

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

REGULAR MEETING

---

DATE: March 8, 1984      PLACE: Lacey City Hall, Council Chambers  
TIME: 9:00 a.m.              420 College Street S.E., Lacey, Washington

---

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mrs. Silva Bolds, Vancouver                      Mr. Jan Tveten, Director, Parks & Recreation Commission  
Mr. John H. Jessup, Jr., Chairman  
Mr. Ralph Mackey, Everett                      Mr. Frank Lockard, Director, Dept. of Game  
Mrs. Virginia Warden, Spokane

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Mr. Ronald R. Pretti, Gig Harbor  
The Honorable Brian J. Boyle, Commissioner of Public Lands, DNR  
Mr. William R. Wilkerson, Director, Department of Fisheries

---

Meeting Called to Order - Introductions: Chairman John H. Jessup, Jr., called the meeting to order at 9:03 a.m., with a quorum of five (BOLDS, JESSUP, MACKEY, WARDEN, AND LOCKARD.) (MR. TVETEN arrived later in the morning.)

Introductions:

John Edwards, TAC member, Dept. of Natural Resources  
Ken Wilcox, Washington State Horsemen's Association and member of IAC's State Trails Advisory Committee (STAC)  
Richard Costello, TAC member, Dept. of Fisheries  
Russ Cahill, Deputy Director, Department of Fisheries  
Mike Welter, Assistant Director, Thurston County Parks & Recreation Dept.  
Gary Chadwick, Whatcom County  
Bill Willis, Citizen, interested in Off-Road Vehicles' Program/Plans  
Fred Bender, Chief, Division of Recreation Resources, National Park Service  
Bob Jacobs, King County Parks and Recreation Office  
Anna Ross, Temp. empl. Recreation Guide Program, IAC (formerly a volunteer)  
George Volker, TAC member, Dept. of Game  
Jeff Lane, Assistant Attorney General, representing Barbara Phillips, IAC A.G.

Mr. Bender briefly reported on the prospects of Land and Water Conservation Fund monies for 1985, stating the Administration's budget has not yet been finalized in respect to LWCF funding, nor UPARR or Historic Preservations funding. He estimated LWCF monies for 1985 might possibly be the same as for 1984; however, he also mentioned that the National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) is actively supporting funding at the \$125 million mark. Thus, it is too early to arrive at an estimate.

In introducing Anna Ross, Mr. Jerry Pelton, Chief, Planning Services, indicated her expertise in cartography and graphics in relation to the preparation of the proposed Recreation Guide for the State of Washington. Ms. Ross has accepted a temporary position with the IAC to work on the Recreation Guide. She had been working as a full-time volunteer for nearly two months.

Mr. Pelton also reported that Mr. Bill Waters, a retired district supervisor for the telephone company, will be working on the Recreation Guide as a volunteer.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES, NOVEMBER 10, 1983: Two errors in the minutes of November 10, 1983, were called to the Committee's attention:

1. Page 34 - correct reference number of the Grant County E/C Project from 83-E/C to 83-20E/C.
2. Page 26 - last column, Project 83-2P, Goose Creek Plan, total amount corrected by staff from \$16,520 to \$16,250.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. BOLDS, SECONDED BY MR. LOCKARD, THAT THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 10, 1983, BE APPROVED WITH THE ABOVE CITED CORRECTIONS. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO THE AGENDA, MARCH 8, 1984: There being no additions or deletions to the agenda, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MRS. WARDEN, THAT THE AGENDA FOR THE MARCH 8, 1984, IAC MEETING BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

II. A. DIRECTOR'S REPORT: Prior to giving the report, Mr. Robert L. Wilder, Director, IAC, commented on the value of volunteers not only to the IAC in assisting with the Recreation Guide, but in all areas of work where they have given service. He noted that there are in excess of eighty highly qualified persons volunteering their expertise for the IAC in the various advisory committees - Technical Advisory Committee, State Trails Advisory Committee, Off-Road Vehicles' Advisory Committee, and the Planning Advisory Committee. Many of these persons give freely of their time in order to ensure good recreational programs for the citizens of Washington. He stated that in past years ~~one volunteer had served on~~ the Technical Advisory Committee for approximately fourteen years and had then been employed by the IAC in a supervisory position. Mr. James Webster, Chief, Projects Services, was then presented a Certificate of Appreciation from the Interagency Committee members and staff for his past efforts in behalf of the IAC while serving on the Technical Advisory Committee.

Mr. Wilder then asked Mr. Gregory Lovelady, ORV Coordinator, for the Off-Road Vehicles' Program Presentation, Item 11. E. on the agenda.

Mr. Lovelady noted the following:

- (1) There are six agencies in the state presently sponsoring eight full-time equivalents dedicated to the task of providing education, law and safety programs to off-road vehicle users with IAC-ORV monies.
- (2) Specific examples were: efforts in school programs; seminars at campgrounds; displays erected in malls and at county fairs; riding workshops; and speakers' bureaus to talk to various groups.
- (3) In addition, Yakima County has reached the public through several radio & television public service announcements.

Deputy Tom Wauzinski, of the Yakima County Sheriff's Office, was introduced. He outlined the following for the Committee:

- (1) Though the Sheriff's Office in Yakima did have a general education program concerning off-road vehicles by visiting schools and talking

to groups, organizations, etc., it was felt there was a vast majority of people not being reached with this information.

- (2) A program of radio and TV sponsor announcements was thus instituted. On consulting with officials of radio and television, it was discovered there would be no charge for these public announcements.

The Committee and audience then viewed on a television monitoring system four public service announcements. At the conclusion of the presentation, the Committee discussed the need to continue this type of activity. Mr. Wauzinski said Yakima County would attempt to extend the program to Channel 11. Chairman Jessup specifically asked that this be pursued, and perhaps eventually lead to involvement with other counties where off-road vehicle use is heaviest.

Director's Report - continued: Mr. Wilder called attention to the IAC Newsletter, "PARTNERS IN PROGRESS", Volume 8, No. 1, Winter/Spring 1984. The newsletter contained information on the last two funding sessions of the IAC (March 1983 and November 1983) as well as other items and a legislative briefing feature. He also noted that IAC members Ralph Mackey and Virginia Warden had been confirmed by the Senate.

