	\$495,000	\$325,000	\$325,000
3 of 13	42.250	08-1487D	W Fork Satsop River Access Improvements	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$324,837		\$324,837	\$819,837	\$324,837	\$324,837
4 of 13	40.875	08-1485D	Whatcom ADA Dock Replacement	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$324,600		\$324,600	\$1,144,437	\$324,600	\$324,600
5 of 13	40.375	08-1489D	Colockum Access Improvements	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$284,358		\$284,358	\$1,428,795	\$165,063	\$165,063 P (1)
6 of 13	39.875	08-1484D	Teaway Junction Access Improvements	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$324,932		\$324,932	\$1,753,727	Alternate	Alternate
7 of 13	39.750	08-1406D	Chehalis River SP NAP Access Development 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$250,000		\$250,000	\$2,003,727	Alternate	Alternate
8 of 13	35.750	08-1475D	Lower Monitor Wenatchee River Access Development	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$315,811		\$315,811	\$2,319,538	Alternate	Alternate
9 of 13	35.625	08-1816D	Tim's Pond Public Access	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$320,650		\$320,650	\$2,640,188	Alternate	Alternate
10 of 13	35.500	08-1486D	Shillapoo North Unit Parking Lot Development	Dept of Fish & Wildlife	\$313,900		\$313,900	\$2,954,088	Alternate	Alternate
11 of 13	34.625	08-1405D	Loomis NRCA Trail Relocation Development 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$175,000		\$175,000	\$3,129,088	Alternate	Alternate
12 of 13	34.375	08-1049D	Mailbox Peak Trail Development - Phase 1	Dept of Natural Resources	\$325,000	\$105,500	\$430,500	\$3,454,088	Alternate	Alternate
13 of 13	32.875	08-1407D	Merrill Lake NRCA Development 2008	Dept of Natural Resources	\$143,000		\$143,000	\$3,597,088	Alternate	Alternate
					\$3,597,088	\$123,500	\$3,720,588		\$1,309,500	\$1,309,500

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.
Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$1,309,500

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) WDFW's Colockum Access Improvements, 08-1489D, partially funded, eligible for full funding.

Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - State Parks
State Fiscal Year 2010

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1	46.000	08-1266D	Pearrygin Lake Expansion Phase 1 Dev	State Parks	\$1,000,000	\$1,526,553	\$2,526,553	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0 (1)
2	37.750	08-1884A	Pearrygin Lake - Hill Acquisition	State Parks	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$3,000,000	<i>Alternate</i>	\$1,000,000 P (2)
3	37.125	08-1822A	Statewide Inholdings and Adjacent 2008	State Parks	\$750,000		\$750,000	\$3,750,000	<i>\$750,000</i>	<i>\$750,000</i>
4	36.500	08-1329A	Kiket Island Acquisition 2008	State Parks	\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	\$6,250,000	<i>\$2,500,000</i>	<i>\$2,500,000</i>
5	31.750	08-1363A	Loomis Lake Acquisitions	State Parks	\$2,516,675		\$2,516,675	\$8,766,675	<i>Alternate</i>	<i>\$400,000</i> P (3)
6	35.375	08-1808A	Seaview Dunes - Doney	State Parks	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	\$10,766,675	<i>\$2,000,000</i>	<i>\$1,600,000</i> P (4)
7	43.625	08-1277D	Steamboat Rock - Campground Phase 2	State Parks	\$2,906,696		\$2,906,696	\$13,673,371	\$1,607,000	\$1,607,000 P (5)
8	34.125	08-1216A	Rockport State Park Expansion - Moran/Arthun Acq	State Parks	\$722,200		\$722,200	\$14,395,571	<i>Alternate</i>	<i>Alternate</i>
9	32.375	08-1364A	Cape Disappointment Eagle's Nest Acquisition	State Parks	\$2,479,345		\$2,479,345	\$16,874,916	<i>Alternate</i>	<i>Alternate</i>
10	36.750	08-1849D	Kanaskat-Palmer Campground	State Parks	\$2,600,000		\$2,600,000	\$19,474,916	<i>Alternate</i>	<i>Alternate</i>
11	37.875	08-1834A	Deception Pass State Park - Whidbey Market Acq	State Parks	\$681,175		\$681,175	\$20,156,091	<i>Alternate</i>	<i>Alternate</i>
					\$20,156,091	\$1,526,553	\$21,682,644		\$7,857,000	\$7,857,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Italics indicates acquisition amounts

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

No less than 50% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Funding level \$70M, \$7,857,000, acquisition limit \$3,928,500.

