

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

SPECIAL MEETING

---

DATE: November 10, 1983 PLACE: Governor House Motor Hotel - Washington Room  
TIME: 9:00 a.m. 621 South Capitol Way  
Olympia, Washington 98502

---

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mrs. Silva Bolds, Vancouver Mr. Jan Tveten, Director, Parks & Recreation  
Mr. John H. Jessup, Jr., Chairman Commission  
Mr. Ralph Mackey, Everett Mr. Frank Lockard, Director, Dept. of Game  
Mrs. Virginia Warden, Spokane

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Mr. Ronald R. Pretti, Gig Harbor  
The Honorable Brian J. Boyle, Commissioner of Public Lands, DNR  
Mr. William R. Wilkerson, Director, Department of Fisheries

APPENDICES: \*

A = Local Agencies Ltrs.  
B = ORV Agencies Ltrs.

Meeting called to order - introductions: The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Chairman John H. Jessup, Jr., with a quorum of five (BOLDS, JESSUP, MACKEY, WARDEN AND TVETEN). (MR. LOCKARD arrived later in the morning.) Mr. Jessup introduced himself to the audience as the new Chairman of the IAC on appointment by Governor Spellman, August 9, 1983. He introduced Virginia Warden and Ralph Mackey, two new members recently appointed by Governor Spellman. Both Mrs. Warden and Mr. Mackey expressed their appreciation to be of service to the citizens of Washington on the IAC Committee.

Other introductions included:

Richard Winters, Associate Regional Director, National Park Service  
Wendy Brand, Chief, Recreation Assistance Section, National Park Service  
Richard Costello, Dept. of Fisheries, Technical Advisory Committee Member  
George Volker, Dept. of Game, Technical Advisory Committee Member  
Rick Long, Intern, Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

Camper-Trailer Excise Tax: Mr. Robert Wilder, Director, IAC, announced that the chairman as a courtesy to those persons in the audience who had traveled a considerable distance to testify concerning the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax, had consented to hearing those persons first prior to any other business of the IAC. Mr. Tveten suggested that an explanation of the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax proposal be given to the group. Mr. Wilder pointed out that this item would be discussed under Item IV. A. Legislation 1984 Proposals by the Committee. He noted it consisted of an informational proposal only to the Committee to ear-mark the camper-trailer excise tax for recreation purposes. Heretofore funds had been disbursed to education - cities and counties throughout the state. It was felt by staff there was a great deal of logic to ear-mark those funds for outdoor recreation. The IAC, itself, would be taking no position on it officially but could approve the proposal in concept. Mr. Wilder asked for comments from the audience.

-----  
\* [Appendices, if desired, may be obtained by writing to the IAC,  
4800 Capitol Blvd., Olympia, Washington 98504 KP-11]

Steve Wendlandt, Continental R.V. Trails, Inc., President: Stated the camper-trailer recreationists had waited ten years for this opportunity, and wanted to thank the IAC for allowing them to speak. Mr. Wendlandt made the following points:

- (1) Proposed that camper-trailer excise tax monies be released for outdoor recreation, and hopefully the camper-trailer recreationists would receive a portion of it.
- (2) Realized State Parks has been short of money, but noted that many state parks are in poor condition and suggested they receive some of these monies to make needed repairs to allow use by camper-trailer recreationists. CCC buildings are rundown and need updating; trails are in need of updating. Parks are over-run with brush and do damage to campers and trailers.
- (3) Also felt State Parks should have a little better means of handling crowds; more group control.

Bob Trenckmann, Good Sam Club, Assistant State Director:

- (1) Noted he was speaking for the State Director who was unable to be present, and for members of the Good Sam Club in the State of Washington.
- (2) Have long desired to have the camper-trailer excise tax money flow into those areas used by the camper-trailer recreationists.
- (3) Was probably worthwhile to have funds in education, but this was not meeting the needs of those citizens who pay the tax and use camper-trailer facilities.
- (4) If these funds could be placed with the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation they could be used to assist camper-trailer people as well as other recreationists.
- (5) Unsure of where the monies have been going to Education, cities and counties -- what has been done with it? May be worthwhile program, but still does not affect those areas used by those who pay the taxes.
- (6) Understood that State Parks had been cut drastically in last budget; have had to close some of their parks, and thus there are now less places for camper-trailers to use.
- (7) Suggested these funds be used to keep the State Parks and other areas open for use, and since the monies come from permits in buying licenses for camper-trailers, they should be used to some extent for areas used by these recreationists.

Mr. Wilder noted that when the Committee discussed this particular agenda item, it would consider remarks made by the users. However, he stated the proposal to the Committee was only a concept at this time. The proposal also would be to split the funds 50-50 between state and local governments, so that State Parks would be assisted as well as other areas. Camper-Trailer recreationists would not have exclusive use of the monies, but these would be used to fund areas which they use. Mr. Ralph Mackey suggested that the recreationists concerned should contact the Governor and the Legislature. Mr. Wilder cited the two leaders in the Senate and House for natural resources and parks: Honorable Jerry Hughes, State Senator; Honorable Nancy Rust, State Representative. Whereupon Mr. Mackey stressed that local legislators would also need to be involved.

Mr. Tveten commented on the camping sites situation in the State of Washington:

- (1) In 1982 the IAC inventory had indicated 56,400 camp sites in Washington; Of those, 37,000 were provided by the private sector; four to five thousand provided by the federal government, with the remaining fourteen to 15 thousand provided by state and local government.
- (2) State Parks has 7,500 camp sites in sixty parks throughout the State of Washington.
- (3) Proposal to dedicate the camper-trailer excise tax to the Outdoor Recreation Account to be used by state and local governments makes good sense.

Introductions: Mr. Wilder and Mr. Webster introduced the following persons:

Russ Cahill, Deputy Director, Department of Fisheries  
Charles Butler, member of the Off-Road Vehicle Committee (Yakima County Parks Department)  
Don Lund, Department of Transportation  
John Webley, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Renton  
Phil Schwind, Director Benton County Park Department, Tri-Cities area.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES, JUNE 9, 1983: MR. TVETEN MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. MACKAY, THAT PAGE (15) OF THE MINUTES OF JUNE 9, 1983, LAST PARAGRAPH, BE CORRECTED AS FOLLOWS:

"MR. TVETEN COMMENTED UPON THE FACT THAT THE OFF-ROAD VEHICLE PROGRAM WOULD SOON HAVE \$3.5 MILLION AVAILABLE FOR THE NEXT BIENNIUM; WHEREAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF FUNDS FOR OTHER PROGRAMS IS \$3.8 MILLION AND OUT OF THAT \$2 MILLION IS FEDERAL MONEY. THIS WOULD MEAN ONLY \$1.8 MILLION FOR FROM STATE AGENCIES SOURCES; WHEREAS THE ORV FUND WOULD HAVE \$3.5 \$2.5 MILLION. MR. PELTON POINTED OUT THAT FIFTY PERCENT (50%) OF THE ORV FUNDS MAY BE USED FOR PROJECTS, AND ABOUT TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT (25%) IS USED FOR OTHER PROGRAMS PERTAINING TO ORV'S SUCH AS COORDINATORS, LAW ENFORCEMENT, EDUCATION. MR. TVETEN STATED THE ORV PROGRAM HAD A DEDICATED FUND SOURCE, AND THIS IS NOT THE CASE WITH THE OTHER STATE AGENCIES PROGRAMS EXCEPT BOATING, THEREFORE IT IS DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN ALL THE STATE PROGRAMS RELATING TO PROJECTS. MR. CAHILL AND MR. WILDER ALSO COMMENTED ON THE ORV FUNDING PROGRAM, POINTING OUT THAT THE ORV FUNDING DEALT WITH CAPITAL AS WELL AS OPERATING -- TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF PROGRAMS. THE FACT THAT....."

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED.

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO THE AGENDA, NOVEMBER 10, 1983: Mr. Wilder added the following item to the November 10, 1983 IAC Meeting agenda:

"IIII. OLD BUSINESS. A. 2. King County, Sammamish River Trail (IAC #70-008A), Extension of Time Period:"

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS, THAT THE AGENDA FOR THE NOVEMBER 10, 1983 IAC MEETING AS AMENDED BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

RESOLUTIONS - CERTIFICATES OF APPRECIATION: The Chairman through Mr. Wilder proposed two resolutions honoring past, or soon to depart, members of advisory committees to the IAC:

Ruth Resta Fearn - former State Trails and Off-Road Vehicle member  
the past two years;

Ron Morgenthaler - Off-Road Vehicle and State Trails committees member  
the past six and seven years respectively.  
(Chairman of ORVAC) (Departing January, 1984)

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. RALPH MACKEY, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS THAT:

WHEREAS, RUTH FEARN AND RON MORGENTHALER HAVE SERVED ON THE STATE TRAILS ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS, AND HAVE ASSISTED THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF OUTDOOR RECREATION SITES AND FACILITIES, AND

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION MEMBERS DESIRE TO RECOGNIZE THEIR DEDICATED AND OUTSTANDING SERVICES RENDERED TO THE COMMITTEE DURING THEIR TENURE ON BOTH OF THESE ADVISORY COMMITTEES, AND WISH THEM WELL IN FUTURE YEARS,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT IN RECOGNITION OF RUTH FEARN'S AND RON MORGENTHALER'S ASSISTANCE TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE IN PERFORMING THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES AS MEMBERS OF THE STATE TRAILS ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE MEMBERS DO HEREWITH EXTEND THEIR THANKS AND APPRECIATION TO THEM FOR THEIR SERVICES, AND

RESOLVED, FURTHER, THAT COPIES OF THIS RESOLUTION BE FORWARDED TO THE MAYOR, CITY OF RICHLAND, IN APPRECIATION OF RUTH FEARN'S SERVICES AND TO THE NORTHWEST MOTORCYCLE ASSOCIATION TRAIL DIVISION OFFICIALS, IN APPRECIATION OF RON MORGENTHALER'S SERVICES, WITH COPY AND LETTER OF APPRECIATION TO THE RECIPIENTS.

MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

Appropriate Certificates of Appreciation from the IAC, signed by the Chairman and the Director, will be given to each individual.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT:

1. November 1983 Report -- Mr. Wilder referred to memorandum dated November 10, 1983, "Director's Report", reporting as follows:

A. Expressed his appreciation for staff of the IAC in their ability to work on projects and other IAC matters even though they were "doing more with less" due to budget cuts. The November 10, 1983 agenda brings to the Committee expertise of the staff.

B. Thanked the members of the Interagency Committee for their support during tenure as President of the American Park and Recreation Society.

C. Fiscal Year 1984 Interior Appropriations: HR #3363: This bill was signed by the President on November 4, 1983, and the Certificate of Apportionment

will be coming to the State of Washington very soon. \$75 million is included for the states Land and Water Conservation Fund assistance.

D. Jobs Bill and Small Business Administration Program: \$760,623 LWCF funds from the Jobs Bill program were administered by the IAC -- \$380,305 to local agencies; \$380,314 to state agencies. (\$40 million in LWCF was granted by Congress to the states.) (\$4.00 remained in LWCF program.)

Small Business Administration program called for the IAC to administer \$1,075,900, for the rehabilitation and improvement of public parks and publicly owned lands with specific purpose of involving small businesses in such activities in areas of severe unemployment. The IAC distributed \$475,000 to local agencies; \$500,000 to State Parks and Recreation Commission; and \$75,900 to the Department of General Administration. (SEE PAGE 10 FOR REPORT.)

E. National Policy Assessment: (S. 1090/ HR 2837). S. 1090 will be on the floor of the Senate this week. Authorizes the creation of a National Outdoor Recreation Resource Advisory Commission to undertake an 18-month assessment of long-term recreation and park policy and issues. Pointed out that the State of Washington is "ahead of the game" with its newly formed Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee presently studying these policies and issues for the State of Washington.

F. LWCF Amendment - Migratory Bird Conservation Fund (HR 3082 and S 1329): HR 3082 would improve nation's wetland conservation program by increasing funds to the Migratory Bird Conservation Fund and to state programs for the acquisition and conservation projects. LWCF Act would be amended to authorize reasonable fees for entrance to certain units of the National Wildlife Refuge System. This bill would provide \$75 million per year for ten years, beginning in FY 85, from LWCF at a 75 percent federal, 25% state ratio.

G. SUMMARY: Highlighted the following:

1. Governor's Conference on Recreation and the Economy
2. National Policy Assessment being considered by the Congress
3. LWCF - None in 1982; \$75 Million in 1983; \$75 Million in 1984.
4. LWCF Supplement \$40 million in 1983.
5. Funding Session - 1982 - thirty-four projects funded.
6. Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee - created and currently functioning well
7. Senate Parks and Ecology - Funding Alternative Report published
8. SBA Jobs Bill = \$1,075,900 - 1983 for Washington State.
9. Recreation Guide Legislation - passed
10. Boating Program
11. Off-Road Vehicles Program
12. Spokane's Bond Issue (Validated)
13. Seattle Bond Issue (Not Validated)
14. Brewster Park and Recreation District - 84.4% passage
15. Support from the General Public - continues to be substantial
16. Resolutions in behalf of the IAC FROM:  
Association of Washington Cities; Washington State Association of Counties, C-BM Branch of HSPA, and others.

2. Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee (GRRAC): Prior to giving his report, Mr. Jerry Pelton, Chief, Planning Services, announced that Bob Wilder had received the Honor Fellow Award from the Washington Recreation and Park Association at its recent annual convention. This is the highest award that can be given to an individual by WRPA.

(a) Noted that the Committee was established by Executive Order #83-04. Have held five public meetings thus far - Bellingham, Wenatchee, Spokane, Vancouver, Tacoma. Final in Seattle November 18-19. The Seattle public hearing will be at the Port of Seattle, Pier #66, at 1:30 p.m., November 18, Friday.

(b) Noted that have averaged fifty to sixty persons attending these meetings...(75 in Tacoma recently)...with testimony provided from a wide assortment of interest groups, organizations, individuals and public agencies.

(c) In addition to the public hearings, the Committee has had presentations from IAC, PCAA, Dept. of Game, Dept. of Fisheries, State Parks, Dept. of Natural Resources, Commerce and Economic Development, Association of Washington Cities, Washington State Association of Counties, National Park Service, and the U. S. Forest Service.

(d) Final report to the Governor is due by December 31, 1983, and is tentatively scheduled for presentation in mid-December.

(e) Four Sub-Groups within the Committee are currently analyzing the materials and information provided to them to develop findings and recommendations for consideration in the final report:

Roles and Responsibilities	-	Mari Jensen Clack, Chrmn.
Funding	-	Micaela Brostrom, Chrmn.
Private/Public Considerations	-	John Van Zonneveld, Chrmn.
Legislation	-	Frances North, Chrmn.

Mr. Pelton also noted that attached to the memorandum concerning the GRRAC was a "General Overview", October 1983.

## II. STATUS REPORTS -

B. Fund Summary - Grant-in-Aid Program: Mr. Stan Scott, Chief, Management Services, called upon Ray Baker, Agency Accounts Officer, for brief review of the October 31, 1983 Fund Summary. Mr. Baker stated the intent of the Fund Summary was to reflect for Committee members the status of IAC funds at a specific point in time -- this report being as of October 31, 1983. He commented on the current funding available to state and local agencies. Mr. Tveten asked if the \$623,844 available to locals was the total available for funding at this session. Mr. Baker stated this amount represented the amount of cash-on-hand but that available for this funding session would be \$2.5 million from LWCF, HJR 52, and Initiative 215. In response to a question of Mr. Mackey, Mr. Baker noted that funds from Ref. 11, 18, and 28 were exhausted, except in instances where some Ref. 28 monies might not be used and thus be put back into the Outdoor Recreation Account. Anticipated LWCF funds were mentioned by Mr. Wilder to arrive at the \$2.5 million funding figure. Mr. Tveten felt this indicated the dire straits the IAC was in at the present time because it would be spending anticipated money, with only the \$623,844 on hand. Both Mr. Baker and Mr. Wilder clarified these estimates -- that they are conservative and that the IAC does not over-commit.

Off-Road Vehicle Fund Summary: Mr. Baker referred to the Off-Road Vehicle Fund Summary Report, noting it was as of September 30, 1983. \$1,286,971.78 was currently in the fund, with \$100,000 estimated income for the month of October, 1983 -- a total of \$1,386,971.78 available in the Off-Road Vehicle program for funding. In response to Mr. Mackey's question, Mr. Baker explained that the All-Terrain Vehicle or "ATV Recovered Funds" referred to the previous funding system, which was handled differently. Mr. Wilder clarified this stating the ATV monies were allotted on a formula basis and sent to certain jurisdictions. They could use these monies for ATV purposes, but if not used within a five year period, they had to be returned to the IAC. Some entities still have ATV funds available to use and this is taken into account in the overall ORV funding program.

## II. STATUS REPORTS -

C. Projects Services: Prior to the Projects Services Report, Mr. Webster introduced the following persons:

- Ted Brown, Director, Parks and Recreation, Vancouver, member of Technical Advisory Committee
- Steve Knauer, Planner/Designer, Park and Recreation Dept, Kennewick, member of the TAC.
- Harry Laban, Parks and Recreation Department, City of Seattle, TAC member.

Mr. Webster referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, "Project Services Division Report", noting the following:

(1) Sixty-nine applications were received for this funding session. Twenty-four were subsequently withdrawn, leaving 45 applications for Committee funding consideration.

(2) Technical Advisory Committee: This committee met to review and assist each project application under consideration on September 7-8 and September 13-14.

(3) Evaluation Team: October 17 thru 21 each application was reviewed and scored by an Evaluation Team. Appreciation was expressed to the following Evaluation Team members:

- Gary Chadwick, Whatcom County Park & Recreation Dept.
- Ovelia Cromwell, Toppenish Park and Recreation Dept.
- Barney Wilson, Kent Parks and Recreation Dept.
- John Webley, Renton Parks and Recreation Dept
- Rich Costello, Dept. of Fisheries

The City of Tukwila officials were thanked for their assistance in providing the meeting place...(Lynn DeVore.)

(4) Sixty-six ongoing local agencies' projects proceeding well towards completion; with 85 ongoing state agencies projects as well.

(5) City of Langley, Harbor Development Project #78-045D: City of Langley has received \$510,000 as a settlement for its damaged Harbor Development Project (unusable because floating breakwater sank and concrete moorage floats brokeup

due to extreme wind conditions). The City will be meeting with the Port of South Whidbey to determine course of action.

(6) LWCF Program Review: The National Park Service conducted a program review regarding the LWCF Program during the week of August 29 thru September 1, 1983. Final report due soon.

(7) LWCF - Jobs Bill Projects: Reported the following Jobs Bill projects funded: (Work to be completed by August 15, 1984.)

<u>LOCAL AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>JOB'S BILL MONEY</u>	
City of Kent	West Hill Park	\$ 150,000	
City of Kennewick	Vista Park	139,305	
City of Grandview	Swimming Pool	<u>91,000</u>	
			\$ 380,305
 <u>STATE AGENCIES</u>			
State Parks	Ft. Worden-Breakwater	\$ 75,314	
State Parks	Twenty-Five Mile Creek	80,000	
State Parks	Riverside	<u>40,000</u>	
			\$ 195,314
State Game	Tennant Lake Development	\$ 93,500	
State Game	Snake River	63,500	
State Game	Clear Lake	11,000	
State Game	Lake Washington - Kenmore	<u>17,000</u>	
			\$ 185,000

(8) Cost Increase: The Suquamish Indian Tribe Dock Rehabilitation Project, IAC #82-019D received a cost increase in the amount of \$2,750 to cover overruns based on bids received. Delays encountered were not the fault of the Project Sponsor.

(9) State Agencies' Master List Projects Approved:

Agency	Project	IAC No.	Funding
DNR	<u>Woodland Camp Expan.</u> Redevelop camp in Clark County	84-701D	\$ 20,000 State Bond
DNR	<u>Mt. Si. (Hawk #14)</u> Acquisition of 77.96 more/less acres of land	84-702A	100,000 State Bond
GAME	<u>Mercer Is. Floating</u> <u>Bridge Dock</u> - Redevelop fishing float facility at west end of Mercer Is. Floating Bridge on Lake Washington	84-606D	59,000 = \$28,551.31 Ref. 28 30,448.69 Ref. 18
GAME	<u>Tennant Lake Acq.</u> Acquire two parcels land 25.53 acres 225' waterfront (Cooperative with Whatcom County)	84-601A	15,000 = \$10,000 215 14,000 Ref. 28
PARKS	<u>Millersy]vania Park</u> Provide new well/pump Thurston County	84-503D	13,100 State Bond

(continued next page)

PARKS      Lake Sylvia Dam Repair      84-504D      21,500      State Bond  
Provide complete assessment  
of stability of dam and for minor  
repairs and modifications.

PARKS      St. Edwards Seminary      84-505D      350,000      State Bond  
Provide for boiler in pool  
bldg., life cycle cost analysis of  
heating system, and seismic analysis  
of main bldg. interior

TOTAL STATE FUNDING:      \$ 602,600

Mr. Webster announced there would be a dedication ceremony of the Mt. Si, (Hawk #14) project Monday, November 14, at North Bend.

In response to Mr. Mackey's question, Mr. Wilder explained his administrative authority in granting ten percent cost increases on projects requiring such emergency action. Any cost increase over ten percent must come before the Committee for resolution.

Mr. Tveten asked if there were sufficient monies in the \$510,000 figure to restore the Langley project. Mr. Webster stated at this time staff did not know if this would be sufficient or not. The City of Langley is concerned that these funds might not cover restoration because of weather conditions in that area. The City and the Port, however, will meet to determine the course of action. The cost of the initial project was \$360,000. There are also costs within the settlement for legal fees and for the work (maintenance and clearing) which the City had to go through to clean up the damaged area.

C. 2. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FUNDING: Mr. Larry Fairleigh, Project Manager, was called upon for a report on the SBA program which he had supervised. Mr. Fairleigh referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, "Small Business Administration (SBA) Grant Program", noting the following:

(1) The State received \$1,075,900 as its share of the SBA program, and administered it as follows: IAC \$500,000 to be distributed to local government, \$500,000 used in thirty-one State Parks (State Parks & Recreation Commission); and \$75,900 used for the Capitol Campus landscaping through the Department of General Administration.

(2) All work had to be completed by September 30, 1983; all individuals hired had to be unemployed; all funds were expended in those counties or areas having unemployment in excess of 15% (except for the G.A. project); and all material and supplies used or businesses contracted with, had to have seventy or fewer employees.

(3) 117 individual sponsors sent in applications (for 150 projects); projects totaled \$2,306,160.

(4) Following evaluation, and with approval of the Office of the Governor, twenty-seven local projects were funded at the 100% funding level with a maximum cost of \$30,000 per project. Projects funded were:

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS FUNDED - 1983:

COUNTY	SPONSOR	PROJECT	AMOUNT	ESTIMATED PERSONS TO HIRE	ESTIMATED SMALL BUSINESSES INVOLVED
Chelan	Chelan County	Wenatchee River Pk.	\$ 3,000	3	4
Clallam	Jamestown Klallam Indian Tribe	Community Park	30,000	6	14
Columbia	Columbia County	Golf Course	30,000	8	12
Columbia	Dayton Sch. Dist.	Jr. Hi Mini-Mall	1,500	4	7
Cowlitz	City, Kalama	Park Landscaping	10,200	3	10
Douglas	Pt. Douglas Co.	Orondo Park	4,900	6	11
Ferry	Town of Republic	Park Development	19,500	12	6
Ferry	Colville Indians	Inchelium Pk. Development	30,000	8	3
Grant	Grant Co. Fair	Fairgrounds	30,000	6	11
Grays Hbr.	McCleary Sch. Dis.	Park Development	16,600	7	10
Kittitas	Town of Roslyn	Town Park	28,800	5	15
Klickitat	City, Bingen	Park Development	22,300	4	10
Klickitat	White Salmon	School/Park	19,000	5	9
Lewis	City, Chehalis	Park Landscaping	30,000	7	17
Mason	Skokomish Indians	Tribal Park	9,200	7	7
Okanogan	City, Omak	War Memorial	26,000	12	11
Okanogan	Tonasket Sch. D.	School Playground	23,000	3	8
Pacific	Town, Long Beach	Park Improvement	1,200	3	11
Pend Ore.	City, Newport	City Park	13,500	4	4
Pend Ore.	Pend Ore. Co.	Courthouse	19,000	2	6
Pierce	City, Tacoma	S.End Athletic Area	17,200	18	9
Skagit	Skagit County	Skagit Fields	30,000	7	13
Skamania	Port, Skamania	Tour Boat Area	16,500	8	9
Skamania	Skamania Co.	Skamania Park	9,400	3	6
Stevens	9-Mile Fall Sch. District	Spokane Lake School	11,000	7	6
Stevens	Onion Creek Sch. District	School Playground	1,700	1	11
Yakima	Yakima County	Youth Activities Park	21,500	15	18
20 Co.	27 Sponsors	27 Projects	\$ 475,000	174	259

II. STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION SBA PROJECTS FUNDED:

Park Name

(Totalled \$500,000 in funding)

ALTA LAKE	JARRELL COVE	POTHOLES
BAY VIEW	LAKE CHELAN	POTLATCH
BELFAIR	LAKE WENATCHEE	RAINBOW FALLS
BROOKS MEMORIAL	LEWIS AND CLARK	SACAJAWEA
CONCONULLY	LEWIS AND CLARK TRAIL	SEQUENT
CURLEW LAKE	MARYHILL	STEAMBOAT ROCK
DECEPTION PASS	MOSES LAKE	SUMMER FALLS
FORT OKANOGAN	OSOYOOS LAKE	SUN LAKES
FORT SIMCOE	PACIFIC BEACH	TWENTY-FIVE MILE CREEK
GRAYLAND BEACH	PEARRYGIN LAKE	TWIN HARBORS
		YAKIMA SPORTSMAN

Mr. Fairleigh reported to the Committee that it had been possible through the SBA program to reach many small communities that had not heretofore applied for assistance through the IAC.

