

July 23, 1966

- I. Opening of Meeting, roll call, introductions, guests
- II. Approval of May 14 minutes
- III. Additions to Agenda
- IV. OLD BUSINESS
 - a) Ratifications of mail ballots.
 - 1) Extension of IAC Planning Grant from BOR for Action Program
 - 2) University of Washington Arboretum Trails project
 - b) Report on Statewide Outdoor Recreation Plan.
 - c) Project Selection
 - d) Status on IAC approved projects
 - e) State agency projects
 - Mayfield - Beacon Rock
 - Department of Game
 - (i) Statewide Water Access Project
 - (ii) Recommendation that Green River project be reconsidered
 - f) FY 1966 Initiative 216 Distribution
 - g) Report on Option Requirements
 - h) Legislative Proposals
- V. NEW BUSINESS

Deadline date for receipt of new project proposals

 - (a) Request for Authority to proceed without disqualification of project
 - (1) City of Spokane and Whatcom County - "Lake Forest Park Rule" Havermale No 1; Havermale No. 1 development; Geneva-Euclid, Lake Whatcom
 - (b) Adjustment in meeting calendar for remainder of Calendar Year 1966
 - (c) Authority for Deputy Administrator to act as Administrator
 - (d) Presentation of new local proposals
 - Chewelah swim pool project; Rockport Park proposal
- VII. ADJOURNMENT

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
Renton Inn, 800 Rainier South, Renton Washington
Saturday, July 23, 1966

Marvin B. Durning, Chairman

MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT

Chairman Marvin B. Durning, Lewis A. Bell, Keith Campbell, Thomas O. Wimmer, Charles H. Odegaard, Director, Parks and Recreation Commission, Thor C. Tollefson, Director, Department of Fisheries; Daniel B. Ward, Director, Department of Commerce and Economic Development; Einar H. Hendrickson, Administrator.

MEMBERS ABSENT

Warren A. Bishop; Bert L. Cole, Director, Department of Natural Resources; John A. Biggs, Director, Department of Game; Charles G. Prahl, Director, Department of Highways.

STAFF OF MEMBERS AGENCIES PRESENT

Department of Commerce and Economic Development

Ann Williams

Paul Benson, Chief, State Planning Section

Department of Fisheries

Don Erickson

Department of Game

Stan Scott, Acting Outdoor Recreation Coordinator

Department of Highways

Willa Mylrole

Department of Natural Resources

A. R. O'Donnell, Technical Assistant

Interagency Committee

Amy Bell, Secretary

John Merrill, Consultant

Gene Kacson, Fund Manager and Deputy Administrator

REPRESENTATIVES OF OTHER AGENCIES

Attorney General

Lloyd Peterson, Assistant Attorney General

Housing and Urban Development

Gordon McKay, Field Representative

Central Budget Agency

James Ryan, Director

Legislative Budget Committee

Dean Clabaugh

WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE

Avery Garrett, Chairman, Subcommittee on Parks, Recreation and Tourism

Georgette Valle, Representative 31st District

- I. Opening of Meeting, roll call, introductions, guests. Avery Garrett extended a welcome on behalf of Donald Custer, Mayor of Renton. Chairman Marvin B. Durning called the meeting to order at 9:15 a.m. Members and guests were introduced.
- II. Approval of May 14 minutes. Mr. Al O'Donnell, Department of Natural Resources, stated that the Natural Resources project proposals were based on meeting current needs but that project demands should be projected. He therefore requested that the sentence "Although it is necessary to project demand, there are existing demands and these project proposals are 'justified by existing demands'." be substituted for "It was felt there was no need to project demand because the demand already exists." in line 6, second paragraph of IV NEW BUSINESS, Procedure for Selection of State Projects, on Page 8 of the May minutes. MR. WIMMER MOVED, MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT THE MINUTES BE AMENDED AS REQUESTED BY MR. O'DONNELL, MOTION CARRIED. MR. WIMMER MOVED AND MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT THE MINUTES BE APPROVED AS AMENDED. MOTION CARRIED.
- III. Additions to Agenda. It was requested that new State projects be added to Item V(e) Presentation of new State Proposals.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

(a) Ratifications of mail ballots.

(1) Extension of IAC Planning Grant from BOR for Action Program. Committee action was requested to ratify the ballot taken by mail on June 13, 1966 for a \$23,500 grant extension on BOR project #46-65-00001. Eleven members had voted in favor of the extension which read:

"Extend project period to terminate on December 31, 1966. Increase total cost of project from \$69,560 to \$116,560 and increase Fund support ceiling from \$34,780 to \$58,280. Modify scope of Action Program as described in the project proposal dated August 30, 1965 to cover a six-year period rather than a ten-year period, and amend tabular summary to read as follows:

Personnel/consultant services	\$52,030.00
Travel	2,900.00
Space and rental services	3,000.00
Supplies	2,530.00
Printing	500.00
Telephone	1,600.00
Other	500.00
Chilton Research project	8,000.00
Fuel tax " "	45,500.00
TOTAL COST OF PROJECT	\$116,560.00
Amt. of LWCF request (50%)	\$ 58,280.00

MR. TOLLEFSON MOVED, MR. BELL SECONDED THAT WE RATIFY THE MAIL BALLOT EXTENDING THE BOR GRANT. MOTION CARRIED.