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Changes made are based on State Parks Commission's priorities.

(1) The capital budget for State Parks did not include sponsor match for \$1,526,553 for 08-1266, Pearrygin Lake Expansion, so the sponsor is unable to implement the project at this time.

(2) State Park's Pearrygin Lake - Hill Acquisition, 08-1884A, received partial funding of \$1,000,000 from 08-1266D. It is eligible for full funding.

(3) State Park's Loomis Lake Acquisitions, 08-1363A, received partial funding, eligible for full funding. \$400,000 from 08-1808A.

(4) State Park's Seaview Dunes - Doney, 08-1808A, received partial funding, eligible for full funding. \$400,000 transferred to 08-1363A.

(5) State Park's Steamboat Rock - Campground Phase 2, 08-1277D, received partial funding, eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Trails
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amt	Total Amt	Cumulative Amt.	Legislature	Staff Recommendations
1 of 36	63.000	08-1075D	Spruce Railroad Trail Tunnel Restoration	Clallam Co Public Works Dept	\$999,000	\$1,230,000	\$2,229,000	\$999,000	\$999,000	\$999,000
2 of 36	60.643	08-1332D	Centennial Trail Realignment at Gateway Park	Spokane County Parks & Rec	\$197,974	\$197,974	\$395,948	\$1,196,974	\$197,974	\$197,974
3 of 36	56.286	08-1361D	Willapa Hills Trail - Chehalis to Adna	State Parks	\$300,000		\$300,000	\$1,496,974	\$300,000	\$300,000
4 of 36	55.500	08-1314D	Olympic Discovery Trail - Dry Creek Bridge	Port Angeles City of	\$379,670	\$379,746	\$759,416	\$1,876,644	\$379,670	\$379,670
5 of 36	54.857	08-1773C	Larry Scott Trail Final Phase	Jefferson Co Public Works	\$590,830	\$590,830	\$1,181,660	\$2,467,474	\$590,830	\$590,830
6 of 36	54.143	08-1690D	Interurban Trail - 3rd Ave SW to Stewart Road	Pacific City of	\$267,878	\$267,879	\$535,757	\$2,735,352	\$267,878	\$267,878
7 of 36	52.643	08-1432A	The Ridge Acquisition	Richland Parks & Rec	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$2,600,000	\$4,035,352	Alternate	Alternate (1)
8 of 36	52.286	08-1635D	Des Moines Creek Trail- Waterfront Connection	Des Moines Parks & Rec Dept	\$579,083	\$589,960	\$1,169,043	\$4,614,435	\$579,083	\$579,083
9 of 36	51.143	08-1252D	Chelatchie Prairie Rail-with-Trail Phase 1	Clark County Parks Dept	\$951,361	\$951,361	\$1,902,722	\$5,565,796	\$623,565	\$0 (2)
10 of 36	50.714	08-1697D	Interurban Trail Edmonds	Edmonds Parks & Recreation	\$577,000	\$578,080	\$1,155,080	\$6,142,796	\$577,000	\$577,000
11 of 36	50.571	08-1698C	Historic Iron Bridge Renovation	Spokane City of	\$493,000	\$493,024	\$986,024	\$6,635,796	\$530,000	\$493,000 (3)
12 of 36	50.500	08-1298D	Mount Vernon Riverfront Promenade Trail	Mount Vernon City of	\$1,525,796	\$1,525,796	\$3,051,592	\$8,161,592	\$193,000	\$853,565 P (4)
13 of 36	50.429	08-1262C	Sumner Trail #1 Confluence Trail to Bridge Street	Sumner City of	\$349,869	\$349,869	\$699,738	\$8,511,461	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 36	50.357	08-1774C	Cushman-Scott Pierson Trails Connector	Peninsula Metropolitan Park	\$1,206,247	\$1,206,247	\$2,412,494	\$9,717,708	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 36	50.143	08-1797A	Chambers - Leach Creek Trail	University Place City of	\$70,700	\$71,250	\$141,950	\$9,788,408	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 36	49.857	08-1369D	Foothills Trail Development	King County DNR & Parks	\$600,000	\$604,000	\$1,204,000	\$10,388,408	Alternate	Alternate