Mr. Jessup commended Mr. Wilder, Mr. Fairleigh and the staff of the IAC for their efficient management of this special program in the short space of time allotted to them. As a recipient of part of the program, Mr. Tveten added his thanks and appreciation. He felt the money given to State Parks had made a visual impact on State Parks. It has been necessary in years past in some instances to delay landscaping of certain park areas due to money limitations; just the essential elements had been completed. He felt this had been a good program for State Parks.

II. STATUS REPORTS -

D. Planning Services: 1. Mr. Jerry Pelton referred to staff memorandum dated November 10, 1983, "SCORP Update - Progress Report", reporting the following:

(a) Work has continued on the Sixth Edition of the Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP). Updating of information is going forward and a user participation information survey is being conducted through the Western Washington University.

(b) Issue Papers, findings and recommendations, etc., are being delayed until such time as the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee has completed its report to the Governor. This information will be included in the Sixth Edition of SCORP, initial draft of which should be completed by March 1984.

(a)

2. Local Agencies' Technical Assistance: Currently as indicated in memorandum of November 10, 1983, "Local Agency Plans - Technical Assistance", there are 102 local agencies with currently eligible comprehensive park & recreation plans. Of these 71 are municipalities; 13, counties; five, port districts; four, park and recreation districts; three, school districts, and four, Indian Tribes.

(b) IAC has in past years nominated one comprehensive park and recreation plan to the Planning Association of Washington for the PAW Achievement Award. This year Benton County, recommended by IAC, received the award.

-----  
At this point, the Committee recessed for five minutes.  
-----

LOCAL AGENCIES' PROJECTS PRESENTATIONS

Chairman Jessup called the meeting to order at 10:15 a.m., and called upon Mr. Jim Webster, Chief, Projects Services, for the Local Agencies' Projects Presentations.

IV. NEW BUSINESS - C. Mr. Webster referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, "Local Agency Project Funding". Letters in regard to certain projects had been distributed to each Committee member for review - those opposed and/or accepting of projects. (APPENDIX 'A' TO THESE MINUTES.) The Committee's attention was called to TABLE 1, PROJECT APPLICATIONS AND COSTS attached to the memorandum from staff. (SEE PAGE 12 of these minutes.)

TABLE 1 PROJECT APPLICATIONS AND COSTS

A N E K	R E G	AGENCY	PROJECT	CORE	TOTAL PROJECT	LOCAL MATCH	STATE SHARE	-- SOURCE OF STATE --		LWCF	
								INIT. 215	HJR		
1	50	A CLALLAM COUNTY	1 FRESHWATER BAY ACQUISITION	170.4	158,120	79,060	79,060	39,530	39,530	39,530	
2	50	D HARRAH	8 HARRAH PARK SPORTS COURT	168.2	22,000	11,000	11,000	5,500	5,500	5,500	
3	50	D PT/FRIDAY HARBOR	3 TRANSIENT MOORAGE	166.9	270,000	135,000	135,000	135,000	135,000	-	
4	50	D FOULSEU, PORT	4 TRANSIENT MOORAGE	166.0	181,500	90,750	90,750	90,750	90,750	-	
5	41	D ANACORTES, PORT	3 CAP SANTE MARINA	165.8	357,300	207,300	150,000	150,000	150,000	-	
6	50	D ALBION	12 ALBION TOWN PARK	162.3	47,200	23,600	23,600	11,800	11,800	11,800	
7	50	D COUPEVILLE	3 BOAT LAUNCH	159.0	69,850	34,925	34,925	34,925	34,925	-	
8	37	D VANCOUVER	6 WATERWORKS PARK, PH. 1	154.5	399,500	249,500	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
9	50	D KLICKITAT COUNTY	6 TROUT LAKE TENNIS COURTS	152.1	36,000	18,000	18,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	
10	25	D SEATTLE	4 MT. BAKER ROWING & SAILING FAC.	150.5	600,000	450,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	-	
11	50	D DUVALL SCHOOL DIS.	4 CHERRY VALLEY SCH. TENNIS CTS.	150.2	37,563	18,782	18,782	9,391	9,391	9,391	
12	50	D YAKIMA COUNTY	8 YAKIMA RIVER GREENWAY	149.7	271,000	135,500	135,500	67,750	67,750	67,750	
13	50	D CHENEY	12 NORTHEAST PARK	149.2	137,887	68,944	68,943	34,472	34,472	34,472	
14	45	D KENNEBICK	10 VISTA COMMUNITY PARK, PH. 2	146.2	329,906	179,906	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
15	50	D LYNNWOOD	4 WILCOX PARK RENOVATION	145.3	165,300	82,650	82,650	41,325	41,325	41,325	
16	30	D OLYMPIA	5 PERCIVAL LANDING NORTH	144.7	500,000	350,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
17	34	D RENTON	4 CEDAR RIVER TRAIL EXPANSION	144.20	365,000	240,685	124,315	62,158	62,158	62,158	
18	27	D TACOMA F.U.D.	4 ALDER LAKE DEVELOPMENT	144.15	540,000	390,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
19	50	D TUKWILA	4 CHRISTENSEN GREENBELT PK, PH. 2	142.0	164,000	82,000	82,000	41,000	41,000	41,000	
20	24	D KENT	4 MILL CREEK CANYON FARK	141.7	600,900	450,900	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
21	42	D SPOKANE	12 SOUTHEAST YOUTH SPORTS COMPLEX	141.5	349,264	199,264	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
22	50	A ELLENSBURG	8 YAKIMA RIVERFRONT PARK	141.4	28,500	14,250	14,250	7,125	7,125	7,125	
23	28	D PORT ANGELES, PORT	1 SEQUIM BAY MARINA MOORAGE	140.8	518,350	368,350	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
24	50	D ABERDEEN	2 MORRISON RIVERFRONT PARK	140.7	299,110	149,555	149,555	74,778	74,778	74,778	
25	50	D SEATTLE	4 NEW NEIGHBORHOOD PARK, PH. 2	138.3	300,000	150,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
26	50	D KING COUNTY	4 SHOREVIEW, PH. 2	137.6	300,000	150,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
27	50	D BELLINGHAM	3 FRANK GERI FIELD	134.2	300,000	150,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
28	50	A KITSAP COUNTY	4 ISLAND LAKE COUNTY PARK	133.6	198,000	99,000	99,000	49,500	49,500	49,500	
29	49	D WINSLOW	4 EAGLE HARBOR PARK	132.5	301,631	151,631	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
30	42	D BENTON COUNTY	10 HORN RAPIDS PARK, PH. 1	132.3	350,000	200,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
31	31	D LONGVIEW	6 SEVENTH AVENUE PARK	131.4	475,400	325,400	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
32	50	D NEWPORT SCH. DIS.	11 PARK REVITALIZATION	131.1	115,000	57,500	57,500	28,750	28,750	28,750	
33	50	D LACEY	5 LONG LAKE PARK DEVELOPMENT	130.1	297,525	148,763	148,762	74,381	74,381	74,381	
34	50	D OAK HARBOR	3 CITY BEACH PARK, PH. 2	129.5	300,000	150,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
35	50	A FRIDAY HARBOR	3 PARK ACQUISITION	128.2	40,000	20,000	20,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	
36	21	D YELM SCHOOL DIS.	5 COMMUNITY RECREATIONAL FACILITY	125.7	700,000	550,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
37	35	D SILVERDALE, PORT	4 SILVERDALE WATERFRONT	124.5	424,850	274,850	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
38	50	D RAINBRIDGE ISLAND	4 BATTLE POINT PARK	122.9	117,778	58,889	58,889	29,445	29,445	29,445	
39	50	A TACOMA	4 CITY WATERWAY PARK	121.7	216,000	108,000	108,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	
40	50	D ARLINGTON	4 ARLINGTON SPORTS COMPLEX	121.26	155,000	77,500	77,500	38,750	38,750	38,750	
41	50	D MOXEE	8 MOXEE PARK RENOVATION, PH. 2	121.25	34,500	17,250	17,250	8,625	8,625	8,625	
42	29	D KING COUNTY	4 PRESTON-SNOQUALMIE TRAIL EXTENT.	116.8	509,190	359,190	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
43	50	D EVERETT	4 KASCH PARK	115.0	300,000	150,000	150,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	
44	50	D RICHLAND	10 BICYCLE PATH	103.7	60,420	30,210	30,210	15,105	15,105	15,105	
45	50	D SNOWQUALMIE	4 SNOWQUALMIE FALLS PARK TRAIL	100.6	126,000	63,000	63,000	31,500	31,500	31,500	
				TOTALS		12,069,544	7,321,104	4,748,440	860,675	1,868,885	2,018,880

Mr. Webster noted that Initiative 215 monies could only be used for boating related projects as indicated in the tabulation. Following established procedure, he stated each project would be presented to the Committee, utilizing slides and verbal summaries by the Project Services staff. Following staff presentations, the funding recommendation would be presented to the Committee for its consideration. As in the past, the staff had shared with the sponsors as a guideline funding levels of fifty percent IAC and fifty percent local participation, with a \$150,000 ceiling as a maximum amount of matching funds any one sponsor might expect to receive.

At this point, members of the audience were reminded if they wished to testify on behalf of any project that they should complete a Participation Registration Card for use of the Chairman.

Those projects receiving comments or questions from Committee members were as follows:

Port of Poulsbo, Transient Moorage, 84-030D: Mr. Mackey was informed that the transient seaplane berths were not a part of the project -- grant funds would be from the Department of Transportation.

Town of Albion, Albion Town Park, 84-036D: In response to Mr. Mackey, Mr. Fairleigh stated that the sponsors had accepted the Technical Advisory Committee's suggestions to turn the ballfield to allow greater access, and combining the restroom and shelter into one building.

City of Seattle, Mt. Baker Rowing and Sailing Facility, 84-046D: Mrs. Bolds asked whether the forty-seven IAC grants approved included this facility. She was informed it was not a phased project, but a new one; that the City of Seattle had forty-three completed projects, four not completed, and would now be adding this project.

Yakima County, Yakima River Regional Greenway, Development, 84-043D: Mr. Tveten asked about the private donations going into this project. The Greenway Foundation is in the process of raising money to help, and will operate and maintain the property as a public park.

Tacoma Dept. of Public Utilities, Alder Lake Recreation Improvements #1, 84-003D: Mr. Mackey asked why this project could not have an Exhibit-R status. Mr. Taylor said the Alder Dam license would not be due for renewal for thirteen years and thus did not require an Exhibit-R.

Port of Port Angeles, Sequim Bay Boat Haven, 84-001D: Mr. Fairleigh clarified the areas being developed within this project for Mr. Mackey.

Aberdeen Parks Dept., Morrison Riverfront Park, 84-019D: Mr. Mackey asked if Initiative 215 funds could not be used in this project. Mr. Taylor replied the marina is in a future development plan; the project as shown to the Committee today included only the first phase development and HJR 52 funds would be used along with LWCF.

Seattle, New Neighborhood Park, Phase II, 84-047D: Questions were asked by Mr. Mackey concerning the handicapped features of this park. Would it be used enough to justify the elements? Mr. Taylor and Mr. Webster pointed out the extensive use of parks by the handicapped in and around the Seattle area.

Mrs. Warden asked if the Children's Orthopedic Hospital people were to use the park was there safe access to it for crossing the highway? Mr. Taylor replied the City at the time of development will be putting in a safety crossing.

City of Newport School District, Park and Recreation Revitalization, 84-042D: Mr. Mackey recalled that the City of Newport School District had asked for some work to be done on the rodeo grounds. Mr. Webster stated that had been taken out of the project.

City of Lacey, Long Lake Park Development, 84-048D: In response to Mr. Mackey's question, Mr. Taylor stated that the local share made up from appropriations and force account means that these funds will come from Lacey's General Fund along with donations.

Town of Friday Harbor, Park Land Acquisition, 84-069A: Mr. Fairleigh reported there were no camping facilities in this project; that it would be a staging point for people getting off of the ferries and heading for the island.

Yelm School District, Community Recreation Facilities, New Yelm Elementary School, 84-026D: Mr. Tveten asked since the School District was getting into picnic ground development, couldn't it cooperate with the County Parks Department? Mr. Taylor explained that the County of Thurston is unable to respond and assist with these facilities, so the School District has accepted that responsibility.