Mr. Wilder's report on items from his trip to Washington, D.C., February 24-29, included:

- (1) Land and Water Conservation Fund Facts: The Administration's budget was submitted to Congress on February 1. It requested neither Land and Water Conservation Fund State assistance nor Urban Park and Recreation Recovery Program funds. A total of \$167,135,000 was requested for the federal portion of Land and Water Conservation Funding.
  - a. By law, \$900 million is available each fiscal year to be appropriated by Congress from the LWCF. According to the proposed FY 85 Budget, the unappropriated LWCF balance at the start of FY 85 will be \$3.94 billion.
  - b. The National Recreation and Park Association is supporting \$125 million for LWCF. Indications are that the LWCF will be reinstated and at the same figure as last year - \$75 M. The National Association for Outdoor Recreation Liaison Officers is supporting NRPA in their efforts to obtain the \$125 million figure.
- (2) UPAAR (Urban Park and Recreation Recovery Act): In FY 84, \$6.7 million was made available to the UPARR program; \$40 million was provided in the FY 83 emergency jobs bill. The jobs bill money provided for 126 project awards. There are 320 approved recovery action plans.
- (3) WETLANDS CONSERVATION - HR #3082 and S #1329: Both of these bills have ramifications for the LWCF monies and state acquisition priorities: The wetlands bill lacks reference to the SCORP process, and the grant ratio is 75/25, LWCF 50/50, plus there is some competition with future overall LWCF appropriations.
- (4) AMERICAN CONSERVATION CORPS - HR #999: The House passed bill would provide conservation related jobs and job training for youth between ages of 16-25. A related Senate bill was awaiting floor action.

The Corps bills both relate to providing efforts to recruit enrollees who are economically, socially, physically, and educationally disadvantaged youths, and would be jointly administered by the departments of Interior and Agriculture.

(5) TAX BENEFITS FOR LAND CONSERVATION: S #1675 would provide tax incentives for contribution of real property to conservation organizations. It includes a number of benefits for private land-owners who donate, sell or exchange their property to public agencies or private non-profit groups for conservation purposes.

(6) NATIONAL TRUST FUND: Draft bills are being discussed in Washington, D.C. The National Association of State Outdoor Recreation Liaison Officers (NASORLO) has long supported a National Trust Fund for parks, recreation, conservation and historic preservation. This program would enhance the recreation estate and provide economic and employment benefits as well as quality recreation facilities and opportunities for all Americans. An amendment to the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act would set up the National Trust Fund if passed.

(7) NATIONAL RECREATION POLICY ASSESSMENT - S #1090 AND HR #2837: Two bills authorizing the creation of a National Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission (NORRRC) to undertake an 18-month assessment of long-term recreation and park policy and issues, are pending before the Congress. Hearings are to be scheduled in April, 1984.

a. NRPA is working hard to develop a strategy for the policy assessment. Further, the State of Washington has been commended for its efforts and leadership in arranging a Governor's Conference on Recreation and the Economy and issuing a report through a Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee. These actions are being used as models for other states.

Following his report, Mr. Wilder introduced Mr. David Towne, Chairman, Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee. Mr. Towne presented the following items to the Committee:

(1) The report of the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee (GRRAC) is only a beginning -- there will be an ongoing process which will result in long-range policy and legislative funding issues which are critical to addressing the future needs of outdoor recreation in this state.

(2) The 1984 Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee Executive Summary of the Final Report and its 1984 Final Report were sent to the Governor and State Legislature members pointing out the Committee's assessment of future recreational needs in the state; the ability of existing resources to meet those needs; the public perception of recreational priorities; the potential for public and private cooperation; and recommended solutions.

(3) Expressed appreciation for assistance from the Interagency Committee staff, particularly Mr. Wilder and Mr. Pelton, and for the cooperation received from the state agencies and all those persons and organizations who had been contacted or who had contacted the GRRAC.

(4) Noted the concern and caring attitudes of the people who had come before the Committee. They are deeply concerned about Washington's future recreation program, and want to keep the quality of life and opportunities that exist for recreation in the state. Further, they are interested in maintaining park and recreation facilities in the face of state growth.

(5) Pointed out the critical need or "quiet crisis" for funding sources in the outdoor recreation program. Must be prepared to deal with obtaining these funds in order to address the mechanisms, the means, and the resources in order to help preserve what the state now has for its citizens in the park, recreation and conservation field.

(6) Committee has recommended the establishment of a bi-partisan legislative task force to investigate the agenda identified by the Committee and develop legislation which, hopefully, will assist the state in preserving its prized quality of life. House Concurrent Resolution #43 presently in the State Legislature calls for such a joint committee.

(7) Should House Concurrent Resolution #43 not be acted upon, it will be necessary that both houses of the Legislature make this action a priority in the next nine months.

(8) Mentioned the formation of Friends of the Park organization. Their purpose is to provide a member representation to follow-through on the recommendations of GRRAC and help elected officials understand the urgent need to meet these critical needs. (Introduced Mr. John Black, Friends of the Park)

Following Mr. Towne's report, Mr. Mackey referred to page (17) of the Final Report which refers to the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation and placing a number of other state departments on the Committee -- some of which were taken off in 1981 since their directors did not attend many of the IAC meetings:

Page 17, Final Report, GRRAC: Item a. "Expansion of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation into a State Recreation Resource Agency with representation from the State Departments of Transportation, Ecology, Commerce and Economic Development (Tourism), Superintendent of Public Instruction, Social and Health Services and Planning and Community Affairs, in addition to the existing citizen members and directors of the Departments of Game, Fisheries, Natural Resources and Parks and Recreation."

Mr. Mackey asked what the reasoning had been in adding these various agencies. Mr. Towne pointed out this was one of several options only. GRRAC had felt there should be a higher level of cooperation and coordination between the various agencies dealing with recreation, planning, tourism, etc. This was one possible alternative to achieve it. He noted that the general public attending the Governor's Committee meetings had also perceived the lack of coordination and had asked that this be an item for further examination.

