Manual 11

Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program

June 1, 2014
# Table of Contents

**Section 1: Introduction**

The Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program .......................... 1
The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board ................................. 2
Where to Get Information ....................................................................... 2
Grant Process and Timeline .................................................................... 3

**Section 2: Policies**

Eligible Applicants .................................................................................... 7
Eligible Project Types ................................................................................. 9
Eligible Costs ............................................................................................ 12
Requirements and Other Things to Know .............................................. 12
Project Area Stewardship and Ongoing Obligations .................................. 18

**Section 3: Money Matters**

Matching Resources .................................................................................. 20
Match Requirements .................................................................................. 21
Types of Match ......................................................................................... 23
Grant Limits ............................................................................................. 24
Records and Reimbursement .................................................................... 25

**Section 4: Project Selection**

How Project Evaluation Works ................................................................. 26
FARR Advisory Committee ....................................................................... 27
Evaluation Criteria .................................................................................... 29

**Appendix A: Public Hearing and Meeting Form** ................................. 41
Section 1: Introduction

In this section, you will learn about:

☑ The Firearms and Archery Range Recreation program
☑ The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board
☑ Where to get information
☑ Grant process and timeline

The Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program

In 1990, the Legislature created the Firearms Range Account, which is funded by a portion of the money collected from the sale of concealed pistol licenses. The Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) administers the account and the resulting grant program called the Firearms and Archery Range Recreation (FARR) program.¹

According to state law,² the Firearms Range Account shall be used for purchase and development of land, construction or improvement of range facilities, equipment purchase, safety or environmental improvements, noise abatement, and liability protection.

Program Goal

The FARR program’s primary goal is to assist with acquisition, development, and renovation of firearm and archery range facilities so the general public has more access to ranges. This includes access by:

• Law enforcement personnel.

• Members of the public with concealed pistol or hunting licenses.

• Those enrolled in firearm or hunter safety education classes.

¹ Revised Code of Washington 9.41.070
² Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.210
The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board was created in 1964 by a vote of the citizens of the state of Washington, and it administers FARR grants. The board is a governor-appointed board composed of five citizens and the directors (or designees) of three state agencies – Department of Fish and Wildlife, Department of Natural Resources, and Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission. RCO supports the board.

RCO is a state agency that manages multiple grant programs to create outdoor recreation opportunities, protect the best of the state's wildlife habitat and farmland, and help return salmon from near extinction.

Where to Get Information

Contact RCO

Natural Resources Building
1111 Washington Street
Olympia, WA 98501
E-mail: info@rco.wa.gov

Telephone: (360) 902-3000
FAX: (360) 902-3026
TTD: (360) 902-1996
Web site: www.rco.wa.gov

Mailing Address
PO Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98504-0917

RCO grant managers are available to answer questions about this manual and grant program. Please feel free to call.

Manual Authority

This manual provides basic information on procedures and guidelines used in this program. Authority for the policies in this publication is found in Revised Code of Washington, chapters 79A.25.210 and 79A.25.230, and Washington Administrative Code 286. The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board, RCO's governing board, adopted these policies in a public meeting.

Other Grant Manuals You Will Need

The manuals below provide additional information for grants and are available on the RCO Web site. Each can be made available in an alternative format.

- Manual 3, Acquisition Projects
- Manual 4, Development Projects
- Manual 7, Long-Term Obligations
- Manual 8, Reimbursements
Grant Process and Timeline

RCO offers grants in even years, in conjunction with the state budget. The grant process, from application to grant award, spans 18 months, and is outlined below. While the order of the steps in this process remains consistent, for precise dates, visit the RCO Web site.

Even-numbered Years

Workshops. RCO conducts workshops (usually as an online meeting) in the winter or early spring to provide information about the grant programs offered that year.

To Do Lists. RCO makes available on its Web site a list of application requirements, which outlines specifically what’s needed for a complete application. RCO encourages applicants to carefully review the To-Do-List and the program policy manuals before starting the application.

Entering Applications. RCO strongly encourages applicants to start the online application early. Applicants log into PRISM Online and select the “Get Started/Start a New Application” button to enter grant application information. RCO uses this information to assign an outdoor grants manager. This manager guides applicants through the process, reviews application materials, helps determine whether proposals are eligible, and may visit the project site to discuss site-specific details.