King County, Preston-Snoqualmie Trail Extension, 84-020D: Mr. Mackey asked the relation of this project to the historical railroad facility. Mr. Fairleigh indicated on the map the route from the Town of Preston to the Town of Snoqualmie.

Staff concluded projects presentations at 11:20 a.m.

At this point, Mr. Tveten pointed out that ten of the project applications were from communities that had never received any IAC grants. He felt this spoke well of staff's efforts in trying to be sensitive to communities in the state so that they can benefit from the IAC program. He asked if there were any projects besides the Greenway project where local funding match comes from donations. Several were indicated to him. He stressed the importance of having this type of citizen involvement, and that those communities should receive recognition for their efforts.

Projects Services staff distributed to the audience and the Committee STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS - LOCAL PROJECTS, NOVEMBER 10, 1983.

Mr. Webster referred to the Recommendations Memorandum, noting the following:

- (1) Four basic criteria were used for funding:
  - a. Available funding for local projects;
  - b. Ranking of the 45 projects (thru the Evaluation System);
  - c. Suggested funding guidelines of maximum of 50 percent IAC participation with a \$150,000 ceiling; and
  - d. The attempt to fund as many worthy projects as possible.
- (2) Staff recommended funding of the first twenty-four projects indicated on the Local Projects, Table 2, Recommendations, plus the funding of Projects #28 and #29: Kitsap County, Island Lake County Park; and Winslow, Eagle Harbor Park.

(3) Available funding table:

	SOURCE OF FUNDS 11-83			
	TOTAL	LWCF	INIT. 215	HJR 52
Cash on Hand (Fund Summary)	\$ 623,675.56	\$ 400,004.48	\$ 223,671.08	-0-
Projected Receipts to 6-30-84:				
Estimated Apportionment	640,935.00	640,935.00	-0-	-0-
FY 85 Reapportionment	39,853.20	39,853.20	-0-	-0-
Pro-rated Bond Authority	750,000.00	-0-	-0-	\$750,000.00
Estimated Receipts - Init. 215	512,500.00	-0-	512,500.00	-0-
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE</b>	<b>\$2,566,963.76</b>	<b>\$1,080,792.68</b>	<b>\$ 736,171.08</b>	<b>\$750,000.00</b>

Mr. Webster explained the Evaluation Process and the ranking of projects as to score. He then clarified why two projects had been "skipped over" to arrive at the funding of projects #28 and #29: Upon arriving at project #24 and funding all of those to that number, there was a small amount of funds available to distribute in Init. 215 -- \$62,000. This being boater money needed to be placed in a project that was boater-related. The next project eligible in that category was the Winslow project. It was determined that if the IAC could place \$100,000 in that project, then much-needed boating facilities could be accomplished for the use of the public. There was left \$55,000 or so of HJR 52 funds. It was determined that the Kitsap County, Island Lake acquisition project was the most critical -- that land could be lost if it could not be acquired soon. These two projects were, therefore, funded with the remaining monies. Mr. Webster recommended on behalf of staff the approval of the first 25 projects with projects #28 and #29.

COMMENTS FROM IAC MEMBERS: The chairman called for comments from members of the Interagency Committee.

Mr. Tveten asked when the estimated funds for local agencies would be in hand. Bob Wilder called upon Richard Winters, Associate Regional Director, National Park Service, who informed the Committee that the apportionment of Land and Water Conservation monies would be available within three weeks; Congress has made the appropriation and it has been signed by the President. Mr. Wilder then explained the necessity for the use of Land and Water Conservation Funds in this funding session. The Operating Budget of IAC contained a PROVISIO that any State Bond monies are to be matched with federal monies -- and this had been done.

COMMENTS FROM PROJECT SPONSORS: Chairman Jessup called for comments from local sponsors as logged on the Participation Registration Cards. He asked that each speaker limit his/her comments to three or four minutes only.

Honorable Everett Hope, Mayor, Town of Albion - Albion Town Park Project:

Thanked the Committee for its consideration in funding the Town of Albion's project.

Honorable Lew Naddy, Town of Coupeville - Coupeville Boat Launch Project:

Appreciated the assistance staff had given to the Town's project, and thanked the Committee for funding the boat launch.

Ted Brown, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Vancouver - Waterworks Park, Phase I Project: Commented he had been attending the IAC meetings for the past ten years, and had never taken the opportunity to thank the Committee prior to this time. Expressed his appreciation for staff's work and their recommendation for funding.

Robert Hudson, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Cheney - Northeast Park Project: Gave his reasons to the Committee to stay with staff recommendations. Northeast Park Project is 73% funded by donations from private individuals, and it is essential that these monies be used for which they are intended. Recommended funding of Cheney's project.

Donald Williams, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Tukwila - Christensen Greenbelt Park, Ph. 2: Thanked the Committee for their fine work, and also thanked the Evaluation Team for looking at the Christensen Greenbelt Park, Phase 2 project as a project for not just the Tukwila area, but for use by recreationists from all of King County and other areas. Realized that trails projects are different from the traditional park projects and appreciated the evaluation of them per se.

Helen Adams, Administrative Assistant, City of Kent - Mill Creek Canyon Park Project: Distributed to the Committee a brochure on the Mill Creek Canyon Park - the earthworks design and construction, etc. Thanked the Committee for their understanding.

Frank McCoy, Director, City of Spokane Parks and Recreation Dept - Southeast Youth Sports Complex Project: Asked that the staff's recommendation to fund the Southeast Youth Sports Complex Project stand. Stated there would be \$200,000 available for a match -- the public is helping by donations in this project and others. Thanked Committee and staff for their efforts.

Wes Peterson, Director, City of Aberdeen, Parks and Recreation Department - Morrison Riverfront Park Project: Aberdeen has received funding through the years; expressed his appreciation. Without help of the Committee Aberdeen could not have built the parks it has today. Greatly appreciated staff assistance also.

Bud Parker, Project Administrator, King County Parks Division - Shoreview, Ph. 2 Project: Appreciated the process that the staff and Committee went through on arriving at funding of projects.

2. Project not recommended for funding, but is unique project -- funds for acquisition and Phase I of the project were through the IAC. That work is now completed.

3. Current application was for \$300,000 - made up of funds from King County and \$84,000 from the Shoreline Community College. One-half of that money was from students for a tennis court development. College has limited room for tennis courts.

4. Will lose opportunity. Suggested reduced funds from the state were acceptable, since the \$84,000 does not depend upon a match. Would accept instead of \$150,000 from the IAC, either \$50,000, or \$75,000, if possible. This would start building the core of the project

Byron Elmendorf, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Bellingham - Frank Geri Field Project: Felt same way as King County spokesman - project not recommended for funding. Should there be additional funds, asked consideration of this project.

Rick Simon, City Planner, City of Newport - Newport School District, Park Revitalization: Thanked the Committee for its consideration and staff for their evaluation process. Asked reconsideration of the Newport project for funding. Need the funding this year as may be unable to do the project later on. The City did receive funding from the SBA program earlier and had landscaping completed. Results of this project were good.

Randy Hatfield, Director, Kitsap County Parks - Island Lake County Project: Thanked the Committee for its consideration in funding this project. It is located in the center of highest population area and thus will get a lot of use. In the next five years there is predicted to be an increase of 20,000 people. The acquisition is waterfront property, and has had heavy use in the 1950's and 1960's. The County attempted to get the property in 1970 but was unable to do so. Would appreciate help of the Committee in funding the project.

James Murphy, Environmental Biologist, Tacoma City Light, Tacoma - Alder Lake Development Project: Very satisfied with funding of the Tacoma PUD project at Alder Lake. Noted for the Committee that this is the first time a PUD project has been funded by the IAC. (Mr. Tveten asked if this was only one of recreational parks being operated by the PUD and was informed by Mr. Murphy that the PUD had others with sufficient staff to operate them. This project is a part of an overall regional recreation area at Alder Lake.)

Harry Laban, Sr. Grants/Contracts Specialist, Seattle Parks and Recreation Dept. - Seattle New Neighborhood Park Phase 2 and Seattle Mt. Baker Rowing and Sailing Facility projects: Noted that City of Seattle had voted 56% in favor of a bond issue but this was not enough to meet the 2/3rds affirmative vote necessary. Noted that 24 projects were funded, and that Seattle's New Neighborhood Park, Phase 2 was number 25, thus would not receive funding if the Committee approved staff's recommendations. Asked reconsideration of this project. Expressed appreciation for the funding of the Seattle Mt. Baker Rowing and Sailing Facility, a separate and distinct project from the New Neighborhood Park project. The phase 2 project for the New Neighborhood Park is essential at this point in time. Felt the project, based on its merits, should be funded. Final point concerned the use of the project for the handicapped - a unique opportunity. The City is prepared to share its plans and specifications with other project sponsors who may wish to provide facilities for the handicapped.

Bill Evans, Parks and Recreation Director, City of Lynnwood - Wilcox Park Renovation Project: Wanted the Committee to know that over the years the IAC Evaluation System has been refined where it now is an excellent system. Appreciated the time and effort put forth by the staff of the IAC in putting together this Evaluation System. Thanked the Committee for its efforts also.

-----Local Projects Sponsors' Comments were completed at 11:50 a.m.-----

The chairman recognized Mr. Russ Cahill, Deputy Director, Department of Fisheries. Mr. Cahill asked if the two projects sponsors who had been "skipped over" had been contacted by the IAC and asked if they could have reduced their projects in scope and thus received some IAC funding. Mr. Webster reiterated the process used in funding projects #28 and 29 (Kitsap County and Winslow) stressing the fact that it was necessary to make use of the remaining Initiative 215 monies in the one project and purchase of land would be lost in the second project. Mr. Wilder stated there were few acquisition projects in the slate of projects. Further,

it was recognized that all of the projects not recommended for funding could come back at a later time for this consideration, whereas a lost acquisition project sponsor could not.

The chairman recognized Byron Haley, Executive Director, Washington Recreation and Parks Association. Mr. Haley commented on the evaluation process used by the IAC to arrive at recommendations of projects to the Committee. He said it was a model for other states to follow. Complimented staff on their efforts.

(2) Noted that the problem actually is money -- funds with which to assist all of these projects. The WRPA has designated a \$198 Bond Issue proposal to come before the State Legislature in 1984. Encouraged all of the local sponsors to support this proposal. Noted that the IAC would probably support a \$10 million bond issue, but felt this was not sufficient, and that the real need is for the larger bond issue.

Mr. Mackey suggested that the local sponsors, both funded and unfunded projects, should write to Senator Dan Evans and other legislators in Congress supporting the Land and Water Conservation funding. It is necessary to work with these public officials in order to receive the next apportionment from LWCF. Mr. Wilder agreed with this tactic, and encouraged local government support letters.

The chairman called for a motion regarding staff project funding recommendations.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKAY, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION APPROVES AND AFFIRMS THAT THE PROJECTS AS LISTED ON PAGE 19 OF THESE MINUTES ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE WASHINGTON STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN AS ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON NOVEMBER 15, 1979, AND

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE IN ITS APPROVAL OF THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AUTHORIZES THE DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT CONTRACT INSTRUMENTS WITH THE LISTED PROJECTS' SPONSORS AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT CONTRACTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN,

NOW, THEREFORE, THE LOCAL AGENCIES' PROJECTS AS LISTED ON PAGE 19 OF THESE MINUTES ARE HEREBY APPROVED FOR FUNDING FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT AS INDICATED IN THE FUNDING SCHEDULES.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

-----  
The Committee recessed at twelve noon.  
-----

At 1:05 p.m. the Committee reconvened.

Introductions included:

Ron Morgenthauer, Chairman, Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee,  
Member of the Northwest Motorcycle Association.

Carol Jensen, Member of the Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee, and  
the Four-Wheel Drive Association

Terry Graham, Department of Natural Resources

Joe Higgins, U. S. Forest Service, and member of the Off-Road Vehicle  
Advisory Committee.