17 of 36	49.357	08-1775D	Centennial Trail Phase 1 Stage 3	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$914,000	\$914,000	\$1,828,000	\$11,302,408	Alternate	Alternate
18 of 36	49.000	08-1313C	Sumner Trail #4 - 24th St. Bridge Connection	Sumner City of	\$227,410	\$227,410	\$454,820	\$11,529,818	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 36	48.071	08-1444D	Foothills Trail - Buckley to So. Prairie Phase 2	Pierce County Parks & Rec	\$1,133,627	\$1,134,628	\$2,268,255	\$12,663,445	Alternate	Alternate
20 of 36	48.000	08-1297D	Sumner Trail #5 White River Trail	Sumner City of	\$463,535	\$1,736,465	\$2,200,000	\$13,126,980	Alternate	Alternate
20 of 36	48.000	08-1676D	E Lk Sammamish Trail-Issaquah Segment	King County of	\$2,000,000	\$2,487,842	\$4,487,842	\$15,126,980	Alternate	Alternate
22 of 36	47.714	08-1110D	E.Lk Sammamish Trail -Redmond Segment	King County of	\$2,000,000	\$2,029,929	\$4,029,929	\$17,126,980	Alternate	Alternate
23 of 36	47.429	08-1566D	Interurban Trail & Trailhead Phase 2	Edgewood City of	\$1,150,555	\$1,150,555	\$2,301,110	\$18,277,535	Alternate	Alternate
23 of 36	47.429	08-1800D	White River Trail Extension	Auburn City of	\$154,000	\$154,001	\$308,001	\$18,431,535	Alternate	Alternate
25 of 36	46.786	08-1770D	Naches Trail, Phase 1	Yakima County Public Services	\$672,521	\$672,521	\$1,345,042	\$19,104,056	Alternate	Alternate
25 of 36	46.786	08-1451D	Johnson Avenue Path	Pullman City of	\$385,275	\$385,275	\$770,550	\$19,489,331	Alternate	Alternate
27 of 36	46.571	08-1668D	Bremerton Boardwalk Trail 08	Bremerton City of	\$3,000,000	\$9,535,867	\$12,535,867	\$22,489,331	Alternate	Alternate
28 of 36	45.857	08-1764D	Historic Water Ditch Trail	Tacoma City of	\$493,000	\$493,000	\$986,000	\$22,982,331	Alternate	Alternate
29 of 36	44.643	08-1812D	Clear Creek Meadows Trail Development	Kitsap County Public Works	\$41,868	\$43,700	\$85,568	\$23,024,199	Alternate	Alternate
30 of 36	44.214	08-1270A	Yakima Levee Trail Acquisition	State Parks	\$198,108		\$198,108	\$23,222,307	Alternate	Alternate
31 of 36	42.429	08-1086D	Bear/Evans Creek Trail & Greenway at Johnson Park	Redmond City of	\$719,917	\$719,917	\$1,439,834	\$23,942,224	Alternate	Alternate
32 of 36	40.643	08-1211A	Bear/Evans Creek Trail & Greenway - Reid Property	Redmond Parks & Rec Dept	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000	\$2,500,000	\$25,192,224	Alternate	Alternate
33 of 36	40.143	08-1250D	Pearson Park Trail	Vancouver Parks & Rec Dept	\$214,630	\$214,630	\$429,260	\$25,406,854	Alternate	Alternate
34 of 36	38.143	08-1223D	Sequim Bay - Pedestrian Bridge	State Parks	\$1,185,882	\$20,000	\$1,205,882	\$26,592,736	Alternate	Alternate
35 of 36	37.214	08-1767D	Vancouver Lake Trail Extension 2	Clark County Parks Dept	\$848,138	\$848,138	\$1,696,276	\$27,440,874	Alternate	Alternate
36 of 36	33.643	08-1762A	Tanner Trail Acquisition	North Bend City of	\$1,997,037	\$1,997,037	\$3,994,074	\$29,437,911	Alternate	Alternate
					\$29,437,911	\$36,350,931	\$65,788,842		\$5,238,000	\$5,238,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.
Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$5,238,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