Applications Due. Applications are due in early July of even-numbered years. The application includes the data entered into PRISM and all required attachments. Applicants should “submit” the application before the deadline. The “Check Application for Errors” button on the Submit Application screen will indicate which pages are incomplete. Incomplete applications and applications received after the deadline will be returned unless RCO’s director has approved a late submission in advance.

Public Hearing Requirement. As part of the grant application, applicants must hold a public meeting or hearing for the local community if the proposed project will:

- Acquire land to build a new range facility,
- Develop a range facility where none currently exists, or
- Result in a substantial, new, external impact on the surrounding area of an existing range.

If the applicant makes changes to the project proposal after the project is evaluated by the FARR advisory committee, the applicant must notify the public meeting/hearing attendees of any changes to the project since it was first presented to them. See the Public Hearing policy on Page 13 for more information.
Technical Reviews. Applicants will be invited to a technical review meeting, where they present their projects to the FARR advisory committee and RCO staff, who reviews projects to ensure they are eligible, identify any issues of concern, and provide feedback on the strengths and weaknesses of each proposal. Applicants make an oral presentation, illustrated with maps, graphics, and photographs using PowerPoint. Grants managers will review the applications also and send comments to applicants. Applicants then can make changes to improve the projects, if needed. Applicants must complete all changes by the technical completion deadline.

Technical Completion Deadline. RCO will establish a technical completion deadline by which applicants must make all changes to their applications. After this date, applicants will not be able to make any further changes. RCO will score the applications as of this date.

Board Submits Biennial Budget Request. The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board submits to the Governor a recommended funding amount for the next biennium for the FARR program.

Project Evaluation. Applicants make oral presentations, illustrated with maps, graphics, and photographs in PowerPoint, to the advisory committee, which scores each proposal against a set of criteria approved by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board.

Post-Evaluation Conference. After project evaluations, RCO staff tabulates the scores and share the results with the advisory committee. The committee discusses the preliminary ranked list and the application and evaluation processes. The public may join this advisory committee conference call; however, to ensure a fair and equitable process; guests may not testify. Shortly after the conference call, staff posts the preliminary ranked lists on RCO’s Web site. The resulting ranked list of projects is the basis for the funding recommendation to the board.

Odd-numbered Years

Legislature Approves Funding. When it develops the state capital budget, the Legislature approves funding for the FARR program.

Proof of Matching Funds. All applicants must provide proof of the availability of matching funds by the match certification deadline, which is at least 1 calendar month before board approval of funding.3

Board Approves Funding. After the Legislature and Governor approve the capital budget, the board approves the list of projects and the final grant awards, in an open public meeting, after considering the recommendations of the advisory committee, written public comments

---

3 Washington Administrative Code 286-13-040(1)(c)
submitted before the meeting, and public testimony at the meeting. Applicants are encouraged, but not required, to attend.

**Pre-agreement Materials.** After grant awards, applicants have 2 calendar months to submit pre-agreement documents (checklist provided by grants managers.) RCO staff then prepare and issue the grant contracts, called project agreements. Applicants must return the signed agreements within 3 calendar months.\(^4\) Once the agreements are signed, the applicants, now referred to as project sponsors, may begin their projects, per the terms of the project agreements. Each agreement will be written and monitored for compliance by RCO staff. See *Manual 7, Long-term Obligations* for more information.

**Pre-agreement Costs.** RCO will pay only for work performed after project agreements have been signed by both RCO and project sponsors with two exceptions:

- Expenses, such as preliminary designs, environmental assessments, construction plans and specifications, cultural resource surveys, and permits; all of which are incurred within 3 years of the start date of the project agreement.
- Real property acquired under a waiver of retroactivity approved by RCO.

Construction performed before the execution of a project agreement and compliance with cultural resource laws will not be eligible for payment and may jeopardize funding for the entire project.

**Successful Applicants’ Workshop.** After the board approves funding, RCO hosts a workshop for successful grant applicants. This workshop covers:

- Project sponsor responsibility for compliance with the terms of the project agreement.
- Project agreement amendments to address project changes, time extensions, scope modifications, special conditions, and cost increases.
- Land acquisition, including tools developed to help applicants through the acquisition procedures with state and federal grants.
- Development and restoration, including construction plans and specifications, barrier-free design requirements, cultural resources, and bid procedures.
- Planning, including deliverables and reporting requirements.