*Funding changed by Admin. proposal 12-6-83*

PROJECTS APPROVED - LOCAL - NOVEMBER 10, 1983

SPONSOR	PROJECT	TOTAL	INIT. 215	HJR 52	LWCF	LOCAL SHARE
Clallam County	Freshwater Bay A	\$ 158,120	\$ 78,060	\$ 20,725	\$ 20,000	\$ 79,060
Harrish	Harrish Pk. Spts. Ct	15,000	38,325	3,750	3,750	7,500
Pt. Friday Harbor	Transient Moorage	270,000	135,000	-	-	135,000
Port Poulsbo	Transient Moorage	181,500	90,750	-	-	90,750
Port Anacortes	Cap Sante Marina	357,300	150,000	-	-	207,300
Albion	Albion Town Park	47,200	-	11,800	11,800	23,600
Coupeville	Boat Launch	69,850	34,925	-	-	34,925
Vancouver	Waterworks Park, Ph. 1	399,500	-	75,000	75,000	249,500
Klickitat Co.	Trout Lake Tennis Courts	36,000	-	9,000	9,000	18,000
Seattle	Mt. Baker Row/Sail Facil.	600,000	34,365	20,925	95,000	450,000
Duwall School Dist.	Cherry Valley Tennis Cts.	37,563	-	9,391	9,390	18,782
Yakima County	Yakima River Greenway	271,000	-	67,750	67,750	135,500
Cheney	Northeast Park	137,887	-	34,472	34,471	68,944
Kennewick	Vista Comm. Pk. Ph. 2	329,906	-	75,000	75,000	179,906
Lynnwood	Wilcox Pk. Renovation	165,300	-	41,325	41,325	82,650
Olympia	Percival Landing North	500,000	-	75,000	75,000	350,000
Renton	Cedar River Trail Exp.	365,000	-	62,158	62,157	240,685
Tacoma P.U.D.	Alder Lake Development	540,000	-	75,000	75,000	390,000
Tukwila	Christensen Greenbelt Ph. 2	164,000	-	-	82,000	82,000
Kent	Mill Creek Canyon Pk.	600,900	-	75,000	75,000	450,900
Spokane	So. East Youth Sports Comp.	349,264	-	73,708	76,292	199,264
Ellensburg	Yakima Riverfront Park	28,500	-	7,125	7,125	14,250
Port/Port Angeles	Sequim Bay Marina Moorage	518,350	150,000	-	-	368,350
Aberdeen	Morrison Riverfront Park	299,110	-	-	149,555	149,555
Kitsap County	Island Lake County Pk.	198,000	-	32,500	17,000	148,500
Winslow	Eagle Harbor Park.	251,631	62,000	-	38,000	151,631
		\$ 6,890,881	\$ 736,000	\$ 748,714	\$ 1,079,615	\$ 4,326,552

OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT:  
 INITIATIVE 215 \$ 736,000  
 HJR 52 748,714  
 LWCF 1,079,615  
 TOTAL ORA \$ 2,564,329



Jim Webster

To

INCLUDE MAIL STOPS

Subject

FILE #

From

Bob Wilder

Clallam County - Freshwater Bay Acquisition Project

PLEASE REPLY BY:

NO REPLY REQUIRED

Message

Both Larry and I have reviewed the subject project and have concurred that the project does qualify for full Int. 215 funding and there fore recommend the following funding:

*Freshwater Bay*  
Clallam Co. project - Int 215 - \$79,060, Bonds - 0, LWCF - 0  
Seattle - Mt. Baker Rowing and Sailing Project - Int. 215 - \$34,265  
Bonds - \$20,735 LWCF - \$95,000

If you concur with this recommendation, we will proceed with notifying the two sponsors and prepare the contracts.

Reply

*[Signature]*  
SIGNATURE  
PHONE NO.  
DATE  
*12/6/83*

*OK Bw 12/6/83*

SIGNATURE PHONE NO. DATE

The Chairman asked that the Committee return to Agenda Item 11. D, Planning Services, 3. Off-Road Vehicle Report.

Mr. Greg Lovelady, ORV Coordinator, referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, "Off-Road Vehicle Report", commenting as follows:

(1) Currently there are 42 active ORV projects with 26 scheduled for completion by the end of the year. In all, 54 projects have been completed since inception of the program in 1978.

(2) Publications: Several publications have recently been made available through ORV project grants. Cited were:

- a. Wenatchee National Forest's Divide Ridge Trail Plan;
- b. "ORV Monitor", Thurston County;
- c. "Chelan County Trail Rides", Wenatchee Natl. Forest;
- d. "Off-Road Vehicles Around Town and Country in Yakima County"]
- e. "Off-Road Vehicles on Lands Administered By: DNR, Game, ] All  
and Yakima and Yakima Indian Nation in Yakima County" ] Yakima
- f. "Off-Road Vehicles in Our National Forests" ] County
- g. "Yakima County Off-Road Vehicle Recreation Guide"
- h. "Kittitas County Off-Road Vehicle Recreation Guide", Kittitas County

(3) ORVAC (Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee) has met twice in 1983. Has reviewed all ORV projects coming before the Committee and evaluated them in a special evaluation session.

(4) Eight project changes:

- a. Time extensions granted for:
  - Wenatchee National Forest, Beehive Reservoir Plan, 82-8P
  - Richland, City of, Horn Rapids Development, 81-12D
  - Kitsap County ORV Study, 80-41P
- b. Scope changes granted for:
  - Colville Natl. Forest, 81-2P, - expanded to include a trail map.
  - Wenatchee Natl. Forest, 81-4P, expanded to include planning for additional trail miles.
  - Richland, City of, Horn Rapids Development, 81-12D, Scope expanded by adding full camping and parking area clearing, erosion control, chlorinator, irrigation control, water bibs, view area grading; reduced by deleting one parking area and access road, short-motocross-team relay-obstacle tracks.
  - Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie Natl. Forest, Evans Creek, 80-36D, expanded and time extended to allow preparation of impact report.
- c. Cost increase granted: Thurston County Sport Park Development, 80-50D, 6 percent added to cover canopy and meeting hall additions. (\$17,380)

Mr. Pelton expressed his thanks to Mr. Lovelady for his efforts in the Off-Road Vehicle Program, and mentioned that it is used as an example for other states to follow nationwide.

11. STATUS REPORTS - D. Planning Services 4. State Recreation Guide: Mr. Pelton

referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, 'Recreation Guide, Status Report'. He noted that \$86,000 had been appropriated by the Legislature to the IAC to contract with DNR on others for the preparation and sale of a Recreation Guide. Gloria Tarver, Recreation Resource Planner, IAC, acts as coordinator for this project, and a Recreation Guide Task Force has been appointed to assist in the coordination of the guide. Members are:

Diane Robertson, Dept. of Transportation	Sue Peterson, Dept. of Game
Richard Costello, Dept. of Fisheries	Bobbi Olson, State Parks
Frank Kosin, DNR	Bobbi Bennett, CED
Gale Brammer, NPS	Joe Higgins, USFS
Gloria Tarver, IAC	

Several proposals were discussed and evaluated within funds available and methods of cost reimbursement. The guide must be sold for an amount sufficient to cover its costs and to reimburse the Outdoor Recreation Account. Currently the Task Force is in the process of obtaining a "Proposal Request" to prepare & print the Guide.

Mr. Pelton further noted that Barbara Phillips, Assistant Attorney General to the IAC, has reviewed the draft Request for Proposal. Ms. Tarver will be meeting with some of the potential publishers in regard to the printing of the guide.

Questions were asked as to the layout of the guide. Mr. Pelton explained that it would consist of a folder-type publication, using the eight Tourism Regions of the state, indicating recreation areas. Ms. Tarver mentioned there would be nine maps since one area of the state required more space to indicate recreational sites. Clarification was made concerning the number of copies (100,000) for the first printing and the estimated publication date (late next Spring). There was also discussion on the use of advertising in the Guide to help cover costs. Mr. Pelton mentioned there would be a listing of other sources for recreationists to contact should they desire more specific information on other areas. Mr. Wilder complimented Ms. Tarver on her work as coordinator of the project which has been over and above her regular responsibilities.

### III. OLD BUSINESS. A. PROJECT CHANGES:

1. City of Richland, Horn Rapids Development, Phase II, 82-13D, Change in Scope Request: Mr. Lovelady referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, on this project, and gave explanation of the change in scope request. The original project had been completed at fifteen percent less than the original estimates. The City of Richland has asked that these funds be used for enhancement of the project. Further, Mr. Lovelady pointed out that the Director had already approved the use of 2% of the funds (\$5,000) for dust control in the motocross track area. Other funds are needed for (1) adding a bituminous surface treatment to the gravel entrance road and (2) to extend an electrical line to the sanddrag competition area. Total cost is \$37,500.

Following questions and answers to clarify the project, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MRS. WARDEN, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVED THE CITY OF RICHLAND'S HORN RAPIDS PHASE II DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, ORV 82-13D, ON NOVEMBER 18, 1982, AT A TOTAL COST OF \$269,580, AND

WHEREAS, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THIS AMOUNT IS APPROXIMATELY FIFTEEN PERCENT IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE PHASE II PROPOSAL AS

CONTRACTED, AND

WHEREAS, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THESE EXCESS MONIES COULD FINANCE THE APPLICATION OF A HARD SURFACE TO THE MAIN ACCESS ROAD AND AN ELECTRICAL LINE TO THE DRAG STRIP AREA, WHICH WOULD CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO THE OVERALL SAFETY AND UTILITY OF THE PARK FACILITY,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THAT AN INCREASE IN THE SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT IS APPROVED IN ORDER TO APPLY A BITUMINOUS SURFACE COAT TO THE HORN RAPIDS ORV PARK'S SOUTHERN ACCESS ROAD AND TO EXTEND AN ELECTRICAL LINE TO THE AREA OF THE PARK'S SAND DRAG COURSE.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

2. King County - Sammamish River Trail, IAC #70-008A - Extension of Time Period: Mr. Webster referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, concerning this project, requesting an extension of the time period for the project in order that King County would be able to complete the necessary land exchange. The proposed exchange involves .37 acres of land for the purpose of a bridge right-of-way for N.E. 85th Street in Redmond. Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. BOLDS, SECONDED BY MR. TVETEN THAT

WHEREAS, KING COUNTY PARKS ACQUIRED PROPERTY ALONG THE SAMMAMISH RIVER FOR TRAIL PURPOSES, (IAC #70-008A), AND

WHEREAS, KING COUNTY WISHES TO ACCOMMODATE A REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF REDMOND FOR BRIDGE CROSSING OF THE TRAIL RIGHT-OF-WAY,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE HEREBY EMPOWERS THE DIRECTOR TO APPROVE A CONVERSION OF USE UPON SATISFACTORY COMPLETION OF ALL REQUIREMENTS IN IAC PARTICIPATION MANUAL #7, SECTION 07.19A, ACQUISITION PROJECTS CONVERTED, UNTIL JANUARY 15, 1984.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV. NEW BUSINESS. A. LEGISLATION - 1984 Proposals: Mr. Wilder referred to the three memoranda of staff concerning legislation. He stressed that the legislation dealing with Aquatic Lands and the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax were informational only and for discussion purposes by the Interagency Committee. Similar recommendations for use of monies derived from these two sources have been discussed by the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee and others interested in providing facilities for recreational use.

1. Recreation Bond Issue - \$10 Million: Referred to Byron Haley's remarks as to the critical need for a bond issue. The \$10 million bond issue proposal is a stop-gap measure; has been submitted to the Office of the Governor spelling out the needs of the IAC for these funds.

Mr. Wilder called upon Stan Scott, Chief, Administrative Services.

Mr. Scott outlined the draft legislation for the bond issue commenting on the historical aspects through the years. The Legislature had authorized a \$10 million bond issue in 1979 and another for \$13.4 million in 1981 recognizing the need to match federal monies and to continue to aid local governments and state agencies in their park and recreation proposals. Mr. Scott noted that there would

be limited state resources (Init. 215, Off-Road Vehicle) to match Federal LWCF or other matching funds which may become available (such as local matching, private contributions, etc.) for the 1985-87 biennium. Major provisions of the bill were explained; various sections of the proposal were noted by Mr. Scott.

Following explanations, Mr. Mackey asked for clarification on the two bond measures - \$198 Million WRPA and \$10 Million proposed by IAC. Mr. Scott explained both measures were in the proposal stage. There is no difference in the philosophy and perhaps during the legislative session something could be worked out. Mainly, he said, it was necessary to have the \$10 million as a stop-gap proposal -- it is needed to match LWCF and to continue the grant-in-aid program of the IAC, as in 1979 and 1980. Mr. Wilder pointed out that the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee might come up with other recommendations on a bond issue. Meanwhile, the Interagency Committee should take some action about a bond issue to ensure that there will be a source of funds to match LWCF and other grants -- a minimum figure would be \$10 million, he said. Mr. Mackey felt the Committee should not adopt this prior to the Governor's Advisory Committee's recommendations. Mr. Wilder suggested the Committee support the concept of a bond issue -- that it go on record now in its support.

Discussion followed. Mr. Tveten stated there was a definite need for the Committee to go on record for a bond issue because of the immediate problem of continuing this source of funds for the IAC. Mr. Scott felt there would be strength in the support by the Committee; it would emphasize the critical need for these funds. Mr. Webster pointed out that bonds from the proposal would be adopted by the legislature and be quickly available; whereas, the WRPA proposal would need to go to the citizens of the state for a vote at the election of November 1984, and thus funds could not be distributed to state and local agencies until the following year. Mr. Mackey acknowledged his questions had been adequately answered.