- (1) Richland's 'The Ridge Acquisition', 08-1432A, was changed to alternate by the legislature.
- (2) Project 08-1252D, Clark County's Chelatchie Prairie Rail-with-Trail was funded with unused WWRP funds in a prior biennium (06-1983D), \$623,565 transferred to 08-1298D (see note #4).
- (3) Sponsor match and total cost of project 08-1698C, Spokane's Historic Iron Bridge Renovation, reduced to correspond with amount of match certified, \$37,000 transferred to 08-1298D.
- (4) Mt Vernon's Riverfront Promenade Trail, 08-1298D, was partially funded with \$623,565 from 08-1252D, and \$37,000 from 08-1698C. It is eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Water Access
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 27	54.250	08-1096A	Wapato Park Miranda Property Acquisition	Tacoma MPD	\$231,663	\$231,663	\$463,326	\$231,663	\$231,663	\$231,663
2 of 27	52.125	08-1210D	Chambers Creek North Dock & Pedestrian Overpass	Pierce Co Public Works	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$1,500,000	\$981,663	\$750,000	\$750,000
3 of 27	49.750	08-1084D	Wapato Park Shoreline Access	Tacoma MPD	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,481,663	\$232,125	\$232,125 P (1)
4 of 27	46.250	08-1409A	Devil's Head Acquisition	Pierce County Parks & Rec	\$1,687,500	\$1,687,500	\$3,375,000	\$3,169,163	\$1,687,500	\$1,687,500
5 of 27	44.750	08-1417A	Cowlitz River Acquisition (Eaton)	Longview City of	\$126,872	\$126,872	\$253,744	\$3,296,035	\$126,872	\$126,872
6 of 27	44.375	08-1771D	Lk Samm State Park: Sunset Beach Renovation 1	State Parks	\$998,382		\$998,382	\$4,294,417	Alternate	Alternate
7 of 27	44.250	08-1235A	Wollochet Bay Estuary Park	Peninsula Metropolitan Park	\$369,350	\$369,350	\$738,700	\$4,663,767	\$369,350	\$369,350
8 of 27	44.125	08-1019D	Eddon Boat Park	Gig Harbor City of	\$602,205	\$602,205	\$1,204,410	\$5,265,972	Alternate	Alternate
9 of 27	44.000	08-1587A	Lily Point Acquisition II	Whatcom County Parks & Rec	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$6,265,972	\$530,990	\$530,990 P (2)
10 of 27	43.500	08-1349D	Norwegian Point Park-Phase 1	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$490,000	\$490,000	\$980,000	\$6,755,972	Alternate	Alternate
11 of 27	43.000	08-1144D	Tanner Landing Whitewater Access Park, Phase II	King County DNR & Parks	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$6,855,972	Alternate	Alternate
12 of 27	42.625	08-1354A	Matinjussi Panther Lake Acquisition	Kent Parks, Rec & Comm Serv	\$1,229,875	\$1,233,375	\$2,463,250	\$8,085,847	Alternate	Alternate
13 of 27	42.375	08-1595D	Percival Landing Rehabilitation	Olympia Parks, Arts & Rec	\$500,000	\$551,950	\$1,051,950	\$8,585,847	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 27	42.125	08-1208D	Steamboat Landing Improvements	Washougal City of	\$248,542	\$248,542	\$497,084	\$8,834,389	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 27	42.000	08-1273A	Eagle Point Land Acquisition	Shelton City of	\$320,000	\$320,000	\$640,000	\$9,154,389	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 27	40.875	08-1280A	Dutcher Cove Uplands Acquisition	Key Peninsula Metro Park Dist	\$525,000	\$625,000	\$1,150,000	\$9,679,389	Alternate	Alternate
17 of 27	40.750	08-1286A	Judd Cove Water Access	San Juan County Land Bank	\$450,000	\$775,774	\$1,225,774	\$10,129,389	Alternate	Alternate
18 of 27	40.500	08-1118D	Hathaway Park Drift Boat Launch Replacement	Washougal City of	\$44,932	\$44,933	\$89,865	\$10,174,321	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 27	40.000	08-1459A	Dryden Dam Water Access	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$630,000		\$630,000	\$10,804,321	Alternate	Alternate
20 of 27	39.000	08-1268D	Pearrygin Lake - Swim Beach Development	State Parks	\$775,055		\$775,055	\$11,579,376	Alternate	Alternate
21 of 27	38.125	08-1234C	Point Ruston Promenade	Tacoma City of	\$880,000	\$3,031,305	\$3,911,305	\$12,459,376	Alternate	Alternate
22 of 27	37.750	08-1766A	Lake Sammamish Park	Bellevue City of	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$1,900,000	\$13,409,376	Alternate	Alternate
23 of 27	37.250	08-1888C	Allyn Waterfront Park Expansion	Allyn Port of	\$299,250	\$365,750	\$665,000	\$13,708,626	Alternate	Alternate
24 of 27	31.875	08-1224D	Cama Beach - Marine Railway Renovation	State Parks	\$285,728		\$285,728	\$13,994,354	Alternate	Alternate
25 of 27	31.750	08-1560A	High Lakes (Weyco)	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$556,395		\$556,395	\$14,550,749	Alternate	Alternate
26 of 27	30.625	08-1813D	Manchester Shoreline Access Development	Manchester Port of	\$100,000	\$120,350	\$220,350	\$14,650,749	Alternate	Alternate
27 of 27	28.500	08-1821D	Silverdale Sailboat Storage Float	Silverdale Port of	\$45,112	\$45,112	\$90,224	\$14,695,861	Alternate	Alternate
					\$14,695,861	\$14,169,681	\$28,865,542		\$3,928,500	\$3,928,500