(2) University of Washington Arboretum Trails project. Committee action was requested to ratify the ballot taken by mail June 13, 1966 for a project on Arboretum Waterfront Trail Development in Seattle which provided for a pedestrian walk at the University of Washington Arboretum for a cost of \$70,000, \$35,000 to be paid out of the BOR contingency fund and \$35,000 by the Arboretum Capital Improvement Trust Fund (held in trust by the University of Washington). Eleven members of the Committee voted in favor of the project. Mr. Hendrickson indicated that the proposal was now being amended to embrace \$45,000 and \$90,000 in costs, as agreeable to the BOR and University of Washington.

MR. TOLLEFSON MOVED AND MR. WARD SECONDED THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FIND THE ARBORETUM TRAILS PROJECT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, CONSISTENT WITH THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR A SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES TO BE SUBMITTED BY EACH DEPARTMENT, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OTHER CRITERIA ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, AND THEREFORE APPROVE AS A PROJECT THE ABOVE REQUEST AND THE AMOUNT REQUESTED (\$90,000) IS HEREBY ALLOCATED FROM FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE (SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES). MOTION CARRIED.

(b) Report on Statewide Outdoor Recreation Plan. Mr. Hendrickson presented a memo dated July 22, 1966 regarding the revision of the statewide outdoor recreation plan. Because the revision of the plan was not available at the end of June and the data expected on recreation demand, supply and need was not available in a form suitable for future project correlation it was considered impossible to meet CBA and Governor Evans' requests for the Capital Improvement Program and next biennium budgets. Time would also be required to meet Initiative 215 standards for an orderly review of projects. The memorandum had attached the following five correspondences related to completion of the plan.:

- (1) DCED - July 12. . . a letter concerning plan revision schedule.
- (2) DCED - July 14. . . a letter concerning plan revision and related subjects.
- (3) BOR - June 21. . . a letter of critique regarding acceptability of the plan outline, plus new Section 630 requirements.
- (4) BOR - July 1. . . a letter with guidelines to get the plan submitted before November 10.
- (5) BOR - July 1. . . a letter endorsing the proposed 701 funding for IAC of a continuing planning process until June 1967 in the amount of \$200,000.

It was recommended that the Committee agree to a continuation of staffing and consulting levels suggested in the February meeting with the Governor to allow preparation of the budget and personnel plan since our action program is dependent on these findings for project priorities and for maintaining project eligibility.

Mr. Benson, Chief, State Planning Section, DCED, discussed the progress in the revision of the state plan. While the target date of July had not been met the revised working outline with parts I and III of the plan had been distributed for review and comment. Rough drafts of Part IV (Private and Commercial Recreational Facilities), Part V (Standards, Supply and Demand for Open Space and Outdoor Recreational Facilities), and Part VI (Estimated Needs for Open Space Land and for Outdoor Recreation Facilities) were distributed for review and comment. This consisted of a packet containing Parts IV, V and VI for review and comment by interested parties. It followed the outline provided to the IAC earlier and was drafted in accord with the approving letter from BOR dated June 21, 1966. New recreation activity demand estimates have been completed using interim methodology previously agreed upon. All figures were presented in state-wide totals only. It was proposed that between July 23 and August 26 the remaining parts of the plan would be ready in rough draft form. A final draft plan would be presented at the September 9 Interagency Committee meeting. Continuing discussion will continue between IAC and DCED on problems of meshing plan work with the separate action program element. Discussion stressed necessity for (1) maintaining liaison with BOR to meet and comply with their standards and requirements and ensure their requirements being adequately met, (2) obtaining agreement and input from state agencies to maintain the plan schedule, (3) obtaining state agencies' agreement on standards for project selection, (4) working out the language and the means for covering and incorporating other activities and uses than the 18 studied in the outline in order that they be eligible for funding, (5) obtaining additional funding to carry on the process for the next biennium, (6) using as specific and quantitative standards as possible to cover additional activities or resource oriented projects, (7) continuing the inventory, and (8) encouraging retention of recreation lands in the private sector for recreation purposes. Difficulties cited included that of (1) listing all activities, (2) comparing site information to activity needs, (3) deciding what facilities are the best buy, (4) measuring importance of activities of one agency with the activities of another agency, (5) developing a specific standard which will be

broad enough to cover other types of activities, (6) developing a plan which will give guidance to the public agencies and the private sector, and (7) determining how to minimize the advantage given to those agencies who had not prepared complete inventory data by the per capita-acreage standard.

The critical problem concerned the timing of the submission of the Plan since BOR had asked 60 days lead time prior to expiration of Plan eligibility in January. The Plan would therefore have to be presented, reviewed by public agencies, revised, accepted, and printed by November. It was the consensus that a satisfactory plan was essential before the Committee could proceed to recommend fund allocations and that public review to gain understanding, incorporation of suggestions, and acceptance by the public was necessary regardless of the time involved. Mr. Ward pledged his staff to this effort.