Mr. Cahill then addressed the problem of sufficient monies in the bond issue being proposed. He suggested the Committee support the measure as a minimum at \$10 million, leaving the dollar figure open. As the state's economy improves the legislature might be able to fund a larger bond measure. Mr. Wilder and Mrs. Barbara Phillips agreed that the dollar amount could be left open, but the measure should be at \$10 million or more to cover the IAC program.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. WARDEN, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ENDORSE THE CONCEPT OF AN INTERIM BOND ISSUE PROGRAM AT \$10 MILLION OR MORE FOR THE 1985-87 BIENNIUM PROGRAM IN ORDER TO MEET CRITICAL NEEDS OF STATE AGENCIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL BODIES IN THEIR ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS FOR RECREATIONAL AREAS AND FACILITIES.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

2. Aquatic Lands: Mr. Wilder referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, and commented on Aquatic Lands proposed legislation. He noted there was some concern expressed by the Office of Financial Management and the Office of the Governor on this proposal, and suggested that the Committee be aware of the legislation and be able to address their individual comments. Mr. Mackey stated he was in favor of the proposal and would not hesitate in his support, that it should be an Interagency Committee matter -- a more or less departmental philosophy. Mr. Tveten brought out the fact that the problem with the Aquatic Lands legislation as well as the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax proposal was that the IAC had not

identified how to fill the funding "holes" that were being created for those present recipients of the funds. Mr. Mackey agreed there should be in the case of the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax some agreement with Education. Mr. Wilder said he would proceed to work out the details on these two pieces of proposed legislation. He also defined "aquatic lands" for Mr. Jessup as being oyster beds, leasing for fishing piers, leasing for commercial development, etc. Russ Cahill stated the main thrust of the aquatic lands proposal was to ensure the monies go back into public use for acquisition, development, and renovation of projects along the shorelines for recreational use. The Aquatic Lands legislative proposal would include phasing out both the Capitol Purchase and Development Account and harbor improvements, and create an Aquatic Lands Enhancement Fund. This fund would be allocated 50% to DNR for grants benefiting aquatic lands programs generally, and 50% to the IAC for grants to state and local government agencies for outdoor recreation programs associated with aquatic lands.

3. Camper-Trailer Excise Tax: Mr. Webster referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, concerning the potential amendment to the Camper-Trailer Excise Tax. He mentioned the testimony of these recreationists heard by the Committee earlier in the day who were in support of having their monies revert back to use for the betterment of the park and recreation areas in the state. Explanation of the tax was given: 1% excise tax of the fair market value is imposed on the owner of any travel trailer or camper. These funds by statute are distributed 15% Cities, 15% Counties, and 70% Schools.

The Legislature recognized in a 1982 report by the Senate Parks and Ecology Committee ("Outdoor Recreation Funding"), that "There is a rational connection between this source of funds [excise taxes on campers and trailers] and the Outdoor Recreation Account, in that camper and travel trailer owners make significant use of public recreation facilities."

The proposed legislation would amend RCW 82.50.510 to redirect funds to the ORA ...specific language being, "Said funds shall be credited to the Outdoor Recreation Account of the General Fund and shall be distributed to State Agencies and Local Governments by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation."

Mr. Webster stated larger cities have expressed their support of the proposal; a number of counties and staff of the Association of Washington Cities have likewise expressed their support. The proposal has been discussed with Public Instruction. They had asked if the funds were ear-marked for any special education purpose and were informed they were not. It was determined that through the IAC program the school districts would be able to benefit from the monies anyway. The concept was understood and accepted by the State Office of Public Instruction.

Mr. Tveten suggested the Committee not vote on the last two legislative proposals at this time but lend its support in concept only. Also, it was pointed out that the Governor's Recreation Resource Advisory Committee would need to make its recommendations on these two issues.

NO VOTE WAS TAKEN CONCERNING THE AQUATIC LANDS OR CAMPER-TRAILER EXCISE TAX LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS IT BEING UNDERSTOOD THAT THESE HAD BEEN APPROVED IN CONCEPT ONLY BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS.

1983 OFF-ROAD VEHICLE PROJECTS PRESENTATIONS

IV. NEW BUSINESS - D. Off-Road Vehicles' Projects Presentations: At 2:05 p.m., Mr. Lovelady referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, concerning the off-road vehicles' projects being proposed for funding. He called to the Committee's attention letters on various ORV projects which had been received for or against specific projects. (APPENDIX "B" TO THESE MINUTES.) Mr. Lovelady noted the following:

- (1) A total of 29 Off-Road Vehicle project applications had been received for consideration at the November 10th meeting. One had been voluntarily withdrawn by the sponsor. The 28 projects before the Committee were in five categories: development, management, education, plans and coordinator.
- (2) All projects were reviewed and evaluated by the IAC's ORVAC Committee.
- (3) Tables attached to the memorandum included: I - 1983 Agency Requests, by category; ORVAC recommendations, by category; IIA - 1983 Project Evaluation Score, by category; IIB - ORV Project Evaluation Sheet; and III - ORV Projects Summary - 1983.
- (4) Approximately \$1.3 million of ORV deposits are available for allocation.
- (5) The 28 projects have been submitted by seven separate agencies. U. S. Forest Service, Yakima County, Thurston County, City of Richmond, Grant County, and Chelan-Douglas and Kittitas counties.

Slides of each ORV project were then shown. During the presentation Mr. Mackey asked for information on compliance of non-capital projects. Mr. Lovelady advised that the procedure was different in that the coordinator, planning and safety education programs are monitored through a billing process. A progress report is a part of that. Other projects, depending upon their nature, submit certain reports as to handling of noise complaints, or illegal off-road vehicles' driving, or handling of spark arrestor complaints. Other sponsors advise the IAC of trail areas which might be needing attention (repairs, etc.). Mr. Lovelady said the IAC continually evaluates its off-road vehicles projects. Also, EIS's are not specifically required by IAC, but environmental assessments are made on the projects.

Following the slide presentation, Mr. Lovelady distributed the ORV Funding Recommendations memorandum dated November 10, 1983, with a listing of the projects as recommended. This schedule indicated the total amount requested giving both ORVAC and Staff recommendations. (SEE PAGE 26 OF THESE MINUTES.) Mr. Lovelady stated each Development project had undergone environmental analysis as well as public hearings; most Planning projects had undergone environmental analysis; one had this assessment included as a part of the project. Of the 28 projects, all were been recommended for funding with some differences between staff and ORVAC recommendations. He pointed out that the second page of the listing contained a key to the funding provisos listed on the recommendation page.

Development Projects: Staff recommended \$335,690 funding.

(DEVELOPMENT)

PROJ. NO.	SPONSOR	TITLE	AMOUNT REQUESTED	ORVAC (See pg. 26-A)	RECOMMENDATIONS	STAFF (See pg. 26-A)
83-6D	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Four Mile Ridge	\$ 34,300	\$ 34,300		34,300
83-9D	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Pyramid Peak	10,950	10,950		10,950
83-12D	Thurston County	ORV Sports Park	12,500	12,500		12,500
83-24D	Colville Natl. Forest	Batey/Bould Trail	119,803	119,803		119,800
83-30D	Richland, City of	Horn Rapids ORV Park	158,140	158,140		158,140
		<b>SUB-TOTALS</b>	<b>\$ 335,693</b>	<b>\$ 335,693</b>		<b>\$ 335,690</b>

(PLANS AND STUDIES)

83-2P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Goose Creek Plan	\$ 16,520	\$ 16,520	(1)	16,520
83-3P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Divide Ridge	6,665	31,665	(2)	6,660
83-4P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Rocky Saddle	3,371	28,371	(2)	3,370
83-5P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Mad River	6,000	6,000	(1)	6,000
83-7P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Bear Creek	3,848	3,848		3,850
83-8P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Pyramid Creek	3,710	3,710		3,710
83-13P	Yakima County	Ahtanum East Comp. Plan	50,810	50,810		50,800
83-14P	Yakima County	USFS Acquisition Feasibility	4,549	4,549		4,550
83-15P	Yakima County	BLM	6,312	6,312		6,310
83-23P	Colville Natl. Forest	Batey/Bould Trail Survey	7,260	7,260		7,260
83-25P	Colville Natl. Forest	Little Pend Oreille Trail Survey				
83-27P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Tri-Moto Study	11,301	11,301		11,300
		<b>SUB-TOTALS</b>	<b>\$ 127,871</b>	<b>\$ 177,871</b>		<b>\$ 127,850</b>

(EDUCATION & ENFORCEMENT)

83-11E	Thurston County	Safety-Education Program	\$ 38,500	\$ 35,000	(6)	35,000
83-17E	Yakima County	Educ-Enforce. (4WD Emphasis)	38,415	32,500		33,600
83-18E	Yakima County	Educ-Enforce. (M/C Emphasis)	58,018	49,500	(4, 6)	56,000
83-20E/C	Grant County	Educ-Enforce. Coordinator	87,150	40,000	(8)	40,000
83-22E	Chelan/Douglas	Educ-Enforcement	61,748	40,000		58,600
83-28E	Richland, City of	Educ-Enforcement	41,966	20,000	(7)	20,000
83-31E	Kittitas County	Educ-Enforcement	109,586	68,500	(5, 6)	70,500
		<b>SUB-TOTALS</b>	<b>\$ 435,383</b>	<b>\$ 285,500</b>		<b>\$ 313,700</b>

(ORV PARK MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONS)

83-10M	Thurston County	ORV Sports Park	\$ 179,000	\$ 134,000	(3, 9, 11)	134,000
83-16M	Yakima County	Sun Targets ORV Park	105,750	65,000	(9, 11)	65,000
83-29M	Richland, City of	Horn Rapids ORV Park	150,599	108,550	(9, 10, 11)	150,500
		<b>SUB-TOTALS</b>	<b>\$ 435,349</b>	<b>\$ 307,550</b>		<b>\$ 349,500</b>

(COORDINATOR)

83-19C	Yakima County	60% Full-Time Equivalent	\$ 28,281	\$ 28,281		28,280
		<b>SUB-TOTALS</b>	<b>\$ 28,281</b>	<b>\$ 28,281</b>		<b>\$ 28,280</b>
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,362,577</b>	<b>\$ 1,134,895</b>		<b>\$ 1,155,020</b>

ORV PROJECTS RECOMMENDATIONS - 1983

KEY TO STAFF AND ORVAC RECOMMENDATIONS AS NUMBERED:

- (1) Contingent on future Wilderness status of Mad River, Entiat River, etc.
- (2) Includes \$25,000 for construction activities.
- (3) Includes \$4,000 for purchase of tri-wheeled motorcycle and reroofing caretaker residence.
- (4) Includes additional funds for placing this project back on calendar year cycle.
- (5) Includes funds for implementing necessary informational signs in cooperation with the City of Roslyn (Approx. \$3,500).
- (6) Includes funding for regional enhancement program.
- (7) Contingent on coverage of adjacent cities and counties.
- (8) Remaining ATV monies to be subtracted from this amount.
- (9) Park revenues are in addition to this amount.
- (10) Includes \$33,550 for capital equipment outlay.
- (11) No funds for park sponsorship or promotion of events included.

Plans and Studies Projects: Mr. Lovelady noted a slight difference in staff-ORVAC recommended amounts. Projects 83-3P and 83-4P; ORVAC had recommended there be an additional \$25,000 each for construction activities. Staff felt that each project should be funded at the sponsor's requested amount with no increase.

Mr. Mackey asked about Projects 83-2P and 83-5P which were recommended for funding contingent on future Wilderness status of Mad River, Entiat River, etc. Why fund the projects if they could possibly be in a Wilderness Area at some future time? Mr. Lovelady stated these areas are not in a Wilderness Area now, and it is extremely doubtful that they ever will be due to logging activities, logging roads, etc. Some people feel these areas should be Wilderness, and it will be at least a year or so before a final Wilderness Area is designated. Mr. Lovelady felt these projects would continue to serve off-road vehicle recreationists for some time, and the possibility is remote that they will come under a Wilderness designation.

Education & Enforcement Projects: ORVAC recommended \$285,500; staff recommended \$313,700. Sponsors had requested \$435,383.

Mr. Lovelady then gave a presentation using graphs to indicate the increased costs in Education and Enforcement Program for ORV. ORV monies have been financing deputy sheriffs (half-time, full-time) since inception of the program. It was noted, for example, that sponsors are now asking for 150% more per project than they were just six years ago. Since 1979 other sponsors have come into the program and the total costs for the Education and Enforcement projects have grown 400%.

In response to Mr. Tveten, Mr. Lovelady stated it would be difficult to relate the increased costs to the numbers of areas that are now available to the public for ORV recreation. However, he said the actual acreage in the areas patrolled by the deputies has not increased but remained relatively the same.

Amounts requested to support the FTE's vary with individual sponsors -- Grant County requests \$44,000; Douglas County requires only \$28,000.

Another graph used by Mr. Lovelady indicated purchase of vehicles, cost-of-living, in the programs.