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.

Italics indicates acquisition amounts

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

No less than 75% of the funds allocated in this category must be used for acquisition.

Funding level at \$70M: \$3,928,500; acquisition limit \$2,946,375

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) Tacoma MPD's partially funded Wapato Park Shoreline Access, 08-1084D, eligible for full funding.

(2) Whatcom County's partially funded Lilly Point Acquisition II, 08-1587A, eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Riparian Protection
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 32	99.400	08-1627A	Kiket Island Riparian Acquisition	State Parks	\$2,000,000	\$4,149,400	\$6,149,400	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
2 of 32	98.400	08-1330A	Harstine Island - Scott Acquisition	State Parks	\$2,550,250	\$2,550,250	\$5,100,500	\$4,550,250	\$2,550,250	\$2,550,250
2 of 32	98.400	08-1241A	Green River Acquisition	King County DNR & Parks	\$875,000	\$875,000	\$1,750,000	\$5,425,250	\$875,000	\$875,000
4 of 32	92.800	08-1356A	Dosewallips State Park Riparian Acquisition	State Parks	\$636,200	\$317,500	\$953,700	\$6,061,450	\$636,200	\$636,200
5 of 32	91.200	08-1188A	Woodard Bay NRCA Riparian 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$1,295,700		\$1,295,700	\$7,357,150	\$1,295,700	\$1,295,700
6 of 32	90.000	08-1157A	Chehalis River Surge Plain NAP Riparian 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$719,670		\$719,670	\$8,076,820	\$719,670	\$719,670
7 of 32	88.000	08-1520A	Black River Conservation Initiative - Riparian	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$920,180		\$920,180	\$8,997,000	\$920,180	\$920,180
8 of 32	87.200	08-1124A	Minter Creek Phase 1	Key Peninsula Metro Park Dist	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$240,000	\$9,117,000	\$120,000	\$120,000
9 of 32	86.200	08-1848C	Squak Valley Park Creekside Restoration	Issaquah City of	\$450,000	\$962,000	\$1,412,000	\$9,567,000	\$450,000	\$450,000
10 of 32	84.800	08-1183A	Stavis NRCA / Kitsap Forest NAP Riparian 2008	Natural Resources Dept of	\$3,423,052		\$3,423,052	\$12,990,052	\$133,000	\$133,000 P (1)
11 of 32	84.400	08-1178A	Dabob Bay Natural Area Riparian	Natural Resources Dept of	\$2,900,415		\$2,900,415	\$15,890,467	Alternate	Alternate
12 of 32	83.800	08-1689A	Goldsborough Creek Acquisition	Mason County	\$275,000	\$280,000	\$555,000	\$16,165,467	Alternate	Alternate
13 of 32	83.000	08-1513A	McLoughlin Falls	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,821,050		\$1,821,050	\$17,986,517	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 32	82.000	08-1225A	Big Gulch Estuary	Mukilteo City of	\$258,575	\$258,575	\$517,150	\$18,245,092	Alternate	Alternate
15 of 32	80.000	08-1514A	Mesa Lake	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,000,900		\$1,000,900	\$19,245,992	Alternate	Alternate