Another critical problem concerned the submission of the capital budget to meet Central Budget fiscal deadlines of September. Because of the stringency of the BOR plan requirements it did not seem possible to submit a budget for legislative action without more knowledge about the kinds of projects needed. The possibility of combining the meetings on the capital budget and the Plan to synchronize timing was discussed. The bulk of the material had been received in raw form and it was stated that inputs on the necessary forms for the Department of Game would be available Monday July 25 and for Parks a week later.

Since Washington State University at Pullman was interested in research into recreation problems, it was moved by Mr. Bell that the September 9 meeting be held in Pullman and at that time the staffs provide a completed plan and a recommendation on the capital budget so that subsequently the IAC could meet in Seattle for public hearings on the Plan. Mr. Bell restated the motion to read that the IAC have (1) a meeting in August at a time to be determined at which time a staff report would be presented for review, (2) the September meeting in Pullman to accept a final draft, and (3) another public meeting two weeks thereafter to submit the biennial budget to the Central Budget Agency. Mr. Wimmer Seconded. Mr. Campbell suggested that this first meeting be August 20 in Olympia. Mr. Hendrickson recommended the 27th of August since it did not seem possible to have the material ready for presentation by the 20th. Mr. Tollefson suggested that we meet August 20th in Olympia, September 9th in Pullman, and September 23 in Seattle. Question was called on original motion.

MOTION CARRIED. MR. TOLLEFSON MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. CAMPBELL THAT AN AUGUST 20 MEETING BE HELD IN OLYMPIA, MOTION CARRIED. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT THE MEETING ON SEPTEMBER 23 BE HELD IN SEATTLE. MOTION CARRIED. Mr. Bell moved that capital budget recommendation be given at the August 20 meeting. Mr. Tollefson seconded. Mr. Wimmer moved that if we do not receive the material from the state agencies for fiscal year 1967 and subsequent biennia by the meeting that we allocate it to other agencies. Discussion brought out that presentation could be made by broad categories such as water access by regions and types of land needed and that supply-demand data was essential. The motion was re-stated to read that STATE AGENCY BUDGETS AND REQUESTS FOR NEXT BIENNIUM BE CONSIDERED AT THE AUGUST MEETING. MR. WIMMER SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

A rough draft portion of the DCED prospectus for IAC subcontract based on a \$200,000 estimate to continue the planning process until June 1967 was presented. It detailed four basic categories of work on which agreement had been reached including:

- (1) Determine recreation habits, desires and trends in Washington by a sampling of Washington residents.
- (2) Correlation and analysis of recreation data and plans compiled in project P-68 and other related surveys. Maintain, refine and extend existing and potential recreation and open space land inventory. Classify all recreation and open space lands in Washington using BOR land classes, and land classifications established in state plan utilizing staff of both DCED and IAC.
- (3) Develop distribution model to show location and quantity of needed lands and facilities for all major activities of the state as related to activity demand and existing land and facility supply.
- (4) Refine capital budget programming "supplementation" techniques through which fund allocations can be processed.

Mr. Hendrickson discussed the need for continuing 701 effort into the next year and requested that the staff be authorized to proceed with the DCED joint proposal based on last fall's decision. It was discussed whether the \$200,000 for the next 30 months after the first of the year could be shared between IAC and CED so that the state 1/3 be programming in two budgets. Paul Benson indicated DCED would only need one supervisory portion with other work handled by IAC. This would involve about \$67,000 in shared costs by the IAC which would not require additional requests within the same operating levels and with the agency contributions estimated prior to decision on individual agency budgets. The DCED would be the primary planning agency and an understanding had been reached on defining responsibility.

*amended
9/9/66
minutes*

*(Amended)
9/9/66
minutes*

MR. CAMPBELL MOVED THAT WE AUTHORIZE THE ADMINISTRATOR AND THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE TO SUBMIT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AS PART OF THE TOTAL APPLICATION, A REQUEST FOR \$200,000 FOR RECREATIONAL PLANNING FOR THE PURPOSES OUTLINED IN THE MEMO OF July 14 TO SATISFY THE TIME PERIODS AND STAFF PATTERNS EXPLAINED TO THE COMMITTEE. MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

- (c) Project Selection. By memo of July 22 entitled "Memorandum on Project Selection" Initiative requirements and Committee policies were summarized as a basis for comparison of projects. Policy decision was requested on the manner in which IAC funds should be used to supplement other federal sources. It was recommended by the Administrator that projects should be presented that would attract money from other federal programs besides BOR and HUD and that the IAC should take the initiative in finding out about other programs for eligible projects from such sources in order to maximize the use of state funds. BOR funds will not be sufficient to match state funds available in the 1967-69 biennium. Discussion ensued on the necessity for Committee review of projects not funded by IAC, to find these projects in accord with the statewide plan. The Committee directed that the Administrator prepare a recommendation on use of other federal funds and how they might be presented in the capital improvement program.