Questions were asked concerning activities of the deputies and percentage of time given to ORV using the vehicles purchased through ORV funds. Mr. Lovelady indicated that ORV program participant agencies certify time worked and general activities in reports required four times each year. Deputies not paid from ORV may also assist in ORV matters, and do so in many cases of emergency, thus the program is assisted through cooperation.

Maintenance and Operations Projects:

Mr. Lovelady cited the three projects involved in maintenance and operations:

- 83-10M Thurston County ORV Sports Park
- 83-16M Yakima County Sun Targets ORV Park
- 83-29M Richland, City of, Horn Rapids ORV Park

Amounts requested increased since inception of the ORV program.

COMMENTS/QUESTIONS FROM IAC MEMBERS - ORV PROJECTS: Mr. Mackey asked if someone in the audience could answer his question concerning the Wilderness areas in the Goose Creek and Mad River areas. Mr. Joel Higgins - United States Forest Service, Portland, Oregon - stated the areas being considered by the Committee for ORV projects were not included in the Federal Government's Wilderness proposal. (Projects 83-2P & 83-5P)

83-3P, Divide Ridge - 83-4P Rocky Saddle, Wenatchee Natl. Forest Projects: Mr. Tveten asked if the additional \$25,000 items for construction activities were included on the Divide Ridge and Rocky Saddle projects, would that be sufficient to meet those costs. Mike Dolfay, Wenatchee National Forest, stated at this point it would be difficult to agree that this would be enough; it would get the project started, however, and save a year's time in going through the application process again. Further, the Rocky Saddle project will require an Environmental Assessment and this will have a bearing on the construction activities; Divide Ridge project, the Environmental Assessment has been completed and that project could progress. Mr. Ron Morgenthaler, ORVAC Chairman, explained the reasons for requesting these monies now. Much of it has to do with weather conditions and the season for commencing work.

Education and Enforcement: Mr. Lockard questioned the difference in salary levels of deputy sheriffs and asked what is being received from these programs in relation to the dollars. He asked if Grant County's program was more effective than Douglas County. Mr. Lovelady replied it was difficult to reply for Grant County since it had not had ORV funds up to this time, but experience with other counties indicated to staff that a \$40,000 level for enforcement should be sufficient. Mr. Lockard referred to a letter dated November 1, 1983, he had written to the Director of the IAC, in support of the Grant County project. This activity at the local level had been instrumental in reducing the demands upon the Game Department of patrol responsibilities on several hundred acres in Eastern Washington. He had urged funding of the project to the original request of \$87,150. He asked that when local sponsors address the Committee, he would like to hear their comments on the Grant County program and its impact should less money be given to it.

Yakima County Coordinator, 60% Full-Time Equivalent, 83-19C: Mrs. Bolds asked about the Yakima County Coordinator and the remaining 40% of his time. Mr. Lovelady responded that the individual was the park and recreation director of Yakima County and manages that program; the remainder of his time he works on the Off-Road Vehicle program.

Mrs. Bolds then noted that many of the ORV programs funded did not seem to be accomplished or completed. She asked for an explanation. Both Mr. Lovelady and Mr. Wilder pointed out that the ORV projects differed from the other grant-in-aid program and were ongoing in many cases, i.e., maintenance and operation, enforcement and education, etc. Some of the ORV programs in education run through calendar years; other phase 2 and 3 projects emanate from the original project, which has been completed; it is a unique funding program. All projects do have a time frame which may be extended depending upon the circumstances.

COMMENTS FROM PROJECT SPONSORS AND THE AUDIENCE: The Chairman called for comments from the audience.

Jim Weitzel, Grant County Commissioner, Ephrata:

Responding to questions of the Committee, Mr. Weitzel noted that in the sand

dune area in Moses Lake, there are 3,000 acres available for ORV use at no cost to people interested in ORV activities, full time, year around.

2. Close to 5 or 6 thousand acres are used since the County is dealing with BLM on certain lands.

3. Tremendous increase in use for ORVs - 4-, 3- and 2-Wheel Drive vehicles. County is unable to fund the program, and if funds are not allocated, Grant County will be forced to close its ORV program.

4. County not only has responsibility for a patrol program, but it must also have an educational program concerning ORVs.

5. Did not consider Grant County's program was only education and enforcement; felt the County was actually operating and maintaining ORV facilities also for the people coming in to use the area.

Mr. Tveten asked how much money the County had invested in the project at the present time, i.e., land acquisition, land lease, facilities, etc. Mr. Weitzel replied about \$30,000 in the land, the majority of the land being under cooperative leasing. Also involved is the Department of Fish and Wildlife and maintaining of BLM lands. There are agreements with the County for controlling the area. Two full-time deputies are needed by Grant County.

Larry Yount, ORV Deputy, Grant County Sheriffs Department: Pointed out there was not a park and recreation department in Grant County;

2. Reiterated the need for controlling the area due to increased use;

3. Explained the difficulty in patrolling in unsafe areas alone; but with two deputies communicating it is possible to have a good program.

Mr. Tveten questioned Mr. Yount about the duties of the deputies and he asked if it would be possible for the County to have only one deputy, or was it necessary to have two at the \$87,000 figure? Mr. Yount replied to maintain the program in a safe and efficient manner, the two deputies are required.

There followed considerable discussion re the Grant County project. Mr. Lovelady pointed out that in staff's evaluation, the cost per man issue had been reviewed carefully. Staff could not understand why the cost per man was so much higher in Grant County than other counties who were getting along with less. Staff's decision for 1 full-time equivalent was based on the amount of ORV use going on in Grant County. Inventory-wise there is a great deal of land, but staff did not feel that that use over time justified the services of another deputy.

Mr. Wilder called the Committee's attention to the letter from Ron Morgenthaler dated October 25, 1983, to Ronald R. Pretti, member of the IAC, dealing with the ORVAC concern over the amount of ORV funds being granted local agencies for ORV education and law enforcement programs. The Committee will need to be responsive to other ORV programs and projects throughout the state. Increased funding in the education and law enforcement programs will require some reflection.

Larry Hively, Grant County Undersheriff, Ephrata: Mentioned Grant County had been in the ATV program up to this year and was able to maintain its program. This is the first year that the County has asked for ORV funding.

2. There are approximately 25,000 users of the area annually. These people come in from all parts of the state, and the Sheriff's Office must assume responsibility for their safety. Sheriff's Department feels that with only \$40,000 for one deputy, it would be necessary to terminate the entire program.

3. Private owners need service of the department. Many complaints come in and must be dealt with.

4. The \$87,000 would provide two deputies. Mentioned this is not the total cost of benefits for the officers but includes financing for some areas ...signing, etc.

Bill Henager, Planning Director, Grant County, Ephrata: Clarified that Grant County has received ATV funds since 1976 -- thus ATV funding has provided assistance the past seven years. Those funds are now depleted - remaining balance is small.

2. Recognized the program was an expensive one; but is essential to service those who are involved in this type of recreation. The demands are increasing.

At this point Mr. Lovelady, in response to Mrs. Warden's questions, explained the Grant County project in relation to other ORV areas. Grant County is the only county that offers opportunity to use sand dunes for ORVs. Other counties have trails; with Thurston, Richland, and Yakima counties supplying ORV sports parks.

Charles Butler, County Parks Director, Yakima County; Yakima: Pointed out to the Committee that each of the changes in cost for the ORV funding recommendations had been discussed at length with the sponsor by ORVAC and IAC staff. Each sponsor had indicated willingness to accept those costs as recommended by staff.

2. Noted the differences in requests for funding between counties with ORV deputies.

3. Felt IAC had done an outstanding job in the ORV program, especially in setting up its policies and procedures to manage the grants.

4. ORVAC is now considering some changes in the program to fund education and enforcement.

5. Noted that the Game Department has opportunity for input on all ORV projects through its representation on ORVAC.

6. Felt the overall program was a good one and there is a lot of opportunity for people to have input into projects before they are brought before the Inter-agency Committee.

7. Felt there was an existing and well-designed process within the law for Off-Road Vehicle applications, and that issues and concerns can be resolved through ORVAC and IAC staff.

8. In respect to Projects 13P, 14P, and 15P (Yakima County's plans and studies), he mentioned there had been opportunity for comment and input through the usual procedures with ORVAC, and that the Department of Game does have a member of its staff on that committee.

In reference to letter of Mr. Frank Lockard, Director, Department of Game, dated November 8, 1983, Mr. Wilder stated he had met with the Department of Game and other sponsors to resolve the issue of addressing fish, wildlife and habitat concerns within ORV projects. An amenable solution has been arrived at, and will be proposed later in the motion of the Committee.

Tom Young, Sheriff, Kittitas County, Ellensburg: Kittitas County is a full ORV County; all of its lands are open for ORV use (open-space lands).

2. Because of effective education and enforcement program it is possible to provide areas for use. (Exhibited map, indicating use areas.)
3. Mentioned his office is taking negative approach unless there is sufficient funding being provided for additional ORV deputies.
4. Can no longer give assurance to the user unless there is this additional support. Lands will be closed without sufficient help.
5. Noted Governor's Executive Order which had stressed parks and recreation areas as assisting in addressing social problems of the state, as well as its economy, productivity, etc.

Jerry Shuart, Off-Road Deputy, Kittitas County, Ellensburg: Educational programs in Kittitas County have reduced conflicts between ORV users and non-users. Felt program addresses a social problem within the County.

2. Have been with the ORV program since 1980. Since that time demand for services to users has increased; usage of the areas has increased.
3. Distributed folder of material to each IAC member containing information on the ORV programs:
  - a. Map: USFS, DNR, Fish/Game, Private Lands.
  - b. Other informational material.
4. County has extensive trail systems throughout which are ORV funded.
5. Have not lost any lands yet, but are concerned that land may be lost to ORV use if the County is unable to work the program effectively.
6. Concerned with need for deputies to patrol and assist.

Both Mr. Jessup and Mrs. Warden questioned Mr. Shuart concerning Kittitas County's request for funding. Mr. Shuart explained the extent of lands used by ORV recreationists, the need to provide for the use of the Milwaukee Railroad lands which will be coming available soon; land-owner complaints; and the cooperation of deputies between counties.

Ron Morgenthaler, ORVAC Chairman, and representative of Northwest Motorcycle Association: Felt ORV program is a good one and fills a need for ORV recreationists.

1. ORVAC had expected the need for deputies and education to level out and drop off; instead there has been an increase for funds in this program. Schools had been contacted; once contacted, it would not be necessary to go back and contact that group until the next year.
2. Felt ORVAC needed to control education/enforcement programs, to allow for funding for other ORV programs and activities. "Can't keep pouring money into this type of program and really need to put the brakes on it now."
3. Stated these programs are offering services far beyond that intended by anyone, save those who desire large enforcement departments. Search and rescue, help for equipment breakdowns, first aid, stolen vehicle recovery, investigation of illegal dumping and wood cutting, etc., should be financed from other sources.
4. User season is much too limited to justify all of this year-round manpower.

Mr. Tveten noted that Sheriff's Departments have difficulty in hiring individuals to cover heavy week-end ORV use -- they need to have a trained officer on staff full-time. He said there is then a potential conflict which could be detrimental to the ORV program. If Grant County is limited to only one deputy, it will have to close the program.

Mr. Lockard shared this concern, and felt there was a need to have some type of formula so that there could be a comparison in costs -- benefit per man; benefit per program. A common denominator is needed. Secondly, Mr. Lockard

questioned the direction being taken in the ORV program. He said the education and enforcement programs were necessary, and though he did not feel they should continue to go up in cost, at the same time more planning and more studies are being promoted, which will lead to more use of ORV areas and the need for control.

Earl Williams, Director, Parks and Recreation Thurston County, Olympia: Introduced himself as new director of Parks and Recreation in Thurston County.

2. Though had been in parks and recreation for many years, this was his first experience with off-road vehicle parks and programs.

3. Appreciated the assistance received through the ORV funding program, and thanked IAC staff for their help.

4. Though reduced funding, would be able to continue the ORV park.

Mr. Tveten asked the impact on the park with reduction in funding from the requested \$179,000 to \$134,000 as recommended by ORVAC and staff. Mr. Williams stated there would be less enhancement of the park area itself within the M/O budget, but with \$134,000 would be able to have a more attractive setting for campers, etc. Will not hamper the regular operations of the park.

Mr. Jim Weitzel, County Commissioner, Grant County: Added to his comments that to be able to cover the ORV areas in Grant County, it takes 5.2 FTEs; therefore, with present staff, all must work a number of additional hours to accomplish this coverage.

Deputy Thompson, Chelan County: Stated that two commissioners from Chelan County were unable to be present to speak for the project.

2. The Chelan County request involves Douglas County, and will provide one deputy for each county. Sheriff's have an agreement so that each deputy assists the other deputy if there is a problem.