Mr. Mackey then asked about Item c. Consolidation of agencies:

Page 17, Final Report, GRRAC: Item c. "Consolidation of the outdoor recreation responsibilities of all state agencies (Parks and Recreation, Fisheries, Game, Department of Natural Resources) under one agency for management and operation."

He felt other states had attempted to do this resulting in large, unmanageable agencies and had returned to a system of separate agencies.

Mr. Towne indicated that this was another potential option for improved cooperation/coordination of related functions. He agreed some states had been unsuccessful, but some had been successful, and it was an issue that needs to be addressed. How does the State of Washington get maximum cooperation and coordination with existing resources and existing agencies? There are presently nine or more agencies dealing with recreation in one aspect or another. For instance, the Tourism section of Commerce and Economic Development is promoting through its advertising, etc., utilization of our parks and recreation facilities; the Legislature is cutting back on funds that are critically needed for these facilities; the facilities need to be developed and maintained. Mr. Towne noted that each proposal on Page 17 was justifiable and merited further examination in solving recreation needs of the state.

Appreciation was expressed by Mr. Wilder to David Towne and to the other members of the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee for their excellent report and their efforts in analyzing the recreation needs in Washington. He emphasized that these Committee members were outstanding volunteers having given of their time in meeting throughout the state and in finalizing their report for the Governor.

Mr. Towne reiterated Mr. Wilder's earlier remarks that the State of Washington's actions in establishing the Governor's Conference and the Governor's Committee were being reviewed nationwide, at both the state and federal levels. This has been and is an important process in finding solutions to the critical problems outlined in the Final Governor's Committee Report.

At this point, Mr. Towne called upon Mr. John Black for an explanation concerning a new organization, Friends of the Park. Mr. Black has been the principal coordinator in getting this organization established.

Mr. Black noted that: Friends of the Park

- (1) During the Governor's Conference, many persons stated there was no citizen organization to support parks and this type of group should be established. This led to the Friends of the Park organization.
- (2) It is a non-profit corporation. A brochure will be sent to those interested. Francis North, former legislator, has consented to serve as Chairman and President. Ralph Mackey, IAC member, is the Treasurer. Both David Towne and John Black are officers.
- (3) Executive Board includes: Helen Engle, former IAC member; Chris Smith, Office of the Governor; Wilford Woods, Wenatchee World, Wenatchee; Marie Clack, Chairman, Spokane Park Board, Spokane; and Frank Pritchard, Seattle businessman.
- (4) Membership fee is \$3.00 Will be increasing membership through active recruitment campaign.
- (5) Are anxious to work with the joint legislative committee when it is appointed, or with other legislative bodies to gain passage of needed legislation related to parks and recreation.

In response to Mrs. Bolds' questions, Mr. Wilder stated that the formula under the Land and Water Conservation Funding program was indicative of the state needs, reflecting population and federal resources.

Mr. Jessup was informed there had been thirty states represented at the NASORLO meeting in Washington, D.C. -- each State Liaison Officer is appointed by the Governor of the state to serve on NASORLO. Its main concern is with legislation for the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

At the NRPA sessions, there was good representation and the best turnout they have had for a Mid-Year Meeting. Meeting in Washington, D.C. provided these members opportunity to attend legislative sessions, discuss strategies, contact Congressional delegations, and follow-up on legislation in which they were interested for their state.

Mr. Wilder then emphasized the growing interest and concern in park and recreation matters. He displayed the following reports which have indicated this interest and concern:

1. Senate Park and Ecology Committee, Washington State Legislature, "Outdoor Recreation Funding", October 1982.
2. "Two Decades of Progress - Outdoor Recreation" - 1962-1982, IAC
3. "Governor's Conference on Recreation and the Economy - Proceedings" - 1982.
4. "Governor's Conference on Recreation and the Economy - Final Report" 1982.
5. "Governor's Recreation and Resource Advisory Committee - Executive Summary - 1984"
6. "Governor's Recreation and Resource Advisory Committee - Final Report - 1984"
7. HCR #43 - Joint Select Committee on Recreation - proposed.

Following a short recess, the Committee reconvened at 10:18 a.m.

Introductions: Mr. Don Lund, Technical Advisory Committee member - Department of Transportation  
Mr. Bill Hutsinpillar, Director of Operations, King County Parks and Recreation, Seattle

#### II. B. Management Services. Fund Summaries.

1. Fund Summary - Grant-in-Aid, State/Local Agencies' Projects: Mr. Ray Baker, Agency Accounts Officer, referred to Fund Summary dated March 2, 1984, current as of February 29, 1984. He explained receipt of Initiative 215 funds and how this would affect the balances. An additional \$300,000 should be received from this source in the next five months, and will cover those projects committed by the Committee last November. Explanation was also given for the negative numbers in both Dept. of Game and Dept. of Fisheries' LWCF funds and Dept. of Fisheries in Init. 215 funds. The second apportionment of LWCF funds will be available in the fall of 1984.

Mr. Tveten commented on the negative balance in LWCF funds for both state and local as shown on page (2) of the Fund Summary. He recalled that federal officials had stated that the states really did not need as much LWCF.

as they had been given since they did not use it up in timely fashion. The small negative balance indicates that the State of Washington can and does use all of its funds as quickly as possible. Mr. Wilder mentioned this had also been discussed at the NASORLO meeting in Washington, D.C., and that the states had countered that time is needed for development projects. Monies are obligated but in many instances cannot be fully expended for 2 to 3 years. It was pointed out that the money is obligated to specific projects, legitimate contracts are in hand, and the LWCF program is a reimbursable program that is working well.

2. Off-Road Vehicles' Fund Summary: Mr. Baker referred to the Off-Road Vehicles' Fund Summary dated February 29, 1984, status report as of January 31, 1984. \$630,761.49 was the current fund status. Normally, one million plus of ORV money is committed in November, and there are approximately nine months of actual revenue which will be added to this balance for November. Approximately \$1.2 or \$1.3 million will be available at that time.

In response to Mr. Jessup's inquiries, Mr. Webster stated that prospective sponsors for grant-in-aid projects under LWCF & Initiative 215 were aware of the limited funding for November. The Partners in Progress newsletter recently issued had pointed this out. Further, the IAC staff would be holding two workshops (one in eastern Washington and one in western Washington) in April to allow local sponsors opportunity for questions. Mr. Pelton noted that because of the limited funding, prospective project sponsors were requesting assistance in their planning efforts, recognizing that there will be need for good long-range planning in parks and recreation for their respective areas in order to qualify and be eligible for projects. Mr. Jessup asked that he be notified of the grant-in-aid workshops so that he might attend.

Mr. Tveten called attention to Page (15) b. ORV FUNDS of the Governor's Recreation Advisory Commission's Final Report:

"b. ORV FUNDS: At present, 1% of motor vehicle fuel taxes is allocated for off-road purposes and accounts for 95% of the ORV funds (approximately \$2 million per year). The study used to determine the 1% allocation actually showed that 4.6% of motor fuels were used off highway for recreational purposes...these funds should be redirected to include general recreation purposes, not just the narrowly defined ORV's. The 1% allocation should be increased to more properly reflect the 4.6% use, and a new study performed to more accurately determine the amount of fuel now used for off-road vehicles."

Further, Mr. Tveten noted that: the ORV Status Report, line #3, "Fuel Tax Proration" indicated \$7,437,342.09 since the beginning of the program. There followed discussion on the percentage received from the Off-Road Vehicle Fund for actual funding of off-road vehicles' projects and the Initiative 215 funding program.

Mr. Tveten stated if ORV percentage was increased to 4.6% as proposed by GRRAC, these funds would still only be usable for off-road vehicles' projects under the law as it is a dedicated fund source. If the GRRAC recommendation under Initiative 215 were accepted, it would add \$300,000 per year to that source for funding of boater-related projects. This, he said, is where the "extra" monies would be generated -- increase the ORV and increase Initiative 215.

Mr. Pelton stated that the GRRAC had been examining several ongoing sources of funding, not just the off-road vehicles and Initiative 215 dedicated sources. He noted the boat excise tax, state lottery, camper-trailer excise tax, etc. A combination of some of these sources could ultimately provide over \$10 M. per year for outdoor recreation facilities and areas.

At this point, Russ Cahill, Deputy Director, Department of Fisheries, cautioned the Committee that advocating the use of dedicated funds for other purposes could cause that source to be vulnerable to changes through the State Legislative procedure. He gave examples of some funds which had been completely lost due to changes being made.

Mrs. Bolts asked whether the ORV funds were used for maintenance. Mr. Lovelady stated that less than 50% goes into the repair/maintenance. John Edwards, Department of Natural Resources, noted that DNR has forty-five percent of the 1% for ORV -- 25% of which must be placed into road maintenance, trail maintenance, along with regular site maintenance. The other 20% is marked for off-road road vehicles-- trails. Thus, the Department of Natural Resources has some flexibility in the use of its ORV monies.

Mr. Mackey asked if, in establishing trailheads and places for ORV users to congregate, the general public is, in fact also included in the use of these areas. These areas appear to be used by picnickers, campers, etc. Mr. Lovelady cited the Thurston County ORV Sports Park as one of the ORV facilities receiving these types of recreationists. Riverside Park was noted by Mr. Tveten as coming under this type of use. It has a heavy use unrelated to ORV programs and serves a critical need in the area for recreational purposes. It was pointed out, however, by Mr. Wilder that areas developed with ORV funds must be primarily geared to the ORV users. The key issue is to maintain the integrity of the ORV funding program. The funds are for ORV purposes only and the fact that other recreationists can use the areas is a plus for the state's overall recreational program.

Mike Welter, Assistant Director, Thurston County Parks and Recreation, enlarged upon the uses by recreationists for the Thurston County Sports Park facilities. This 150 acre park is for ORV use primarily, but because it abuts the Capital Forest Area of DNR, it also receives general public use of its camping facilities, picnic areas, etc. It also receives use as a major staging area for horseback riding and backpacking, Black Powder and Mountain Men Powder shoots, and for rendezvous for those persons who relive the Medieval Days.

II C. PROJECT SERVICES STATUS REPORT: Mr. James Webster, Chief, Project Services, referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Project Services Division Report", citing the following:

- (1) The staff is currently working on 87 local agencies' projects. Five letters of intent have been received for the November meeting. Deadline is May 1, 1984. Other deadlines: Development project applications must be received by June 1, 1984; Acquisition project applications by July 1, 1984.

At this point, Mr. Wilder mentioned the proviso in the Operating Budget of the IAC which states, "No grant from the proceeds of general obligation bond

sales may be made without matching federal monies." This has caused delays and considerable paperwork, and this type of proviso needs to be rectified.

(2) Currently 97 state agencies' projects are in various stages of completion.

(3) STATE AGENCIES' MASTER LIST PROJECT APPROVED:

DNR Milwaukee Railroad #84-703D \$ 250,000 State Bond Monies  
Right-of-Way

This is a two-part project. \$50,000 for recreational use study of the right-of-way, and \$200,000 for legally required administration and preservation of this right-of-way.

(4) COST INCREASES - STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION:

a. Potholes Boat Launch - IAC #82-503D \$ 3,000 cost increase  
50%-LWCF/50% Init. 215  
Funds available from unexpended balance of Cornet Bay - Bowman Moorage project

b. Fort Casey Shoreline Protection - #82-511D \$ 10,450.45 cost increase  
50% HJR 52/50% Init. 215  
Funds available from unexpended balances of completed projects - Fort Canby Boat Launch and Birch Bay Campground improvements

c. Penrose Point Boat Moorage - IAC #82-520D \$ 15,402.73 cost increase  
(Init. 215)  
Funds available from portion of unexpended balances of completed projects: Cornet Bay - Fort Flager/Mystery Bay - Blake Island.

d. Jarrell Cove Boat Moorage - IAC #82-522D \$ 37,457.91 cost increase  
(Init. 215)  
Funds available from portion of unexpended balances from completed projects under same title listed above.

(5) COST INCREASE - LOCAL AGENCY:

Sedro-Woolley - Riverfront Pk. Develop. \$ 10,914 cost increase  
IAC #82-032D (60% Local/40% IAC  
To allow completion of project and to \$6,548 \$4,366  
protect investment made in the project.