16 of 32	79.800	08-1517A	Colville River Valley Riparian	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$891,447		\$891,447	\$20,137,439	Alternate	Alternate
17 of 32	79.600	08-1905A	Glen Cove Riparian Area	State Parks	\$456,225		\$456,225	\$20,593,664	Alternate	Alternate
18 of 32	78.800	08-1232A	Hoko River State Park - Warnock Acquisition	State Parks	\$644,516		\$644,516	\$21,238,180	Alternate	Alternate
19 of 32	77.800	08-1803A	Livingston Bay Riparian & Nearshore Acquisition	Island County of	\$1,009,100	\$1,018,500	\$2,027,600	\$22,247,280	Alternate	Alternate
20 of 32	75.800	08-1718A	Carpenter Riparian Corridor	Kitsap County Parks and Rec	\$307,550	\$307,550	\$615,100	\$22,554,830	Alternate	Alternate
21 of 32	75.200	08-1108A	Beaverton Marsh Riparian Acquisition	San Juan County Land Bank	\$1,500,000	\$4,000,000	\$5,500,000	\$24,054,830	Alternate	Alternate
22 of 32	73.800	08-1519A	Solduc Riparian	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$1,064,300		\$1,064,300	\$25,119,130	Alternate	Alternate
23 of 32	71.800	08-1315A	McSorley Creek Wetland Acquisition	Kent City of	\$450,268	\$450,268	\$900,536	\$25,569,398	Alternate	Alternate
24 of 32	70.800	08-1516A	Klickitat Steppe, Columbia Hills Phase 2	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$703,185		\$703,185	\$26,272,583	Alternate	Alternate
25 of 32	65.200	08-1619A	Lake Stickney Riparian Protection/Acquisition	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$1,153,900	\$1,153,900	\$2,307,800	\$27,426,483	Alternate	Alternate
26 of 32	63.000	08-1515A	Lyre River	Fish & Wildlife Dept of	\$2,696,904		\$2,696,904	\$30,123,387	Alternate	Alternate
27 of 32	58.800	08-2074A	Latah Creek Riparian Preservation	Spokane City of	\$45,900	\$45,900	\$91,800	\$30,169,287	Alternate	Alternate
28 of 32	55.200	08-2073A	Johnson Creek Restoration	Skagit County Public Works	\$31,500	\$31,500	\$63,000	\$30,200,787	Alternate	Alternate
29 of 32	54.800	08-1622A	Sandy Creek Restoration	Skagit County Public Works	\$35,438	\$35,438	\$70,876	\$30,236,225	Alternate	Alternate
30 of 32	53.000	08-1650A	Lake Serene Riparian Protection/Acquisition	Snohomish County Parks Dept	\$1,270,750	\$1,270,750	\$2,541,500	\$31,506,975	Alternate	Alternate
30 of 32	53.000	08-1207C	Doan Creek Restoration Phase 3	Walla Walla Co Cons Dist	\$51,250	\$95,000	\$146,250	\$31,558,225	Alternate	Alternate
32 of 32	52.200	08-1632C	Red Creek Easement and Restoration	Skagit County Public Works	\$54,600	\$80,028	\$134,628	\$31,612,825	Alternate	Alternate
					\$31,612,825	\$18,001,559	\$49,614,384		\$9,700,000	\$9,700,000

GENERAL NOTES:

"P" indicates partial funding.
Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$9,700,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) DNR's Stavis NRCA/Kitsap Forest NAP Riparian 2008, 08-1183A, received partial funding, eligible for full funding.