3. Can operate with staff recommendation, but unable to do so with ORVAC recommendation.

4. Program has been working since 1975.

Testimony concluded at 4:10 p.m. Recessed.

-----  
Reconvened at 4:25 p.m.

Chairman Jessup called for a motion to fund the Development ORV Projects. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MR. MACKEY TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING THE OFF-ROAD VEHICLE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(SEE PAGE 34-A - APPROVED OFF-ROAD VEHICLES' PROJECT LISTING.)

The chairman through Mr. Wilder proposed an amendment to the motion in regard to 83-9D, Wenatchee Natl. Forest, Pyramid Peak project. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MRS. WARDEN, THAT

THE SPONSORING AGENCY WILL PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) HAS BEEN PERFORMED, THAT A PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN HELD, AND THAT

THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY FOR INPUT.  
THE AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

-----

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING THE PLANS AND STUDIES OFF-ROAD VEHICLES PROJECTS, WITH THE PROVISIO THAT THE SPONSORING AGENCIES WILL PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) HAS BEEN PERFORMED ON PROJECTS 83-2P, 83-3P, 83-4P, 83-5P, 83-7P, 83-8P, 83-13P, 83-14P, AND 83-15P, THAT PUBLIC HEARINGS HAVE BEEN HELD ON THESE PARTICULAR PROJECTS, AND THAT THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY FOR INPUT PERTAINING TO EACH PROJECT.

Mr. Tveten suggested an AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION THAT THE FUNDS FOR DEVELOPMENT WILL NOT BE RELEASED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE UNTIL DEVELOPMENT PLANS HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY STAFF SO THAT THE ADDITIONAL \$25,000 AS RECOMMENDED BY ORVAC FOR PLANS COULD BE INCLUDED IN ADDITION TO THE SMALLER GRANT REQUESTED BY THE SPONSORS (APPLYING TO 3P DIVIDE RIDGE AND 4P ROCKY SADDLE.)

Mr. Lovelady stated this would not save time; that there is no definitive use of the \$25,000 at this time. Mr. Wilder agreed, stating there is only a letter of intent. He felt this would not be fair to others seeking ORV funding to have those funds tied up for some time when they could be used elsewhere within the ORV program.

MR. TVETEN WITHDREW HIS SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION.

CHAIRMAN JESSUP CALLED FOR A SECOND TO MR. MACKEY'S MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING THE PLANS AND STUDIES OFF-ROAD VEHICLES PROJECTS. MR. TVETEN SECONDED THE MOTION. QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR AND THE MOTION WAS CARRIED.

-----

Mr. Wilder suggested that the Committee consider projects 83-11E, 17E, 18E, 22E and 28E in the recommendations for Education and Enforcement Projects, and consider 83-20E/C and 83-31-E separately.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. BOLDS, SECONDED BY MR. LOCKARD, TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING THE FOLLOWING EDUCATION AND ENFORCEMENT OFF-ROAD VEHICLES' PROJECTS:

83-11E	Thurston County, Safety-Education Program	\$ 35,000
83-17E	Yakima County, Educ-Enforcement (4WD Emphasis)	33,600
83-18E	Yakima County, Educ-Enforcement (M/C Emphasis)	56,000
83-22E	Chelan/Douglas, Educ-Enforcement	58,600
83-28E	Richland, City of, Educ-Enforcement	20,000

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

-----

Mr. Wilder referred to ORV Project 83-20 E/C, Grant County, Educ-Enforce.

Coordinator, requested at \$87,150; ORVAC and IAC staff recommending \$40,000. As Director he made the following comments:

(1) It is the job of the IAC to look at these ORV projects and try to fund them in such a manner that the money will be wisely spent and get the job done. Policy decisions need to be made as in the case of the education-enforcement ORV project recommendations.

(2) Felt staff's recommendations should stand in view of the thorough review of the projects by both ORVAC and staff.

Mr. Lockard asked Grant County officials if there would be any middle-level funding which it could accept and still keep the program going. Mr. Wietzel informed the Committee there was about a \$25,000 carry-over in ATV funds which the County could use, and if it were possible to grant the County \$60,000 in ORV monies, it could maintain the present program. Mr. Wilder and Mr. Lovelady pointed out that the ATV monies would need to be returned to the IAC by December 31, 1983 in keeping with the law. This amount, however, could be included in the total grant to the County from ORV funds.

Considerable discussion followed. Mr. Lockard then asked the Grant County officials the amount Grant County would need in order to maintain the program and keep it operating. The reply, as stated in Grant County's presentation, was \$86,500 at least. It was again noted that the ATV fund in Grant County contained some monies which would be returned. Mr. Wilder stated this amount could be subtracted from the total request figure of the sponsor. Mr. Weitzel then stated the County would be willing to try to get along with a total request of \$77,000, and still maintain the same level of service.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MR. LOCKARD THAT THE GRANT COUNTY, EDUCATION-ENFORCEMENT COORDINATOR OFF-ROAD VEHICLE PROJECT, 83-E/C, BE GRANTED \$77,000, WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE REMAINING ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLES' FUNDS PRESENTLY IN THE BUDGET OF GRANT COUNTY BE RETURNED ACCORDING TO LAW. *83-20EC as corrected*

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

The Chairman noted that the County had received funding for the program from this year's funding session, but that this did not mean there could be the same funding situation for next year. ORV funds need to be used throughout the state and the Committee will carefully evaluate trends in funding.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MRS. WARDEN, THAT THE KITTITAS COUNTY, EDUCATION-ENFORCEMENT ORV PROJECT, 83-31E, BE GRANTED \$90,000 TO COVER ONE-HALF FTE IN ORDER TO CONTINUE ITS PROGRAM. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Lockard asked for assurance that staff recommendations included funds for implementing necessary informational signs in cooperation with the City of Roslyn (approx. \$3,500), and included funds for a regional enhancement program. Mr. Lovelady said these were in the project as funded by the Committee.

-----

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. TVETEN, SECONDED BY MR. MACKEY, TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING THE ORV PARK MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS PROJECTS. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

-----

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. MACKEY, SECONDED BY MR. LOCKARD, TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR FUNDING THE YAKIMA COUNTY COORDINATOR PROJECT, 83-19C, AT 60% FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

----

Off-Road Vehicles' Funding was completed at 4:50 p.m.

-----

Chairman Jessup referred to the agenda and called for staff presentation on IV. B. U. S. Forest Service Agreement (Off-Road Vehicles' Projects).

Mr. Lovelady referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, on this subject, and commented on the three main changes which had been made in the agreement as noted in the memorandum. The USFS/IAC agreement has been in existence for the last five years. To date, over \$1.63 million has been committed to the USFS, covering 31 projects, for use of off-road vehicle recreationists.

- a. Page 3 Land Exchanges - has been changed to provide for continued right of public use should the Service relinquish control of ORV fund improved lands.
- b. Page 4, Liability - describes Service responsibilities due to negligence, omissions or wrongful acts.
- c. Page 5, Duration - continues Agreement indefinitely or until amended or terminated by the parties.

Staff recommended continuance of the agreement, which has also been reviewed by the Assistant Attorney General as to form and contents. Mrs. Warden asked concerning page 6, Item 8, Duration and Termination of the agreement. Barbara Phillips, Assistant Attorney General, clarified this paragraph was a policy of the state and was required in the agreement. It provides for termination of the agreement other than by mutual agreement, which might take place in certain circumstances.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. LOCKARD, SECONDED BY MRS. BOLDS, THAT THE AGREEMENT DOCUMENT BETWEEN THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION AND THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FOREST SERVICE, UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE ACT OF JUNE 30, 1914 (16 USC 498), THE ACT OF JUNE 12, 1960 (16 USC 528-531); RCW 46.09; AND RCW 39.34, BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

IV. E. 1984 IAC Committee Meetings Schedule: Mr. Wilder referred to memorandum of staff dated November 10, 1983, and read the proposed meetings schedule dates. IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. BOLDS, SECONDED BY MR. LOCKARD, THAT THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE FOLLOWING IAC MEETINGS SCHEDULE FOR 1984 FOR ENTRY INTO THE WASHINGTON STATE REGISTER:

MARCH 8-9	OLYMPIA - REGULAR SESSION
JULY 20	OLYMPIA - REGULAR SESSION
NOVEMBER 1-2	OLYMPIA - FUNDING SESSION

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

V. COMMITTEE MEMBERS' REPORTS: Mr. Jessup thanked the Committee and staff for their efforts, and expressed his appreciation for cooperation throughout

OFF-ROAD VEHICLE PROJECTS APPROVED FOR FUNDING NOVEMBER 10, 1983

PROJ. NO.	SPONSOR	TITLE	AMOUNT FUNDED
<u>DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS</u>			
83-6D	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Four Mile Ridge	\$ 34,300
83-9D	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Pyramid Peak	10,950
83-12D	Thurston County	ORV Sports Park	12,500
83-24D	Colville Natl. Forest	Batey/Bould Trail	119,800
83-30D	Richland, City of	Horn Rapids ORV Park	158,140
			Total \$ 335,690
<u>PLANS AND STUDIES</u>			
83-2P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Goose Creek Plan	\$ 16,250
83-3P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Divide Ridge	6,660
83-4P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Rocky Saddle	3,370
83-5P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Mad River	6,000
83-7P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Bear Creek	3,850
83-8P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Pyramid Creek	3,710
83-13P	Yakima County	Ahtanum East Comp. Plan	50,800
83-14P	Yakima County	USFS Acq. Feasibility	4,550
83-15P	Yakima County	BLM Acquisition Feasibility	6,310
83-23P	Colville Natl. Forest	Batey/Bould Trail Survey	7,260
83-25P	Colville Natl. Forest	Little Pend Oreille Trl. Surv	11,300
83-27P	Wenatchee Natl. Forest	Tri-Moto Study	7,520
			Total \$ 127,850
<u>EDUCATION - ENFORCEMENT</u>			
83-11E	Thurston County	Safety-Education Prog.	\$ 35,000 (1)
83-17E	Yakima County	Educ-Enforce. (4WD Emphasis)	33,600
83-18E	Yakima County	Educ-Enforce. (M/C Emphasis)	56,000 (1 & 2)
83-20E/C	Grant County	Educ-Enforce. Coordinator	77,000 (3)
83-22E	Chelan/Douglas	Educ-Enforcement	58,600
83-28E	Richland, City of	Educ-Enforcement	20,000 (4)
83-31E	Kittitas County	Educ-Enforcement	90,000 (1 & 5)
			Total \$ 370,200
<u>ORV PARK MAINTENANCE &amp; OPERATIONS</u>			
83-10M	Thurston County	ORV Sports Park	\$ 134,000 (6, 7, 8)
83-16M	Yakima County	Sun Targets ORV Park	65,000 (7 & 8)
83-29M	Richland, City of	Horn Rapids ORV Park	150,500 (7, 8, & 9)
			Total \$ 349,500
<u>COORDINATOR</u>			
83-19C	Yakima County	60% Full-Time Equivalent	\$ 28,280
			Total \$ 28,280
			TOTAL ORV FUNDING - NOV. 83 \$ 1,211,520

KEY:

- (1) Includes funding for regional enhancement program.
- (2) Includes additional funds for placing this project back on calendar year cycle.
- (3) Remaining ATV monies to be subtracted from this amount.
- (4) Contingent on coverage of adjacent cities and counties.
- (5) Includes funds for implementing necessary informational signs in cooperation with the City of Roslyn (Approximately \$3,500).
- (6) Includes \$4,000 for purchase of tri-wheeled motorcycle and reroofing caretaker residence.
- (7) Park revenues are in addition to this amount.
- (8) No funds for park sponsorship or promotion of events included.
- (9) Includes \$33,550 for capital equipment outlay.

the meeting to ensure agenda items could be taken care of by five o'clock. Asked that local sponsors and staff of the IAC keep him advised of dedications of the various projects. Committee members were urged to attend these functions on behalf of the other Committee members when it was possible to do so.

CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION PRESENTATION - RON MORGENTHALER: The Chairman called Mr. Ron Morgenthaler, Chairman, Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee, (& member STAC) to come forward for presentation of a Certificate of Appreciation. Mr. Jessup presented the certificate and thanked Mr. Morgenthaler for his many years of service to the IAC on ORVAC as well as the State Trails Committee. Mr. Morgenthaler thanked the chairman and staff, and stated he felt Washington's ORV program was the best in the nation, and he wished it well as it continued to bring into being an excellent off-road recreational program for the people of Washington.

Mr. Lockard thanked Mr. Wilder and his staff for doing an excellent job not only in the Off-Road Vehicle program but in the other areas of outdoor recreation acquisition and development in which the IAC is involved.

Adjourned: 5:00 p.m.

RATIFIED BY THE COMMITTEE

2-8-84 as corrected

(DATE)

John W. Jessup  
IAC COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN