

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

As amended and approved during the September meeting

<i>DATE:</i>	<i>July 8 &9, 2004</i>	<i>PLACE: Red Lion Silverdale</i>
<i>TIME:</i>	<i>10:00 a.m.</i>	<i>Silverdale, Washington</i>

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER	2
CONSENT CALENDAR	2
MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT.....	2
LEGISLATIVE & POLICY ISSUES.....	5
ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS	7
MATCHING FUNDS POLICIES - UPDATE.....	10
LAND & WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF) GRANTS.....	13
2005-07 OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGETS - ADOPT	13
EXECUTIVE SESSION: THURSTON COUNTY ORV PARK AND NMA LITIGATION.....	14
REPORTS FROM PARTNERS	15

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

*DATE: July 8 & 9, 2004
TIME: 10:00 a.m.*

*PLACE: Red Lion Silverdale
Silverdale, Washington*

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Val Ogden called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.

She welcomed everyone and asked committee members to introduce themselves.

The agenda was reviewed and approved as proposed.

CONSENT CALENDAR

See notebook item #1 for details.

Bob Parlette **MOVED** adoption of the May 21, 2004, meeting minutes. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED** the motion. The May 21, 2004, meeting minutes were **APPROVED** as presented.

Karen Daubert **MOVED** adoption of the Time Extension request with corrected Table 1. Bob Parlette **SECONDED** the motion. The Time Extension was **APPROVED** as presented.

Craig Partridge **MOVED** adoption of the Recognition Resolution for Debra Wilhelmi. Karen Daubert **SECONDED** the motion. Board members and designees unanimously **APPROVED** the Recognition Resolution for Debra Wilhelmi.

MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORT

Director's Report

Director Laura Johnson reported on staff activities since the last meeting.

- Welcomed the Board to the meeting in Silverdale – pointed out various IAC funded grants in the locale.
- With Debra Wilhelmi's departure, Director Johnson has been covering some of her duties and staff has been extra busy.
- Explained reasons for postponement of Board strategic planning until the September meeting.
- Will be discussing several issues this afternoon (SSB6242, Biodiversity, Priorities of Government (POG), Washington Wildlife and Recreation Coalition (WWRC), and other items as they come up), which will be included in the September strategic plan discussion.
- Personnel activities:
 1. Offer has been made to a candidate for Communications Manager position,
 2. In the process of interviewing for the two Assistant Director positions (Administrative Services and Grants and Projects),
 3. Working on recruitment of Administrative Assistant 3 position, and
 4. Starting to develop job description for an Information Technology Assistant position to concentrate on PRISM upkeep and enhancement.
- Director Johnson discussed the possible future of federal grant monies. The amount for the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) is decreasing. There has been talk about a new federal grant program called "Great Outdoor America." As being discussed currently, this funding would go to states with oil reserves so Washington would not benefit from this grant fund.
- Tammy Owings explained the proposal for Board members to recycle notebook binders. She also reported that she was chosen to participate as a volunteer during the National Governor's Association (NGA) being held in Seattle July 16 - 19.
- Debra Wilhelmi plans to attend the September Board meeting for formal recognition.

Financial Report

Mark Jarasitis provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item #2b for details.)

- For the first time, all but a very small amount of WWRP funds has been committed.
- Director Johnson reported on a recent meeting with the Office of Financial Management (OFM) staff discussing the state's economic forecast. Although still down, the economic outlook looks better – the next biennium instead of \$1 billion in-the-hole will only be \$320 million in-the-hole, which, in the state budget world, is very manageable.

- Director Johnson also provided insight on the state budgets and what looks to be a very long legislative session getting to agreement on the 2005-07 capital and operating budgets.
- Bob Parlette asked Mark about interest bearing accounts. Mark explained that the Youth Athletic Facilities Account (YAF) fund is the only account that the IAC is able to use interest from. Other accounts are interest bearing but the interest goes into the general fund.

Planning Related Status Report

Greg Lovelady provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item #2c for details.)