Mr. Ryan pointed out that there was an apparent overbalance of state funds to federal funds identifiable at this time. This raises the question of whether the Committee would allocate state funds only to the maximum availability of federal matching funds, allocate all state funds scheduled for the next biennium, or retain some funds for subsequent allocation. Mr. Ryan said that, if all funds were allocated in the absence of identifiable federal matching funds, we may run the risk of finding money "in the wrong pocket" for federal funds that might become available.

Mr. Al O'Donnell presented the capital budget for the Department of Natural Resources which was primarily for uplands and salt water access projects.

Mr. Tollefson presented the capital budget for the Department of Fisheries. Mr. Tollefson said their budget would depend on their legal authority to engage in recreational activity, that they wanted to provide access to the 12 beach areas for which they were given custody by the legislature, but if this did not include authority to develop for recreational purposes they were willing to lease to a department which did have such authority. The Parks and Recreation Commission's capital improvement program to the Central Budget Agency was presented by Mr. Odegaard; it did not include the IAC forms, but he indicated they would be available within two weeks. Mr. Scott presented the budgets for the Department of Game. Both indicated further material would be forthcoming. Willa Mylroie presented Highways' "Policy on Roadside Development and Highway Beautification" program being financed by the federal government. *state highway agencies*

MR. WIMMER MOVED, MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT WE RECEIVE THE CAPITAL BUDGETS AND REFER THEM TO THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE AND STAFF FOR RECOMMENDATION. MOTION CARRIED.

*Amended
9/9/66
minutes*

- (d) Status on IAC Approved Projects. The Administrator presented a status summary sheet and narrative to provide detail about progress on projects. This tabulation is appended to the minutes. A recommendation for a cut-off date on new projects for September 1 was included.

Mr. Gordon McKay of HUD reported on the status of local projects referred to his agency. Of the 14, one is approved, 5 have been received and 8 have not been received as yet. A status report on BOR projects indicated approval on two, 9 submitted to the BOR office and the remainder in the process of being prepared for submission.

MR. WIMMER MOVED THAT ALL LOCAL AGENCIES TO WHOM MONEY HAD BEEN AUTHORIZED WHO HAD NOT FINALIZED THEIR APPLICATIONS AND IN CASES WHERE THEY HAVE NOT PERFECTED THEIR APPLICATIONS OR COMPLETED THEIR PAPER WORK BY SEPTEMBER, BE GIVEN NOTICE IN WRITING THAT THEIR MONEY MAY BE RE-ALLOCATED UNLESS THEY HAVE A LEGITIMATE REASON. MR. CAMPBELL SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

- (e) State Agency Projects. Mayfield and Beacon Rock which were presented to the Committee by State Parks and Recreation Commission's letters of April 5 and 12 were presented for review. Mayfield Lake was for a total of \$24,000, federal share of \$12,000, for 11.4 acres of land adjacent to Mayfield Lake State Park and Beacon Park was for a total project cost of \$30,000; federal share of \$15,000, for 35 acres adjacent to Beacon Rock State Park. Mr. Hendrickson pointed out that the projects were transmitted to the IAC in April and have been

reviewed by the staff. He recommended that the Committee find them in accordance with the policy and statutory requirements and that they be approved. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT THE IAC FIND THE AFOREMENTIONED PROJECTS OF THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE, CONSISTENT WITH THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR A SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES TO BE SUBMITTED BY EACH DEPARTMENT, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OTHER CRITERIA ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE AND THEREFORE APPROVE AS PROJECTS THE ABOVE REQUESTS AND THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED ARE HEREBY ALLOCATED FROM FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE, SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES. MOTION CARRIED. Since some of the funds could come out of Initiative 215 funds, it was recommended that the staff make recommendations for disbursement of the money based on such justification as was furnished by the Parks Department.

(2) Department of Game.

(i) Recommendation of the approval of options for the Statewide Water Access Project. Mr. Scott presented options on water access areas in the amount of \$8,700.00 which fall within the amount for water access approved by the Committee in October. They were Elochoman River, \$1,200.00; Nooksack River, \$2,500.00 and Grays River, \$5,000.00. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT WE ACCEPT WATER ACCESS PROPOSALS PRESENTED BY THE GAME DEPARTMENT. MOTION CARRIED.

(ii) Recommendation that the Green River project be reconsidered. Mr. Scott for the Department of Game requested reconsideration of the Green River proposal for fish rearing ponds. Both HUD and BOR in informal review found the project undesirable for funding under their programs. The Game Department agreed to rewrite the proposal to encompass additional recreational activities and, in cooperation with other state agencies, determine if it might then be eligible for BOR or HUD funding as a multi-agency proposal. (considered 9/9/66 minister)

All the money would be disbursed from Referendum 11 funds.

(f) FY 1966 Initiative 215 Distribution. By memo of July 22 which supplemented reports and action at the April and May IAC meetings, attention was provided to adjustment in the apportionment of the unreclaimed watercraft fuel taxes previously earmarked for state projects. The Technical Committee had helped to reconcile a distribution to stay within the limits on authorized projects and the funds estimated to be available. A current tabulation was presented (attached) upon which state agency project billings for FY 1966 could be processed once appraisal procedures and related approvals were secured. MR. WARD MOVED, MR. WIMMER SECONDED THAT WE RECEIVE THE REPORT ON INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS IN ORDER TO PROCESS PROJECT BILLINGS FOR STATE PROJECTS. MOTION CARRIED.