\(^4\) Washington Administrative Code 286-13-040(1)(e)
• Project implementation, including milestones, permits, progress reports, inspections, valuing donations, RCO’s online resources for billing procedures, project close-out, and long-term compliance.

Ongoing

**Project Implementation.** Grant recipients must complete projects promptly. To help ensure reasonable and timely project completion, accountability, and the proper use of funds, applicants will:

• Submit only projects that will be completed within 4 years of the grant award.

• Provide assurances that the project can be completed within a reasonable time frame, which does not exceed the implementation period approved by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board.

• Develop milestones that do not exceed 4 years for project implementation.

• Begin project implementation quickly and aggressively to show measurable progress towards meeting project milestones.

• Submit progress reports at intervals as designated by the RCO project agreement.

RCO may terminate projects that do not meet critical milestones established in the project agreement.

Each year, RCO will review the status of projects incomplete 3 or more years from the date of funding approval. RCO will ask sponsors to provide assurances that their projects will be completed on time, such as:

• Executed purchase and sale agreements.

• Proof of permitting approvals.

• Awarded construction contracts.

• Progress on other significant milestones listed in the grant agreement.

If satisfactory assurances are not provided, the director may terminate the project.

**Project Completion.** When a project is completed, sponsors have 90 days to submit the final bill, final report, and supporting documents needed to close the project. If the bill and documentation are not submitted within 6 months of the end date within the agreement, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board may terminate the project without payment.
Section 2: Policies

In this section, you’ll learn about:

✓ Eligible applicants
✓ Eligible project types
✓ Eligible costs
✓ Requirements and other things to know
✓ Project area stewardship and ongoing obligations

Eligible Applicants

FARR grants may be made to:

• Cities and towns
• Counties
• Law enforcement agencies
• Nonprofit shooting organizations
• Park and recreation districts
• School districts
• State agencies
• Some special purpose districts, such as port and public utility districts, are eligible if legally authorized to acquire and develop public open space, habitat, or recreation facilities.5

5 Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.210
Nonprofit Organizations

To be eligible to apply for a grant, qualified nonprofit shootings organizations must:

- Be registered with Washington’s Secretary of State as a non-profit.
- Name a successor (see below) at the time of any change in organizational status (for example, dissolution), in accordance with state law.
- Have been active in shooting related activities for at least 1 year.
- Not discriminate on the basis of age, disability, gender, income, race, or religion. For example, “men only” or “women only” organizations are not eligible to apply for grants.

RCO's intent is that non-profit grant recipients maintain their non-profit status. Because this is not always possible, a successor organization must agree in writing to assume any ongoing project responsibilities, should the original organization’s status change. The responsibilities are identified in the grant contract, called a project agreement. A qualified successor is any party eligible to apply for FARR funds and capable of complying with project agreement responsibilities.

Legal Opinion for First Time Applicants

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board requires all organizations wishing to apply for a grant for the first time to submit a legal opinion that the applicant is eligible to do the activities below. The legal opinion is required only once to establish eligibility.

- Receive and expend public funds including funds from the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board;
- Contract with the State of Washington and/or the United States of America;
- Meet any statutory definitions required for Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grant programs;
- Acquire and manage interests in real property for conservation or outdoor recreation purposes;
- Develop and/or provide stewardship for structures or facilities eligible under board rules or policies;
- Undertake planning activities incidental thereto; and
- Commit the applicant to statements made in any grant proposal.
Eligible Project Types

Land Acquisition Projects

Grant recipients may acquire real property in fee title, free and clear of underlying liens. Less than fee interests, such as leases (at least 10 years) and easements, also may be acquired. See Manual 3, Acquisition Projects for more information. Property acquired for range facilities must be developed within 5 years.

Development Projects

Grants may be used to develop or renovate range facilities. This includes equipment and fixed structures related to range use and management, safety, environmental improvements, accessibility, and noise abatement. The following project elements are examples of eligible project items:

- Closed circuit security cameras
- Clubhouses
- Duck towers
- Fencing
- Indoor ranges
- Lighting
- Picnic shelters
- Restrooms
- Roads, paths, parking
- Safety baffles
- Shooting stands
- Signs
- Site preparation, landscaping
- Skeet houses
- Target holders, bullet traps
- Throwing machines
- Utilities
- Voice call machines

See Manual 4, Development Projects for more information about RCO policies on development and renovation projects.