Greg highlighted two items from the notebook memorandum:

- Congratulations to Jim Eychaner who was recently voted vice-president of the National Association of Recreation Resource Planners (NARRP). NARRP is a national professional organization for recreation planners working in government, consulting, academia, and related fields.
- The 2004 Trail Conference is planned for October 8 & 9 at Snoqualmie Pass. Board members are invited to this event.

Bob Parlette discussed the Trail Conference and commended Greg in keeping this effort alive and encouraged IAC members to attend.

Greg reported that the IAC web page has additional information on this conference and he will include the Board on e-mail updates.

Bob also asked Greg about the confidentiality statement under the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) update in the Planning Status report. Greg was not sure how to address this since Jim Eychaner is the point person on this issue. Director Johnson responded that this might be in reference to Federal rules for relicensing not state public disclosure rules. There are some business confidentiality issues that may be in place during the FERC relicensing process.¹

¹ Clarification Statement from Jim Eychaner: In at least one FERC process, Lewis River, the Collaborate Team agreed to ground rules that included the statement "Some information will need to be subject to a confidentiality agreement." *Lewis River Collaborate Team, Structure Ground Rules 7/28/99*. Public agency members of the Team reminded the applicant and other participants that public agencies, including IAC, are subject to public disclosure requests. However, we do attempt as a courtesy to maintain the spirit of confidentiality especially during sensitive negotiations. Therefore, the memo in the July 2004 IAC notebook may have been technically inaccurate in using the phrase "confidentiality issues have been invoked." Rather, it may have been more accurate to state that we recognize the need to minimize public statements regarding sensitive issues prior to reaching settlement or other agreement.

Karen Daubert asked about status of the FERC letter discussed during the April IAC meeting. Director Johnson responded that a draft letter is ready for Bob Parlette's review and possibly the Chair's signature at this meeting.

Project Services Report

Marguerite Austin provided this report to the Board. (See notebook item #2d for details.)

- Marguerite provided an update on project staff work done over the last couple of months and status of project review meetings.
- Representatives from the Washington Recreation and Parks Association (WRPA) will attend the September meeting to provide the Board with further recognition of Darrell Jennings. WRPA recently awarded him the 2004 Young Professional award.
- Chair Ogden would like Board members to be informed on when and where project review meetings will be held in the future.
- Bob Parlette asked about the ability of legislators to remove projects from the funding list under the WWRP program. Marguerite reported that this doesn't happen very often and only once to a local project. It does happen to state agency (habitat) projects more often, although still not very often. In most cases the legislators are aware of the projects and are supportive.

LEGISLATIVE & POLICY ISSUES

#3a NOVA Program Update

Greg Lovelady and Scott Chapman provided this report with a PowerPoint presentation. (See notebook item #3a for details.)

The Advisory Committee developed three different options for the definition of "eligible funding" (liberal, middle of the road, and conservative). The 15-person Advisory Committee could not reach consensus on which definition to adopt and so would like the IAC's views on this topic.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert asked about the number of projects usually requesting funds under this grant program, are there more projects than funding available?

Scott reported that it all depends on the year, some years there are more projects than dollars.

The Board discussed the new category of "other," also called "Nonhighway Road" (hunting, fishing, sightseeing, berry picking, etc.)

Bob Parlette suggested a procedure to decide eligibility with an appeal process through an administrative law judge. Staff noted IAC may already have such a process, with the Director as the review authority.

Craig Partridge seconded Bob's suggested process.

Chair Ogden and Yvonne Yokota both like the idea of an appeals process.

Larry Fairleigh noted that grantees believe the IAC is fair and the reason for this is that there is an extensive set of guidelines and procedures to follow through the grant process, although you can never plan for all situations. Grantees believe in the fairness and wisdom of the IAC staff and Board. He, as a grantee, would feel comfortable with staying with the statute as it is, not trying to interpret it one way or another but let the Board and staff make the decision, not try to second guess every possible situation.

Public Testimony

Jonathan Guzzo, Fair Trails Association and one of the hiker representatives from the committee, reported that the legislation that was passed provides enough guidelines for IAC staff to decide eligibility of the applications. He does think the proposed appeal process is a good idea but that it probably wouldn't be needed very often.

Karen asked for feedback from staff next year on how this process worked and if any other changes are needed. She was most concerned with projects on property with locked gates.

#3b – Possible Revisions to WWRP

Director Johnson introduced this agenda item. Several representatives from the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Coalition (WWRC) were able to join the IAC in this discussion (Joanna Grist, Mike Ryherd, and Bill Robinson).

Mike Ryherd provided an overview and history of the Coalition, the WWRP program, and HB2275.

Mike stated the IAC has never lobbied the Legislature for action; one reason is because the WWRC has been there for them and provides lobbying efforts for the WWRP.

Mike explained HB2275 and what passage of this piece of legislation would change in the WWRP grants process.

Chair Ogden asked about the in-lieu-of-taxes process. Bill Robinson explained that the amount identified as taxes would go directly to the county from the general funds. This would affect the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) only; the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) would continue to use the process currently in place.

Chair Ogden appointed a subcommittee consisting of Mark Quinn, Karen Daubert, and herself to work with the Coalition on revisions to this legislation.

Coalition representatives will attend the September IAC meeting for a longer discussion on this topic.

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS

(See notebook item #4 for details.)

Senator Linda Parlette, from the 12th Legislative District, was introduced. As a preliminary item, she discouraged the IAC from participating in lobbying efforts. The Legislature sees the IAC as an independent agency that has a fair and equitable process and lobbying efforts may change this perception.

SSB6242

Senator Parlette discussed the background on SSB6242 and how this bill came about and what it means for future efforts.

Paul Parker, Association of Counties, provided additional background on the development of SSB6242. The question “how much is enough” is what SSB6242 hopefully will help answer.

Bill Robinson suggested the Board go beyond the land inventory issue and also address the policy issues behind public lands. Bill suggested the report should answer what the real revenue problem for local government is.

The group discussed county taxes, recreation, and open space lands.

Director Johnson informed the IAC that land acquisition is an issue that also affects the Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) and how they do their allocations. Due to some of these overlapping issues, it has been suggested the IAC and SRFB have a joint meeting to engage in issues that affect both boards.

Chair Ogden asked about the wording for the no-net gain.

Senator Parlette explained the reasoning behind this wording, which came from Chelan County concerns and a SRFB acquisition project. Not saying this would happen, but it is a needed discussion.

Mark Quinn has been involved in this topic for 15 years and appreciates the discussion today since it shows that everyone on the panel is well informed of the topic. Fish and Wildlife’s mission is to “save the habitat,” not 20% or 5%. The real question is what do we want the state to look like in 20 years? Which species and what habitat do we want to save? This is a question that everyone who lives in Washington should be involved in answering.

The Board and Panel discussed ideas on the need to have a 20-year plan for the state and how much is enough.

The 6242 Report is due to the Legislature June 2005.

Biodiversity Panel

A panel consisting of Steve Tharinger (Clallam County Commissioner), Bill Robinson (The Nature Conservancy), and Carole Richmond (IAC staff) presented this agenda item.

Chair Ogden asked about the definition of biodiversity.

The definition is in the 2004 Biodiversity Conservation Strategy report and is defined as “The full range of life in all its forms - It is the web of life – An integrated ecological system of land, water, and living organisms in contiguous areas such as watersheds, landscapes, or regions”.

The Executive Order creating the Biodiversity Council includes a legislative appropriation of a \$250,000 budget, half of which is to come from outside contributions. Nineteen of the council members have been appointed by the governor. Still waiting for the last four to be appointed for the full 23-member council.

The charge of the council is to develop a statewide plan on biodiversity, which fits with Paul Parker’s need to have a statewide plan for county planning.

Steve Tharinger provided a brief history and overview of the biodiversity issue.

Carole Richmond has been helping to get this effort off the ground. The first meeting is scheduled for October 2004. There is a three-year timeframe to get this report completed. The final report is due December 31, 2007.

Craig Partridge discussed the DNR’s partnership in the ecoregional assessment project and how this partnership is working with the biodiversity system.

There are nine ecoregions in the state. The first regional assessment has been completed (the Puget Sound Trough); the final eight will be completed by end of 2005. This is a partnership effort consisting of The Nature Conservancy (TNC), DNR, WDFW, and other groups working together. The regional assessment is a planning tool only, not to be used as a regulatory system.

Mark Quinn asked about having a presentation on the ecoregional efforts by WDFW. The Board would like this presentation at a future meeting or at least a written update. Another suggestion was for an evening optional presentation of this topic at the September meeting.

Priorities of Government (POG)

Jim Cahill provided the overview and history of this agenda item.

IAC has been asked to be part of three separate POG teams (Natural Resources, Cultural and Recreational Opportunities, and Government Efficiencies).

Director Johnson reviewed the IAC related POG requests and where and how the IAC fits in.

WWRP Update:

Mike Ryherd reported that WWRC is recommending a \$60 million request for the WWRP legislation. They are asking for more funding for WWRP projects since this is one of the POG priorities. Mike discussed how the Coalition will look at POG priorities in HB2275.

Meeting recessed at 3:50 p.m. for local tour and dinner.

Day 2

DATE: July 8 & 9, 2004
TIME: 8:00 a.m.

PLACE: Red Lion Silverdale
Silverdale, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Bob Parlette	Wenatchee
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Larry Fairleigh	Designee, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

Meeting reconvened at 8:15 a.m.

MATCHING FUNDS POLICIES - UPDATE

Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item, providing the Board with a brief history of this topic. (See handout for details.)

Larry Fairleigh asked about match criteria – do the applicants have to present the source of matching funds prior to the funding or just certify that they have matching funds and do they get rewarded for the amount of matching funds they provide? Marguerite responded that they do have to certify that they have matching funds but during the application process they don't have to confirm where the matching funds are coming from.

As for getting credit for the matching funds, they could receive credit for the amount of matching funds – so they could get double credit.

Bob Parlette asked about accrual of additional funds – would the applicants be able to use the additional funds to off set matching funds or grant funds?

Marguerite responded that yes, the applicant can use the additional funds for off setting the grant amount or could upgrade their project with the additional funds.

Marguerite explained the Bremerton project situation and other reasons IAC may want to change policies. At this meeting, staff is not asking for a decision but for direction and guidance from the Board.

Larry Fairleigh noted that when the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) and some of the other grant programs were first put in place matching fund requirements were used to help stretch funding to local agencies. He wondered if the IAC is trying to solve a mechanical problem or a larger problem with local government funding.

Director Johnson responded that it is the larger problem.

Craig Partridge had a problem seeing how this issue was teed up for a decision. The report only outlined the benefits and not the pitfalls. He doesn't see a choice as currently presented.

Karen Daubert believes local match is key but the percentage could be lowered.

Chair Ogden wants to see the local commitment. Until the local community is willing to put their resources behind the project the real commitment to the project isn't there.

Bob Parlette concurs with the Chair's comments. He asked if the match amounts are set by legislature or by IAC policy.

Marguerite responded that it depends on the grant program - some match amounts are set by statute or WAC, and others are set through policy. She went over the list of existing grant programs and whether there are statutory or policy match requirements.

Public Testimony:

Ken Attebery, Gordon Walgren, and Jim Metcalf, representing the Port of Bremerton – Ken provided an overview of the project costs and reasoning behind wanting the IAC to allow them to use state Boating Facilities Program (BFP) funds for this project as match for their Boating Infrastructure Grant (BIG) funds.

Gordon reviewed the funding sources for this project and local matching amounts.

Bob Parlette asked for a rundown of the project costs.

- \$18 million for total project
- Guest moorage portion will cost \$7 million
- Getting \$1 million from the US Fish and Wildlife Service
- Asking for \$1 million from BFP, and
- Have \$5 million for local match

Larry Fairleigh was with the IAC when this policy was put into place; he believes the reasoning was to make sure the funds went as far as possible.

Director Johnson provided two other administrative reasons behind this policy, which are because of different grant program requirements and the timing of the grant programs.

Jim Metcalf believes WAC 286-13-045 allows the matching request depending on how broadly it is interpreted.

Karen Daubert asked if this would open the floodgates to other similar situations. Marguerite responded that this situation is not unique; Bremerton is the only group that has been aggressive in pursuing the request. Many other applicants are looking forward to the answer to this situation.

Marguerite provided more insight on the matching issue and BFP policies concerning match.

Larry agrees with Craig's earlier concern that there were not enough pros and cons presented to make a decision. IAC could change a policy to make Bremerton happy but it would be changing the rules mid-stream and would cause concerns with other applicants. The Board needs to have time to make an informed decision.

Mark Quinn noted that given that the WAC was established in a very different time and the policy was adopted in conjunction with the WAC, the Board might want to go back and look at the WAC again. The Board needs to go back and look at the policy and WAC and make an informed decision on next steps.

Chair Ogden heard a need for further review with pros and cons laid out for the Board. The Board will then provide guidelines for staff. May not need to change the WACs but the Board is not in the position today to make a decision on this issue.

Director Johnson reported that staff will continue to work on this issue and bring it back before the Board with pros and cons.

This direction doesn't answer Bremerton's question at this meeting but the Board does not feel comfortable giving the Port of Bremerton a waiver on this issue since other applicants followed the written policies for this grant cycle. BFP is an annual grant program and the Board could adopt policy changes by January 2005 to change written policies before the next application cycle. This would make it fair for all applicants.

Director Johnson asked if the Board would approve a policy to allow Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) funds to match other IAC grant funds.

Craig Partridge noted that ALEA is another fund source, not general funds, and that the WAC does need to be looked at to determine if it needs to be changed.

Bob Parlette likes to see local match and, where IAC has discretionary authority, he would like to see at least a 20% local match with a sliding scale on getting more points for a higher match.

Larry Fairleigh thinks it is important for staff to push the range of policy choices and provide good discussion options for the Board.

Karen Daubert feels strongly about the local match need and would agree to a 33% minimum local match, although she favors a 50% local match.

Staff will continue to work on both the grant matching and the match amount issue and bring options back to the Board for discussion and decision.

The Board thanked the Port of Bremerton for providing more information on their situation at this meeting.

LAND & WATER CONSERVATION FUND (LWCF) GRANTS

Marguerite Austin introduced this agenda item. (See notebook item #6 for more details.) Six projects were reviewed with a total request of \$1.8 million.

Marguerite reviewed the projects and updated the Board on changes to the proposed list. City of Spokane has asked to be removed from the list due to federal fund bidding requirements. Additional money would go to the city of Seattle project.

Staff recommends approval of Resolution #2004-20 and revised table 1.

Bob Parlette asked Marguerite about the limited number of applications. Marguerite responded that there are several possible reasons: sponsor match, federal restrictions, and that the WWRP grants are being offered this year.

Public Testimony:

Russ Burtner, City of Kennewick, thanked the Board for funding their project – #04-1113 Sacagawea Heritage Trail.

Perry Brooks, City of Kent, expressed thanks for the positive impact the LWCF grants will provide his community (#04-1159 Canterbury Park Expansion and #04-1143 Clark Lake Park Expansion).

Rita Hollomon, Seattle Parks and Recreation, appreciates that their project will now receive more funding (#04-1059 Gas Works Park – Open Space Expansion).

Bob Parlette **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2004-20 and revised table 1. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-20 as revised.

2005-07 OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGETS - ADOPT

Laura Johnson and Mark Jarasitis presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #7 for details.)

Capital Budget

Director Johnson explained the capital budget and how it works. In most cases the capital budget is a six-year cycle and is for construction projects – in grant programs, the capital budget is treated like a pass-through grant program.

Chair Ogden asked about the Hatchery Grant program and Laura explained that this is a program given to the IAC to administer the funds only. Although it is in the IAC budget, there is no action needed by the Board concerning this grant program.

Karen Daubert **MOVED** to approve \$60 million for WWRP Capital Budget request. Bob Parlette **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED**.

Public Testimony:

There was no public testimony for this agenda item.

Mark Quinn **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2004-21 Capital Budget Request. Larry Fairleigh **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-21 as presented.

Operating Budget

Director Johnson reviewed the operating budget requests.

Chair Ogden feels very strongly that staff salaries are a high priority for the Board.

Craig Partridge suggested separating the staffing issue from the funding amount since it is two separate issues.

Craig asked about priorities and whether the list is in priority order or not. Director Johnson reported that it is not in priority order. She explained that the SRFB also received a list and decided to have a working subcommittee to flesh out the issues.

Chair Ogden reiterated that staff is the highest priority to her and no matter what is done the IAC needs to continue to hire and keep good staff.

Chair Ogden believes any of the Board members would be willing to help on this topic but thought the additional coordination and any meetings needed would cause increased workload for staff and may not be necessary.

Karen Daubert's priorities are: 1) staff; 2) boaters; and 3) private landowner recreation.

Yvonne Yokota **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2004-22 Operating Budget Request. Larry Fairleigh **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2004-22 as presented.

Bob Parlette noted that he would like to help with the WWR Coalition work on the Legislature along with Karen Daubert, Mark Quinn, and Val Ogden.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: THURSTON COUNTY ORV PARK AND NMA LITIGATION

The Board recessed into Executive Session concerning the Thurston County ORV Park and the Northwest Motorcycle Association at approximately 11:30 a.m.

During the Executive Session, Susan Thomsen, Assistant Attorney General, provided an update on the status of the NMA lawsuit and discussed the Thurston County ORV Park.

The Chair reconvened the meeting after the Executive Session at 12:45 p.m.

Representative Phil Rockefeller took a moment to stop by and welcome the IAC to the Kitsap Peninsula and thank the Board for their good work. The Board thanked Representative Rockefeller for stopping in and for legislative support of the IAC grant programs.

REPORTS FROM PARTNERS

Mark Quinn reported that he felt yesterday's session on acquisitions was a very good session and he looks forward to the continued work on SSB6242.

- WDFW closed on the Schlee Ranch and Bickford property and had a dedication.
- WDFW is proceeding with land exchange between the Fish and Wildlife Commission and DNR.

Larry Fairleigh reported that the next State Parks Commission meeting will be August 12 in Port Angeles. At this meeting they will discuss the vision for 2013 and 70 more state parks will be declared consistent with the vision. Some parks will be declared non-consistent with the vision and will either be transferred to other ownership or released. Director Johnson noted that this will be another conversion discussion brought before the Board at a future meeting. This will also be a controversial Commission meeting concerning Old Man State Park transfer to the Suquamish Tribe. Director Johnson provided the Board with some history of transference of property to tribal governments (Portage Island).

Craig Partridge informed the Board that DNR is launching review and revision of its 10-year forest land management plan. One issue on this is the recreational use on state forestlands. They will be revising the WAC on public use and public behavior on public forestlands, which will get into some recreation and safety issues.

DNR manages a number of Natural Area Preserves (NAP) and the Legislature is looking at public access on these lands.

Next meeting of the IAC will be on September 9 & 10, 2004, in Olympia. This will be a full two-day meeting with the first day being focused on strategic planning.

The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

Val Ogden, Chair

Next Meeting: September 9 & 10, 2004
 NRB Room 172
 Olympia, Washington

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

360/902-3000
360/902-3026 (fax)
email: info@iac.wa.gov



Salmon Recovery Funding Board

360/902-2636
360/902-3026 (fax)
email: salmon@iac.wa.gov

STATE OF WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE

1111 Washington Street SE
PO Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98504-0917

RESOLUTION #2004-19 July 2004 Consent Agenda

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following July 2004, Consent Agenda items are approved:

- a) Approval of IAC Minutes – May 21, 2004,
- b) Time Extensions, and
- c) Recognition Resolution for Debra Wilhelmi.

a) Approval of IAC Minutes

Moved by: Bob Parlette

Seconded by: Yvonne Yokota

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

b) Time Extensions

Moved by: Karen Daubert

Seconded by: Bob Parlette

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

c) Recognition Resolution for Debra Wilhelmi

Moved by: Craig Partridge

Seconded by: Karen Daubert

Unanimously Adopted by Board Members and Designees

All Actions Taken on July 8, 2004

Insert table 1

RESOLUTION #2004-20

**Land and Water Conservation Fund Program
Projects for Federal Fiscal Year 2004 Funding
(State Fiscal Year 2005)**

WHEREAS, the State of Washington has \$1,801,066 in FFY 2004 Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant monies available for distribution, and

WHEREAS, IAC Board Members adopted the Open Project Selection Process for distribution of funds for LWCF projects, and

WHEREAS, seven Land and Water Conservation Fund projects are submitted for FFY 2004 funding consideration, and

WHEREAS, all projects meet LWCF program requirements as stipulated in statute, administrative rule, policy and practices, and

WHEREAS, all seven projects have been evaluated, in an open public meeting, using the evaluation criteria adopted by the Board, and

WHEREAS, the results of these evaluations are depicted in Table 1 – LWCF Program FFY 2004, and

WHEREAS, IAC staff has requested authority to allocate FFY2004 funds in the most expeditious manner to protect the state's allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the ranking depicted in Table 1 – LWCF Program Projects Ranking and Recommendation, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves project funding for Land and Water Conservation Fund projects as depicted in the attached Table 1 – LWCF Program, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director be authorized to submit application materials to the National Park Service and execute any and all project agreements and amendments necessary to facilitate prompt project implementation.

Resolution moved by: Bob Parlette

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: July 9, 2004

Insert Table 1

**RESOLUTION 2004-21
IAC 2005-2007 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUEST**

WHEREAS, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) must submit a 2005-2007 Capital Request Budget and Ten Year Plan to the Office of Financial Management; and

WHEREAS, for revenue-supported and federal programs, the amounts requested agree with the current revenue projections by the Departments of Transportation and Licensing, Natural Resources, and estimated federal apportionments; and

WHEREAS, the IAC recognizes the continuing need for funding to provide outdoor recreation opportunities and protect important fish and wildlife habitat through the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program; and

WHEREAS, the proposed budget is in conformance with the Office of Financial Management instructions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that IAC hereby approves the 2005-2007 Capital Budget and Ten Year Plan as follows:

Program	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	20011-13	2013-15
ALEA	\$5,356,400	\$5,356,400	\$5,356,400	\$5,356,400	\$5,356,400
BFP	\$7,250,000	\$7,250,000	\$7,250,000	\$7,250,000	\$7,250,000
NOVA	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000
FARR	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
YAF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LWCF	\$5,735,000	\$5,735,000	\$5,735,000	\$5,735,000	\$5,735,000
NRTP	\$2,260,000	\$2,260,000	\$2,260,000	\$2,260,000	\$2,260,000
BIG	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000
HATCHERY	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
WWRP	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$60,000,000
SRFB	\$86,000,000?	\$86,000,000?	\$86,000,000?	\$86,000,000?	\$86,000,000?

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Director is authorized to modify and/or update the amounts presented as new revenue forecasts become available or to comply with the Office of Financial Management budget instructions;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Director is authorized to apply for other outside funding sources to supplement the capital budget consistent with the Committee's mission;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Director is authorized to submit any necessary reappropriation request.

Mark Quinn Moved Larry Fairleigh Seconded
July 9, 2004 Pass/Fail

RESOLUTION 2004-22
IAC 2005-07 OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) must submit a 2005-05 Operating Request Budget to the Office of Financial Management; and

WHEREAS, the operating budget includes carry-forward, maintenance levels, pass-through and enhancement items; and

WHEREAS, the budget is in conformance with the Office of Financial Management instructions,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the IAC Committee hereby approves the 2005-05 Operating Budget elements as presented;

FURTHER, the following enhancement proposals will be submitted with the budget:

1. Update Recreation Data
2. Private Landowner Recreation
3. Technology Efficiency Improvements
 - a. Wireless handheld
 - b. Virtual meetings
 - c. Mapping / GIS
4. Staff Recruitment and Retention
5. Update Boat Ramp Information
6. "POG"
7. Other.....

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Director is authorized to modify and/or update proposal amounts as necessary to meet the Office's budget needs or to comply with the Office of Financial Management;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Director is authorized to apply for other outside funding sources to supplement the operating budget consistent with the Committee's mission.

Yvonne Yokota Moved

Larry Fairleigh Seconded

July 9, 2004

Pass/Fail