Mr. Mackey asked questions concerning the Milwaukee Railroad Right-of-Way project (DNR - #84-703D) -- whether there would still be total access and status of the bridges. Mr. Webster replied the project was still in state ownership. A portion of the corridor beginning at Easton and concluding about 25 miles east of the western terminus, will be transferred to the State Parks and Recreation Commission. The DNR corridor will be open to individuals or organized groups but they will

need to obtain permits from DNR to travel that corridor for recreational purposes. The private landowners will be notified of the use of the corridor by these private groups and/or organizations. Also, DNR may lease portions of the corridor to person(s) who own the adjoining land for periods of up to ten years.

Mr. Wilcox responded to the question concerning the bridges. He stated that most of the larger bridges are intact. There has been some loss of the smaller ones, but it is possible to detour around those "gaps". Some of the bridges need repair. He said the eastern terminus owned by the Parks and Recreation Commission is roughly twenty-five miles along the Yakima River. There is one landowner owning nine miles of river frontage who does not want recreational travel on his frontage. Mr. Tveten said there were one or two inholdings on this stretch of the river, but he felt these could be cleared as time goes by. Mr. Wilcox felt the trail users were interested in taking part in the permit aspect to travel DNR's portion of the corridor, and in fact, many wanted to help in any way they could to keep the corridor open for their use. He felt that Senate Bill #4329 on the Milwaukee Road Right-of-Way was fair. Mr. Wilder noted it had been a good compromise and that groups would be able to use this much-needed recreational area.

Mr. Mackey then asked the problems which caused the Fort Casey Shoreline Protection project to require a cost increase of \$10,450.45. Mr. Tveten stated (a) the project had been requested at the \$52,000 figure for 83-85 biennium - \$26,000 state money and \$26,000 federal. (b) Federal money was not given to the project yet it was necessary to get the project done as soon as possible. Therefore, State Parks had reviewed its other projects to locate the monies necessary to complete it. Mr. Tveten said this then is called a "cost increase", but in actuality it is not since the project was originally at the \$52,000 figure. Mr. Bender informed the Committee that it was possible under the LWCF program to have a staged project - requesting the initial amount and funding it in two stages when monies are available.

11. C. 2. Small Business Administration Funding - Final Report: Mr. Larry Fairleigh, Projects Manager, Projects Services, referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Small Business Administration, Landscaping Park and Public Places Program" noting the following:

- (1) Final Report submitted to Governor John Spellman on the program was sent December 28, 1983 (ltr. and report were included in kit material).
- (2) Goals were to produce the maximum number of viable projects;  
Impact as many areas statewide as possible;  
Provide maximum number of employment opportunities;  
Provide maximum assistance to small businesses; and  
Provide extra assistance to those areas most severely affected by unemployment.
- (3) State Parks and Recreation Commission and the Department of General Administration projects were included in the SBA program, with 30 projects programmed through State Parks.
- (4) Local Agencies projects numbered 27; with 53 contracts, 440 persons employed; and 447 small businesses involved.

(5) \$1,050,900 was total program figure.

11 D. Planning Services Status Reports: (1) Mr. Jerry Pelton, Chief, Planning Services, referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "SCORP Update - Progress Report". Staff has updated demand and needs data for selected activities from those used in the 1979 edition of SCORP through use of the recently completed user participation survey. Analysis of data and initial drafting of text for the Needs chapter of SCORP is now in progress. In order to assure adequate time to consider information from both the Governor's Advisory Committee and the Recreation Guide, and to allow adequate public review on the new SCORP, IAC staff has requested an extension to June 1985 for final submittal of the Sixth Edition of SCORP.

(2) LOCAL AGENCIES' PLANS - TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE: Mr. Pelton referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Local Agency Plans - Technical Assistance", noting the following:

- (a) 94 local agencies have current eligible comprehensive park and recreation plans;
- (b) Staff is currently working with twenty-five agencies who have indicated a desire to obtain eligibility to participate in the G-1-A program in 1984.
- (c) Public Works Project Survey, PCAA: Has identified local park and recreation facility needs by 1988 as nearly \$10,000,000 for acquisition, \$270,000,000 for development, and \$43,000,000 for renovation/development. State Parks identified acquisition development and renovation needs of \$81,900,000 to meet current demand.
- (d) Statistics developed as part of the PWPS survey will be used in the Sixth Edition of SCORP as one phase of needs identification.

Mr. Mackey asked if projects not funded at the November 1983 meeting would be coming back for consideration. The procedure for sponsor applications was explained to him. Applications are treated equally at the new funding session and must go through the same procedure as before and be re-scored in concert with all applications received for the new funding session. They do not have any preference from their previous status. Mr. Webster advised that three out of the five letters of intent received for the November 1984 session are from new project sponsors.

(3) Off-Road Vehicle Report: Mr. Lovelady referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Off-Road Vehicle Report", noting the following:

(1) New members appointed to ORVAC: Terry Babbit, ORV Motorcyclists; James Carter, Pacific NW 4-Wheel Drive Association; Barry Peters, Eastside Counties; and Pat Milliken, Westside Counties.

(2) Wildlife Report: The University of Washington completed its ORV project 82-31P, and issued a report entitled, "Off-Road Vehicles and Wildlife in Washington - A Preliminary Survey". This report examines pertinent literature and land manager views regarding the significance of off-road vehicle and wildlife interactions.