(g) Report on Option Requirements. A memo of July 18 presented a summary of pros and cons on requirement of options by agencies applying for funds as requested at the May 14 meeting. While options could operate to offer some protection against escalation of property values and increase the commitment of the project agency, three problems would tend to balance out the benefits: (1) the impracticability of short term options, and the cost to the owner of holding his property under long term options, (2) difficulties of appraisal figures not matching option figures, and (3) the fact that time and monetary considerations involved might be burdensome to all parties. Earnest money would be no alternative to options because if the project was not funded, the agency could still be forced to buy or forfeit earnest monies. Therefore no recommendation was made. It was recommended that department and state law on options be checked. MR. CAMPBELL MOVED AND MR. TOLLESON SECONDED THAT WE APPROVE THE REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATOR. MOTION CARRIED.

(h) Legislative Proposals. The attached amendatory legislation prepared by the staff was presented as housekeeping nature. While some of the provisions might make substantive changes in the law, the purpose was to bring the law into conformity with practices which the Committee has found it necessary to adopt. Following is an analysis of the various sections of the proposal:

Sections 1, 2 and 3 merely correct the designation of the Department of Motor Vehicles which has replaced the Department of Licenses.

Section 4 adds language to insure continuity of the Committee by providing that the term of office of a member may be continued beyond the three-year period until his successor is appointed.

Section 5 clarifies the practice adopted by the Committee so that assistance may be furnished in form suitable to the Committee. It also provides clarification of the Committee's power to employ an administrator, staff and consultants.

Section 6 clarifies the Committee's role in outdoor recreation planning without infringing upon the powers of the state planning agency.

Sections 7 and 8 are taken from the model act prepared by the Council of State Governments. The language is intended to clarify the Committee's powers to enter into agreements with agencies of the federal government and to carry out the necessary functions relating to these agreements.

MR. CAMPBELL MOVED, MR. BELL SECONDED THAT THIS PROPOSED LEGISLATION BE CONSIDERED AT THE NEXT MEETING. Mr. Garrett stated that the Legislative Council Subcommittee would meet in the latter part of August and timely recommendation of amendments was desirable as a Legislative Council request. It was pointed out that the language was taken from a model act and that should any part be deleted there would be the danger of losing authority originally assumed by implication. The 12 points covered by the opinion of September 17 were those required to establish authority required under federal legislation. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. BELL SECONDED THAT ON LINE 31, Page 3, the words "In connection" be stricken and the remainder of Section 7 be stricken and lines 1 and 2 on Page 4 be deleted and that following the period on line 10 on Page 4 the language be amended to read "The Interagency Committee when so requested by state agencies or public bodies, a subdivision or subdivisions of the state may enter into and administer agreements with the United States or any appropriate agency thereof for planning, acquisition and development projects involving participating federal aid funds on behalf of such state agencies or public bodies or any subdivision or subdivisions of this state: . . ." and the bill be presented to Mr. Garrett's committee for consideration. MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Garrett requested that a member of the IAC be present to discuss the bill when under consideration by the Legislative Committee.

In addition the following bills were presented for consideration by the Committee:

- (1) Department of Commerce and Economic Development - Study of Assessment of timber and agricultural lands; "Open Space Land Act" (HB 226, 1965 Session); Creation Water Conservancy Districts (SB 396, 1965 Session).
- (2) Department of Game - Proposal for recreational use without charge of certain school, tide and shore lands.
- (3) Department of Fisheries - Provision to authorize Fisheries Department to engage in certain outdoor recreation activities.

*Amended
9/9/66
minutes*

It was the consensus that the Committee ~~should~~ take no stand on the ~~se~~ individual department bills, that they had been intended for discussion only, and ~~should be submitted, if desired, by the department concerned~~ and that this action be transmitted to the Governor's office.

V. NEW BUSINESS

It was recommended that September 1 be the deadline date for receipt of new project proposals, in order that recommendations for funding of local agency projects could be made before the November meeting. The Administrator was directed to write to local agencies informing them of the deadline date of September 1 and requesting that all applications be accompanied by a certification of availability of funds or statement that a bond issue would be on the ballot and the funds would be available providing the bond issue passed.

(a) Request for Authority to proceed without disqualification of project.

- (1) It was recommended that the City of Spokane and Whatcom County be granted authority to proceed without disqualification of their projects under the so-called "Lake Forest Park Rule" whereby the state voted funds might be available retroactively if the project were rated as a priority. These projects, pre-qualified at the March 11 meeting, were Havermale No. 1 Acquisition for \$435,600.00; Havermale No. 1 Development, \$124,000.00 and Geneva-Euclid, Lake Whatcom \$64,000.00. MR. CAMPBELL MOVED AND MR. ODEGAARD SECONDED THAT THE ABOVE AGENCIES BE GIVEN AUTHORITY TO PROCEED WITHOUT DISQUALIFYING THEIR PROJECTS FROM CONSIDERATION FOR RECEIVING STATE VOTED FUNDS IF THEIR PROJECTS WERE LATER RATED AS PRIORITY WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS WOULD NOT GIVE THEM PREFERENCE AND THAT THEY WOULD RISK LOSING THEIR ELIGIBILITY UNDER HUD. MOTION CARRIED.

In answer to Mr. Porter's request that agencies in the Puget Sound Governmental Conference have authority to proceed in order to exercise their options, it was stated that local agencies had only to write to the IAC requesting such authority and explaining the emergency. (Note "Lake Forest Park" rule in the November 6, 1965 minutes.)

(2) There was no recommendation made for the Klickitat County Satus Pass project and no action was taken.

- (b) Adjustment in meeting calendar for remainder of Calendar Year 1966.
The following schedule of meetings was proposed for the last quarter of 1966:

October 8	Shift from Longview to Wenatchee
November 11	Moses Lake
December 10	Seattle

MR. TOLLEFSON MOVED THAT WE APPROVE THE OCTOBER 8, NOVEMBER 11 AND DECEMBER 10 MEETINGS LEAVING THE NOVEMBER 8 MEETING PLACE OPEN FOR DISCUSSION AT A LATER MEETING. MR. BELL SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

(c) Authority for Deputy Administrator to act as Administrator.

Mr. Hendrickson presented a memorandum concerning personal leave time in August. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. CAMPBELL AND SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT THE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR BE GIVEN LIMITED AUTHORITY TO ACT AS ADMINISTRATOR DURING THE PERIODS AUGUST 1 THROUGH 5 AND AUGUST 15 THROUGH 19 TO THE LAWFUL LIMIT AS MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE UNDER INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSULTANT AGREEMENT BY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL LLOYD PETERSON. MOTION CARRIED.

(d) Presentation of new local proposals. Mr. R. E. Jenne, Mayor of Chewelah spoke regarding the Chewelah Swimming Pool project and Mrs. AnnWolford, of the Skagit County Park Board spoke regarding their Rockport Park proposal. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. CAMPBELL SECONDED THAT THE IAC RECEIVE THESE PROJECTS FOR EVALUATION, RATING AND RECOMMENDATION. MOTION CARRIED.

(e) ^{Deleted} Presentation of new state proposals. Mr. Odegaard presented proposals for Statewide Land Acquisition for Boating at a total cost of \$200,000 and property acquisition for sanitation facilities in Pacific and Grays Harbor Counties at a cost of \$282,982 and construction of comfort stations on the Pacific and Grays Harbor Beaches at a cost of \$282,982. MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT WE RECEIVE THESE PROJECTS. MOTION CARRIED.

VII. ADJOURNMENT.

MR. CAMPBELL MOVED AND MR. BELL SECONDED THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED. MOTION CARRIED. 6:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

EINAR H. HENDRICKSON
Administrator

APPROVED:

Marvin B. Durning

Marvin B. Durning
Chairman

Deleted by 9/19/66 minute amendment see next page.

Re: Amendment to Minutes of July 23, 1966

Delete item V(e) on page 13 and insert:

Ve Mr. Odegaard presented proposals to the Committee as follows:

A. Statewide Boating - Acquisition - \$200,000

(The specific projects will be submitted at a later date with the desire that receiving at this time will facilitate actual approval upon receipt of the specific project. Proposed 50% BOR and 50% IAC.)

B. Pacific and Grays Harbor Sanitation - Development - \$282,928

(This is an emergency project supported by Governor Evans, nine State agencies, and the two involved County Commissions.) Proposed 50% - \$141,491 from BOR and 50% - \$141,491 from BOR on retroactive basis as follows:

1. Acquisition Retroactive (all yet to be submitted)

A. Mayfield - \$7,000

B. Wanapum - \$1,363

C. Lake Wenatchee - \$20,000

D. Squaxin Island - \$41,500

E. Bayview - \$31,920

F. Ocean City - \$26,156

Sub Total - \$127,939

Credit - 50%

\$63,969

2. Development Retroactive (submitted and ready for receiving)

A. Riverside - \$55,000

B. Dry Falls - \$100,000

Sub Total \$155,000

Credit 50%

77,500

Total of Acquisition and Retroactive credits - \$141,469

(There is a \$22.00 deficit here to provide the 50% matching. This is made up by the \$22.00 surplus from the already approved Ocean City and Dash Point retroactive credits.)

Acquisition - \$63,969 - Development - \$218,959 - Total - \$282,928

C. Guns - Acquisition - \$40,000

This project was originally filed for development when it should have been for acquisition as it is to acquire a structure which will be lost to the state of Washington forever if it is not acquired now. It seems to us that such acquisition is consistent with Federal and State philosophy of preserving unique, historic, and scientific sites or structures which could be lost forever. "

September 7, 1966

Amendment to Minutes of July 23, 1966

We propose that 50 per cent be from the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund with the other 50 per cent being from either Referendum II or the BOR via the two following retroactive development credits which are herewith submitted for receiving.