**Table 1
Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program - Farmland Preservation
State Fiscal Year 2010**

Rank	Score	Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	RCO Amount	Sponsor Amount	Total Amount	Cumulative Amount	Legislature	Staff Recommends
1 of 14	111.833	08-1804A	Smith Farm	Skagit County of	\$319,455	\$319,455	\$638,910	\$319,455	\$319,455	\$319,455
2 of 14	110.833	08-1238A	Nelson Ranch Farmland	Okanogan County of	\$616,050	\$646,350	\$1,262,400	\$935,505	\$616,050	\$616,050
3 of 14	108.333	08-1860A	Ebey's Reserve Farmland - Engle II	Island County of	\$672,500	\$672,500	\$1,345,000	\$1,608,005	\$672,500	\$672,500
4 of 14	105.333	08-1638A	Whatcom PDR 2008	Whatcom County of	\$379,750	\$384,750	\$764,500	\$1,987,755	\$379,750	\$379,750
5 of 14	103.833	08-1362A	Black River Ranch	Thurston County Parks & Rec	\$1,096,580	\$1,198,500	\$2,295,080	\$3,084,335	\$1,096,580	\$1,096,580
6 of 14	102.833	08-1153A	Finnriver Farm	Jefferson County of	\$207,500	\$211,500	\$419,000	\$3,291,835	\$207,500	\$207,500
7 of 14	102.667	08-1373A	Lower Methow Farmland	Okanogan County of	\$395,908	\$395,908	\$791,816	\$3,687,743	\$395,908	\$395,908
8 of 14	102.500	08-1324A	Wade Road Farm	Kittitas County of	\$175,500	\$199,000	\$374,500	\$3,863,243	\$175,500	\$175,500
9 of 14	101.667	08-1323A	Triple Creek Ranch 2008	Kittitas County of	\$650,425	\$1,395,575	\$2,046,000	\$4,513,668	\$650,425	\$650,425
10 of 14	100.833	08-1111A	Peoples Ranch 2nd Acquisition	Snohomish County of	\$343,210	\$343,210	\$686,420	\$4,856,878	\$343,210	\$343,210
11 of 14	100.333	08-1288A	Finn Hall Farm	Clallam County of	\$868,075	\$1,032,075	\$1,900,150	\$5,724,953	\$868,075	\$868,075
12 of 14	99.167	08-1214C	Brown Dairy	Jefferson County of	\$395,290	\$395,290	\$790,580	\$6,120,243	\$95,047	\$95,047 P (1)
13 of 14	95.000	08-1281A	Lopez Island Farmland	San Juan County Land Bank	\$300,000	\$348,000	\$648,000	\$6,420,243	Alternate	Alternate
14 of 14	88.667	08-1289A	West Farm	Pierce County of	\$273,000	\$273,000	\$546,000	\$6,693,243	Alternate	Alternate
					\$6,693,243	\$7,815,113	\$14,508,356		\$5,820,000	\$5,820,000

GENERAL NOTES:

P indicates partial funding.

Available funds will first go to partially funded projects, then alternates based on statutory/policy requirements.

Funding level at \$70M: \$5,820,000

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

(1) Jefferson County's Brown Dairy Project, 08-1214C, partially funded, eligible for full funding.

Resolution #2009-16

**Changes to Project Evaluation Processes:
Written Evaluations for Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicle Activities (NOVA) Program Education
and Enforcement (E&E) Category and Undersubscribed Categories**

WHEREAS, in-person Recreation and Conservation Funding Board (board) project evaluations require considerable time and resources from volunteer evaluators, project applicants, and staff; and

WHEREAS, some participants have asked the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) to find a way to reduce this commitment without diminishing the high quality of the reviews; and

WHEREAS, a less time and resource intensive system, based on written evaluations, rather than in-person presentations, is now successfully used in four board program categories and can be adapted to other board programs; and

WHEREAS, most stakeholders responded favorably to a proposal to use written evaluations for the Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicle Activities (NOVA) Education and Enforcement (E&E) Category and for undersubscribed categories, subject to director discretion; and

WHEREAS, evaluators would discuss project rankings and make final recommendations in person at the post-evaluation meetings; and

WHEREAS, adopting this revision would continue to ensure that the board funds the best projects as determined by a fair evaluation process, while also promoting the board's goals to be accountable for and efficient with its resources; and

WHEREAS, using written evaluations in other grant programs has shown that the process supports the board's goal to conduct its work in an open manner;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the board does hereby adopt the written evaluation process for the NOVA Program's Education and Enforcement category and projects that are in categories that are undersubscribed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the written evaluation process for projects in undersubscribed categories will be allowed only on a case-by-case basis, at the discretion of the RCO's director; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the board directs RCO staff to implement this revision beginning with the next evaluations.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Jeff Parson

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: July 9, 2009