(3) PROJECT CHANGES - ORV:

Project period adjustments were granted for the following:

-Wenatchee National Forest	81-3P.1	Naches Basin Comp. Plan
	81-5P.1	Klone Peak-Tommy Plan
	81-4P.2	Chiwawa Trails Survey Plan
	81-6P.1	Negro & Shaser Creeks Plan
	81-7P.1	Table Mtn. 4X4 Plan
	80-60D.2	Devil's Backbone, Pot Peak, Ches.
-Okanogan National Forest	79-38D.1	Eagle Lakes Trail System
-Kitsap County Parks Dept.	80-41P.2	County ORV Plan
-Thurston, Parks Dept.	80-58D.6	ORV Sports Park, Phase 2
-Yakima, Parks Dept.	83-1D.1	Strobach Springs
	80-63M.2	ORV Sports Park M & O, 1982-83

Adjustments granted for the following:

-Richland, Rec. Div.	82-13.2	Horn Rapids, Phase 2 (Motocross track irrigation system added; time extension granted)
-Richland, Rec. Div.	81-12D.3	Horn Rapids, Phase 3 (Deletions of two timing booths; \$500 cost increase to cover work by volunteers)
-Richland, Rec. Div.	81-12E.1	Education/Enforcement (Reduced proj. period to 12 months)
-Richland, Rec. Div.	81-12M.1	Horn Rapids ORV Park M&O 1982-83 (Reduced cost by \$16,517; reduced project period)
-Yakima, Parks Dept.	82-24C.1	ORV Prog. Coordinator, 1983 (Adjusted project goals due to increased involvement in Strobach Springs project 83-1D)
-Tacoma, Public Works Dept.	80-43P.1	Site Feasibility Study (Extended project period; changed sponsor to Metropolitan Park Dist. to obtain more comprehensive study.)

(4) Funding Schedule for November 1984:

- May 1 - Letter of Intent due
- June 1 - Capital Dev. and Non-capital Project Applications due
- July 1 - Land Acquisition Applications due

In response to Mr. Mackey's question, Mr. Lovelady stated staff conducts audits of all ORV projects. Each billing is reviewed by staff before processed; care is taken to see that there is adequate justification and documentation.

( ) RECREATION GUIDE - STATUS REPORT: Mr. Pelton referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Recreation Guide - Status Report".

(1) Preparation of the Recreation Guide is being coordinated by Gloria Tarver, Recreation Resource Planner, with cooperation of a Task Force (DOT, Game, Fisheries, DNR, CEC, State Parks, NPS and the U. S. Forest Service).

(2) Anna Ross hired as Graphics Design/illustrator on a temporary basis; working with Sue Peterson, cartographer for the Department of Game.

(3) Received six Requests for Proposals to publish the guide; presently conducting interviews with these companies. All have expressed interest in publishing the guide and in soliciting advertising to offset costs related thereto.

(4) Guide is expected to be completed by late fall of 1984 or early spring of 1985.

In response to Mr. Mackey's questions, Mr. Tveten stated the State Parks and Recreation Commission's guide would continue to be published since it would contain more detailed descriptions of Parks' facilities. The Recreation Guide will contain information statewide, but in a more general form. Mr. Pelton noted that the Guide would contain a listing of where to obtain additional information on park and recreation facilities in the state, i.e., addresses for State Parks, DNR, etc. Mr. Tveten mentioned State Parks' services in getting information to the public: Hiring of youth to respond to phone calls from individuals wanting this information (available in May). Received approximately 28,000 calls last year for this service during peak vacation months. Also have other information on other state agencies' services that is given to the public. Mrs. Warden thought the State Recreation Guide might be a duplication, whereupon both Mr. Wilder and Mr. Pelton explained the reasons behind the preparation of the Guide, i.e., the need to have a consolidated guide for the citizens of the state and visitors; the legislation which had been enacted to produce it, etc. It had been suggested that state agencies combine their material into one package, but this would have been unwieldy. Material from each state agency will still be available in response to citizen requests. --

III. OLD BUSINESS - A. Project Changes: Mr. Fairleigh referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Dept. of Game, Big Buck Ranch, IAC #75-626A - Land Trade" and noted the following:

(a) The Game Department proposed to exchange a 118 acre portion of the property included in the project for a 240 acre parcel (Campbell property) located near Twisp, Washington - Pipestone Canyon. The property abuts the Methow Habitat Management Area for one mile and would be a natural extension of the HMA.

(b) Current appraised value of the 118 Big Buck Ranch portion - \$145,000  
Current appraised value of the 240 acre Campbell Property - \$120,000

(c) At present time not all requirements have been met for this conversion, and a difference in value of \$25,000 exists; however, the property (Campbell) may be lost unless authority is given by the Committee to the Director to grant the land trade at such time as all requirements have been met.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MR. TVETEN, THAT WHEREAS, THE WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME ACQUIRED PROPERTY IN OKANOGAN COUNTY KNOWN AS THE BIG BUCK RANCH FOR RECREATION PURPOSES (IAC #75-626A), AND

WHEREAS, THE WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME WISHES TO TRADE A PORTION OF THIS PROPERTY FOR PROPERTY KNOWN AS THE CAMPBELL PROPERTY, AND

WHEREAS, SUCH TRADE WILL BE OF BENEFIT TO BOTH RECREATIONISTS AND WILDLIFE AND MUST BE DONE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO AVERT POSSIBLE LOSS OF THE CAMPBELL PROPERTY TO PUBLIC USE, AND

WHEREAS, THE WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS NOT YET FULLY COMPLIED WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS OF IAC PARTICIPATION MANUAL #7, SECTION 07.19A ACQUISITION PROJECTS CONVERTED:

"SECTION 07.19A: REQUIREMENTS

- "1. All practical alternatives to the conversion have been evaluated and rejected on a sound basis;
- "2. The fair market value of the land to be converted has been established and that the land proposed for substitution is of at least equal current fair market value;
- "3. The land proposed for replacement is of reasonably equivalent recreation utility and location to that being converted.
- "4. The land proposed for substitution meets the eligibility requirement for acquisition projects. The replacement land must stand on its own merits or be part of, a viable recreation area."

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION GRANTS AUTHORITY TO ITS DIRECTOR TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED LAND TRADE AND EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AT SUCH TIME AS THE WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF GAME MEETS ALL REQUIREMENTS OF IAC PARTICIPATION MANUAL #7, SECTION 07.19A ACQUISITION PROJECTS CONVERTED, AS QUOTED ABOVE,

EXCEPT THAT THIS AUTHORITY WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 1984.

MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

2. King County - Sammamish River Park (IAC #70-008A) - Land Conversion: Mr. Fairleigh referred to memorandum of staff "King County - Sammamish River Park (IAC #70-008A)", dated March 8, 1984, and reported the following:

(1) Due to various delays, the land trade approved by the Committee to take place before January 15, 1984, did not take place for the Sammamish River Park project.