1. Conconully - Development -	\$28,606
2. Penrose Point - Development -	\$16,000
	<u>\$44,606</u>
Credit	50%
	<u>\$ 22,303</u>

Acquisition - \$40,000 - Development - 0 - Total - \$40,000

D. Marine Projects - Development \$54,300

The following marine projects are submitted for receiving. It is proposed that they be financed 50% BOR - 50% Marine Recreation Land Act.

1. Fort Flagler - Development -	\$5,000
2. Jones Island - Development -	\$12,000
3. Lake Sammamish - Development -	\$3,300
4. Mukilteo - Development -	\$25,000
5. Penrose Point - Development -	\$9,000
	<u>\$54,300</u>

Acquisition - 0 - Development - \$54,300 - Total - \$54,300

It is worthy of note that though the needs for development of the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission are more than double the needs for acquisition, the Commission has changed its request per directions and is presently requesting projects, for financing this biennium totaling \$1,229,300 of which \$811,719 is for acquisition and \$417,581 is for development.

It is understood by the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission that they had not jeopardized the amount of money available to them this biennium by not having the specific projects ready for consideration at this time.

MR. ODEGAARD MOVED AND MR. TOLLEFSON SECONDED THAT WE RECEIVE THESE PROJECTS. MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVED:

Marvin B. Durning
Marvin B. Durning
Chairman

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Daniel J. Evans, Governor
Marvin B. Durning, Chairman

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR
OUTDOOR RECREATION
1018 East Union Ave.
Olympia, Washington 98501

July 22, 1966

MEMORANDUM

To: IAC Members
From: Einar H. Hendrickson, Administrator
Re: FY 1966 Initiative 215 Distribution

At the April and May IAC meetings, attention was given to adjustments in the apportionment of the unreclaimed watercraft fuel taxes previously earmarked for state projects. Thereafter the Technical Committee helped to reconcile a distribution to stay within the limits on authorized projects and the funds estimated to be available. There is attached a current tabulation upon which state agency project billings for FY 1966 can be processed once appraisal procedures and related approvals are secured.

The distribution of these monies is represented by projects authorized by the Committee and surpluses remaining for distribution. The allocations are higher than those originally proposed because of the redistribution of those monies earmarked for Fisheries and for contingencies.

The Department of Natural Resources has re-submitted its projects using IAC funds on a new basis than is indicated on this table. Assuming that the new proposal is found satisfactory, the total use of Initiative 215 funds for Natural Resources will be reduced to the allocation total of \$39,500; of this total \$25,000 will be for development. Since it is our understanding that the Game Department does not require the use of its earmarked development funds this year, the total amount allocated can be allotted for acquisition. This will leave unchanged the totals for all state agencies and will in no way affect the commitment to the Parks and Recreation Commission.

EHH:r
Attach.

SUMMARY OF INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS
 For State Agencies - FY 1966
 (\$263,333.99)

AGENCY	APPORTIONMENTS		Dev. *	APPROVED PROJECTS		SURPLUS (DEFICIENCY)				
	Total	Acq.		Total	Acq.	Total	Acq.	Dev.		
GAME	\$ 92,166	\$ 73,733	\$18,433	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,166	\$ 3,733	\$18,433
NATURAL RESOURCES	39,500	31,600	7,900	70,438	33,642	36,796		(30,938)	(2,042)	(28,896)
PARKS	131,666	105,333	26,333	11,278	11,278	-		120,388	94,055	26,333
TOTALS	\$263,332	\$210,666	\$52,666	\$151,716	\$114,920	\$36,796		\$111,616	\$95,746	\$15,870

SUMMARY OF INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS
 Adjusted for Natural Resources Revision
 For State Agencies - FY 1966
 (\$263,333.99)

AGENCY	APPORTIONMENTS		Dev. *	APPROVED PROJECTS		SURPLUS (DEFICIENCY)				
	Total	Acq.		Total	Acq.	Total	Acq.	Dev.		
GAME	\$ 92,166	\$ 89,333	\$ 2,833	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,166	\$19,333	\$ 2,833
NATURAL RESOURCES	39,500	16,000	23,500	39,625	16,125	23,500		(125)	(125)	-
PARKS	131,666	105,333	26,333	11,278	11,278	-		120,388	94,055	26,333
TOTALS	\$263,332	\$210,666	\$ 52,666	\$120,903	\$ 97,403	\$23,500		\$142,429	\$113,263	\$29,166

*Development funds are limited by law to a maximum of 20 percent of Initiative 215 funds.

INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS

1965

Month	Total Collections	Marine	Refunds	Net
January	\$ 6,282,786.50	\$52,147.13	\$ 28,247.78	\$ 23,899.35
February	5,638,478.52	46,799.37	24,409.95	22,389.42
March	5,917,763.42	49,117.44	22,024.47	27,092.97
April	6,974,271.28	57,886.45	15,315.31	42,571.14
May	6,594,967.37	54,738.23	20,905.91	33,832.32
June	7,437,410.64	61,730.51	17,768.59	43,961.92
July	7,845,609.12	65,118.56	24,568.71	40,549.85
August	8,233,315.69	68,336.52	19,924.39	48,412.13
September	8,151,884.01	67,660.64	30,117.89	37,542.75
October	7,500,929.92	62,257.72	33,775.10	28,482.62
November	7,251,067.03	60,183.86	29,864.57	30,319.29
December	6,876,177.90	57,072.28	26,993.23	30,079.05
Total	\$84,704,661.40	\$703,048.71	\$293,915.90	\$409,132.81

1966

Month	Total Collections	Marine	Refunds	Net
January	\$ 6,946,834.29	\$ 57,658.72	\$ 15,636.15	\$ 42,022.57
February	5,941,341.67	49,313.14	24,750.11	24,563.03
March	6,676,185.00	55,412.34	16,985.66	38,426.68
April	7,109,454.22	59,008.47	14,617.95	44,390.52
May	7,493,140.57	62,193.07	12,808.29	49,384.78
June	7,644,020.68	63,445.37	11,714.84	51,730.53
Total	\$41,810,976.43	\$347,031.11	\$ 96,513.00	\$250,518.11

6-Months Summary

Marine Gas Tax Collections	\$322,419.13	\$347,031.11	+ 7.6
Marine Gas Tax Refunds	128,672.01	96,513.00	-25.0
Net	\$193,747.12	\$250,518.11	+29.3

IAC
GK:r
7-22-66

PROJECT SUMMARY (all approved March 11, 1966)

Agency	Project	Letter Written Add. info or changes	Fund	Application Changes		STATE - LOCAL AGREEMENTS				Fed. Subm.	Fed. Appr.
				Notified	Received	Dist.	Rec'd.	AGO Ltr.	Unms. Appr.		
Arlington	Riverside Park		BOR	5-27-66	6-3-66	5-27-66	6-8-66	May	6-30-66	6-30-66	
Barton County	Columbia Park		BOR			April	April	April	April	April	D.C. OFFICE
Barton County	Horn Rapids	Requested approval to build on Army property	GSA	6-7-66							
College Place	Lions City Park		BOR	May	May	May	6-8-66	May			
Coulee Dam	City Park		BOR	April	April	May	5-19-66	5-24-66	6-8-66	6-8-66	
Ellensburg	Mphd. Park	Resubmit to HUD		5-25-66; Agreement to 50-50 split	6-3-66						
Grant County Port Dist. #7	Oasis Marina		BOR	5-24-66)							
		Both letters requesting that they proceed with the project.		April							
		March - Original letter of approval.		March							
Klickitat County	Riverside Pk.		BOR	March							
				5-12-66							
				April							
Lake Forest Park	Nike Site 07		GSA	March							
		Agency agrees to reduce project period to 4 years, requests IAC funds be paid		7-20-66.							
		Phone contacts March, 5-11-66 and 6-21-66									
		Agreement mailed 7-21-66.									
Lynnwood	Lynndale Add.		BOR	March							
		Ex. Order 6-12-66; Delay occasioned by return of agreements with signature; project proposal sent to BOR		April							
		for qualification; transmittal letter written		5-25-66.							
Mountlake Terrace	Central Valley		BOR	April							
		Ex. Order 6-14-66; agreement revised		6-3-66							
				April							
Olympia	Capitol Lake		BOR	April							
		Ex. Order May									
				April							
				April							
				May							
				May							

(Extended to July 1)

PROJECT SUMMARY (all approved March 11, 1966) -Page 2

STATE-LOCAL AGREEMENTS

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Letter Written</u> <u>Add. info or changes</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Application Changes</u> <u>Notified</u>	<u>Received</u>	<u>Distr.</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>	<u>AGO Ltr.</u>	<u>Change. Agmt.</u>	<u>Fed. Subm.</u>	<u>Fed. APPROV</u>
Pasco	Highland Park	April	HUD								
Selah	No. Selah Pk.	(resolution) 7-8-66	Change to BOR	5-25-66		6-10-66	6-20-66	6-13-66			
Tacoma	Swan Creek	Extension 7-7-66	BOR			April	May	May	May		May
Port Angeles	Hollywood Beach		BOR		4-22-66	April	April	April	May		May
Renton	Lk. Wash. Bch.	April	BOR								
	<p>In April we asked requesting info on changed status; they answered in April; On 6-3-66 they wrote the court had decided the sale to Boeing was unconstitutional; A memo in May asked for letter to Renton relative to amendment of application; June 30 letters from Renton making amendments. Project proposals to be re-written.</p>										
Seattle	Arment Ramp		BOR	April	5-18-66						
	<p>Letter from Office of the Mayor on July 1 saying that their corporation counsel is studying the project agreement. Because of being staged - the proposal had to be rewritten - agreement reached in March.</p>										
Spokane Co.	Miller Ranch	Billing requested 7-7-66	BOR			April	April	May	May		May
	<p>Whatcom Co. Portage Island Letter from Whatcom County wishing to use Deepwater funds for Portage Island. Letter requesting additional information 7-21-66.</p>										
Wahkiakum Co.	Covered Bridge		BOR		Withdrawn	5-16-66					