(2) Approval is required from the Committee to now approve the land exchange -- King County to exchange .37 acres of land for a parcel from the City of Redmond, .84 acres.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MRS. WARDEN THAT,

WHEREAS, KING COUNTY PARKS ACQUIRED PROPERTY ALONG THE SAMMAMISH RIVER FOR TRAIL PURPOSES (IAC #70-008A), AND

WHEREAS, KING COUNTY WISHES TO ACCOMMODATE A REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF REDMOND FOR A BRIDGE CROSSING OF THE TRAIL RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND

WHEREAS, ALL REQUIREMENTS OF IAC PARTICIPATION MANUAL #7, SECTION 07.19A ACQUISITION PROJECTS CONVERTED, HAVE BEEN MET,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION HEREBY APPROVES A LAND EXCHANGE BETWEEN KING COUNTY AND THE CITY OF REDMOND ALONG THE SAMMAMISH RIVER AT N.E. 85TH AND DOES HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY AMENDMENTS.

MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

B. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT - 1983: Mr. Webster referred to memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Annual Land and Water Conservation Fund Program Review", making the following points:

(1) Week of August 29 thru September 1, 1983, National Park Service staff visited IAC for the annual Land and Water Conservation Fund Program Review.

(2) Final report received, and response has been sent to the Regional Director of the Pacific NW Region of the National Park Service. There were few problems. Those recommendations and follow-through are

- a. Updating of the IAC Participation Manuals was recommended and is taking place at the present time.
- b. To better meet annual billing and inspection requirements, a monitoring system was recommended. A new computer reporting system is being developed.
- c. IAC should verify NPS receipt of settlement documents prior to processing final letter of credit payment request. A returned postcard procedure has been implemented.
- d. Flood plains and/or wetlands: Improved compliance procedures needed regarding Corps of Engineers permits. Staff is implementing such procedures.

Mr. Tveten asked if the IAC would become involved in any way in seeing that the State Parks' Department and other state agencies were in accordance with the Corps permit. He was assured this would not be occurring, that the IAC will merely ensure that the permit is in hand prior to sending contracts through for approval by the National Park Service.

It was noted that the Regional Director of NPS felt the LWCF program as administered by the IAC was effective in the State of Washington:

III. C. 1984 LEGISLATION: Mr. Stanley Scott, Chief, Administrative Services, in giving the legislation report to the Committee cited the following (memorandum of staff dated March 8, 1984, "Legislative Status"):

(1) A current Sponsor/Monitor Listing and Legislative Bills Status Sheet provided current information on the House and Senate Bills.

(2) ESHB 255 - Boating - Has been delivered to the Governor;

ESHB 1156 Operating Budget - In dispute

ESHB 1157 - Supplemental Capital Budget - In dispute.

Substitute Senate Version calls for three projects to be added:

Little Spokane (Acquisition) \$ 1,100,000 (\$550 LWCF)

Sequest State Park 815,000 (with FEMA federal included)

Conservancy Lands 2,000,000

(Appropriation authority to DNR)

There was some discussion concerning the \$2,000,000 for Conservancy Lands. These lands are not conducive to recreation and no one would be able to use them. Mr. Tveten said if this passed, it would be the first time that the ORA would be used for non-recreational purposes. He also noted that the two State Park projects (Little Spokane and Sequest) were not projects placed

in the budget proposal by State Parks. They are good projects put in the budget by the Legislature in response to local level requests. If these projects are then line-itemmed in the budget, State Parks will need to proceed with them provided the monies are there.

Mr. Scott brought out the fact that the Legislature had reactivated SSB 3806 (Title Only bill) just last week which would authorize an additional \$2,000,000 in State Bonds under HJR 52 to be used for these projects. Present status was Senate Third Reading. Will now need to go to the House prior to the end of business March 9th by the State Legislature. Whether this bill would survive is in question. He continued the bill review as follows:

- SHB 1159 - Uniform Compensation, Boards, and Commissions. This bill sets uniform compensation for members of certain boards/commissions in addition to allowable per diem and mileage. IAC members are not authorized such additional compensation.
- SSHB 1231 - Aquatic Lands. Has moved from Senate Rules to the floor of the Senate 3-8-84.
- SB 4097 - Zoo Bonds. Did not move from Senate Parks/Ecology. There will be an interim study made by the Legislature.
- SB 4297 - Camper Trailer Excise Tax. Still in Senate Ways & Means.
- ESSB 4329 - Milwaukee Road Lease (Compromise Version). Touched upon earlier in the meeting.
- SSB 4823 - Urban Area Parks. Still in Senate Rules.
- HCR 43 - Recreation Needs Joint Committee. Still in House Rules.

Mr. Scott then reported briefly on the following:

Lottery - portion for recreation. Senate Park and Ecology Committee had proposed this in its report; likewise the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee. May be studied further.

SB 4404 - Set up trust fund to provide money that would take care of more intense infra-structure needs of the state. Has passed.

Camper-Trailer Excise Tax - Concept has been presented to the Legislature and the users. May possibly be acted upon at another session.

Bond Bill support - Washington Recreation and Park Association is discussing a recreational bond bill to meet long-range needs.

Federal level - National Assessment, or "mini ORRRC". Will compliment what is being done at the Washington State level.

January 1985 Legislature - Will be familiar with proposals that came out of this session; may be looking at alternatives in funding for recreation areas and facilities.

Mr. Wilder said if HCR 43 did not pass, he would be seeking the support of the Committee in following up on the intent of that resolution, i.e., further define state responsibility for outdoor recreation and formulate legislation designed to address various issues.

Mr. Mackey stated he personally felt it was the responsibility of the Committee to become involved in the legislative process for the IAC, and also this would include the state agencies. He stressed the need for the director and IAC staff to follow up with Committee members on ways in which they can be of help. He suggested a legislative session of the IAC, to discuss the various problems brought out by the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee report. The Committee members need to know which legislative bills they should assist with and in what other ways they can be helpful. Mr. Jessup likewise commented on these needs, and noted that he had suggested and offered his services to the director and staff. Though no motion would be necessary, he asked that it be noted the director take these comments under advisement and act accordingly. Mr. Wilder assured them of his desire to have the Committee's assistance wherever possible.

In closing his report, Mr. Scott complimented Mrs. Warden and Mr. Mackey on their confirmation hearings as new members of the Interagency Committee.

IV. NEW BUSINESS - A. STATE AGENCIES' 1985-87 CAPITAL BUDGET: Mr. Pelton referred to memorandum of staff and addendums #1 and #2, "State Agencies' 1985-87 Capital Budgets", dated March 8, 1984. His presentation included the following:

Addendum #1 - 1985-87 Proposed Funding Levels:

Preliminary estimates 1985-87:

Initiative 215	\$ 3,091,000
Other State (Bonds)	6,000,000
Grant-in-Aid	\$ 5,000,000
SBA Type	1,000,000
Federal	<u>2,000,000</u>
TOTAL . . . . .	\$ 11,091,000

Six-Year Capital Improvement Program was given:

	<u>85-87</u>	<u>87-89</u>	<u>89-91</u>
Initiative 215	3,091,000	3,150,000	3,220,000
Other State	6,000,000	7,000,000	8,000,000
Federal	2,000,000	3,000,000	4,000,000
TOTALS	<u>11,091,000</u>	<u>13,150,000</u>	<u>15,220,000</u>

Addendum #2 - Capital Budget Adoption Process:

June 1, 1984	Submitted to IAC
June 15, 1984	Evaluation Team
June 30, 1984	Scoring
July 13, 1984	Proposed CB completed - drafts to state agencies
July 20, 1984	IAC meeting - Committee considers/adopts budget
August, 1984	Submitted to OFM

Stan Scott outlined the proposed funding levels for the State Agencies:

- (1) State funds (except ORV) and federal grants are equally divided between state agencies' programs and local grants.
- (2) Estimated funding for capital projects is based on the following assumptions:
  - a. Initiative 215 estimates are based on projections provided by the Department of Licensing as of January, 1984. Because of the increase in the gas tax, receipts for Initiative 215 have likewise increased. For state agencies, there is a reserve in Initiative 215 which is added to the forthcoming receipts to arrive at a figure of approximately \$3,091,000 for the biennium.
  - b. The Department of Licensing will be conducting its survey in 1984 to determine the amount or proportion of moneys paid in as motor vehicle fuel tax which is tax on marine fuel. This survey takes place at least once each four years. The survey will be conducted based on sales last year. The results are then applied back to mid-year to arrive at a percentage for transfer to the IAC Outdoor Recreation Account - either in or out of the account, depending upon survey results.
  - c. Other State Bonding will hopefully generate approximately \$6,000,000. Will also try another Small Business Administration-type program for the state with approximately \$1,000,000 of this amount, leaving Grant-in-Aid bonds of approximately \$5,000,000.
  - d. Federal estimate: \$2,000,000.

Mr. Wilder noted that approximately \$130 million of federal LWCF funds for allocation to the states is being estimated at the federal level at this time. Mr. Tveten felt the figures presented by Mr. Scott were fairly realistic based on what is being discussed at present. In response to Mr. Mackey's questions concerning the study conducted to determine the percentage for Initiative 215, Mr. Scott explained the procedures and the reason for the "pay-back" in Initiative 215 some time ago. The total motor vehicle fuel sold for use in watercraft fluctuates. If it increases, the percentage goes up; if it decreases, the ORA receipts are less. At one time the percentage was .093, later this was decreased through the Department of Licensing's study.

Following the Capital Budget presentation, Mr. Mackey asked that when the IAC budget hearings take place, the Committee members be advised.

Mr. Tveten pointed out the problem in presenting a budget for \$11 million, when state agencies will be coming in with twice that amount for acquisition/development/renovation projects. Many worthwhile projects will be needed. He pointed out that OFM instructions will probably include prioritization of projects: (1) projects requiring major renovation; (2) extension of projects; (3) development of new facilities on owned land; (4) acquisition projects. Based on this prioritization, goals and objectives are then set. Mr. Pelton noted the evaluation process and the necessity to justify each prioritization.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. BOLDS, SECONDED BY MR. MACKAY, THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION APPROVE THE ESTIMATED 1985-87 IAC-STATE AGENCIES' CAPITAL BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$11,091,000 AS PROPOSED BY STAFF:

<u>SOURCE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Initiative 215	\$ 3,091,000
Other State (Bonds)	6,000,000 (\$5,000,000 - GIA) ( 1,000,000 - SBA-Type grant)
Federal	<u>2,000,000</u>
	\$ 11,091,000

WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CAPITAL BUDGET EVALUATION PROCESS AND PRIORITIZATION OF STATE AGENCIES' PROJECTS WILL BE FOLLOWED BY IAC STAFF AND A FINAL CAPITAL BUDGET BROUGHT BEFORE THE COMMITTEE FOR APPROVAL AT THE JULY 20, 1984 IAC MEETING.

MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

Mr. Jessup reiterated his concern in ensuring the Committee is involved in the Budget process and other matters in which it can be of assistance to the Director. He directed Mr. Wilder to follow through on this consensus of the Committee. Mr. Wilder welcomed the support of the Committee members, and expressed his desire to have a sub-committee on legislation and budget to assist in the budget and legislative process.

Mr. Jessup complimented staff on their efforts in bringing agenda items to the Committee for discussion, and expressed his appreciation on behalf of the Committee for Mr. Wilder's efforts.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. WARDEN, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS, THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE PLACE ON RECORD ITS APPRECIATION OF THE DIRECTOR OF IAC AND HIS STAFF IN THEIR ENDEAVORS TO ENSURE AN EXCELLENT PARKS, RECREATION AND CONSERVATION PROGRAM FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Wilder thanked the Committee for its "vote of confidence".

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MR. TVETEN, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S MARCH 8, 1984 MEETING ADJOURN. (1:00 P.M.)

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

RATIFIED BY THE COMMITTEE

7/20/84  
 DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 CHAIRMAN