Noise Abatement and Safety Improvement Projects

RCO gives a high priority to noise abatement and safety improvements. Such projects also qualify for a higher level of reimbursement. To be eligible, a grant recipient must add specific elements to an existing facility to significantly protect surrounding, non-range

---

6 Washington Administrative Code 286-30-030 Voice call machines
properties. These elements must provide protection from noise disturbances and projectile hazards originating from the range.

To qualify for this higher funding level, the applicant must identify each eligible item and attach a list to the PRISM application by the application deadline. Once RCO’s director or designee concurs, and RCO’s board approves the items, they become eligible for the higher level of reimbursement. The only items eligible are:

- **Noise Abatement Elements**
  - Land acquisition for buffering purposes
  - Containment structures (such as walls, roofs, berms)
  - Insulation
- **Safety Improvement Elements**
  - Perimeter fencing
  - Land acquisition for buffer purposes
  - Lead abatement and recovery
  - Projectile containment structures (such as walls, roofs, berms, baffles)

### Combination Projects

Combination projects involve acquisition and facility development or renovation. To help ensure timely completion of these projects, at least 1 month before the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board considers approving funding, applicants must secure the property by one of the following methods:

- **Acquisition under the Waiver of Retroactivity policies and procedures** (Manual 3, Acquisition Projects).
- **Have property in escrow pending grant approval. Closing must occur within 90 days after the funding meeting.**
- **Obtain an option on the property that extends past the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board funding meeting. Execution of the option must occur within 90 days after this meeting.**

If the acquisition is for less than fee interest, and if not acquired already via a Waiver of Retroactivity, applicants also must provide draft copies of all leases or easements to RCO for review. Execution of the leases or easements must occur within 90 days after the funding meeting.
For the acquisitions to remain eligible, sponsors must follow all of the requirements and procedures outlined in *Manual 3, Acquisition Projects*.

**Phased Projects**

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board recommends that applicants discuss phasing very expensive or complex projects with RCO staff. Phased projects are subject to the following parameters:

- Approval of any single phase is limited to that phase. No approval or endorsement is given or implied toward future phases.
- Each phase must stand on its merits as a viable or complete recreation experience and is not dependent on the completion of future phases or work.
- Each phase must be submitted as a separate application.

Progress and sponsor performance on previously funded project phases may be considered by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board when making decisions on current project proposals.

If two or more projects are ranked equally through the evaluation process, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board will give preference to a project that has had a previous phase funded by the board.

**Ineligible Projects**

Projects not eligible for FARR funding include those:

- That support uses or fund developments or purchases of equipment that may result in, or allow, projectiles to leave the designated projectile fall zone. If the sponsor’s property is insufficient in size to contain the projectiles used in connection with the grant funded project, purchase or development of suitable containment structures must be included in the grant request.
- That do not meet the FARR Program Range and Course Safety policy.
- Involving only planning or design of range facilities.
- Operation and maintenance costs.
- With deed provisions that have a significant negative impact on public recreational use of the property.
- That unlawfully discriminate among users.
• With areas or facilities that have exclusive lease privileges.

• Projects involving liability insurance only.

**Eligible Costs**

Costs for the following activities are eligible for reimbursement:

**Administration, Engineering, and Construction**

- Bid documents and bid advertising costs
- Construction drawings
- Cultural resources survey
- Demolition and site preparation
- Design and engineering
- Liability insurance for public use of range and training facilities
- Permits
- Purchase of range fixtures and capital equipment, so long as not related to operation and maintenance
- Range certification costs
- Site specific master plans
- Survey
- Testing

**Land Acquisition**

- Allowable land costs
  - Improvements, buildings
  - Land
  - Mineral rights
  - Timber

- Administration

- Incidental costs
  - Applicable taxes
  - Appraisal and review
  - Closing costs
  - Fencing
  - Hazardous substances review
  - Noxious weed control
  - Range certification costs
  - Recording fees
  - Relocation
  - Signs
  - Survey
  - Title reports and insurance
Information about reimbursement limits is contained in RCO Manual 4, Development Projects. RCO will not reimburse more than the grant recipient’s out-of-pocket costs.

**Ineligible Costs**

The following costs are not eligible for funding:

- Expendable shooting supplies including ammunition, targets, bows, arrows, firearms, and hearing and eye protection devices.

- Typical operating costs including, but not limited to, salaries, benefits, operating supplies, utility costs, and insurance (except liability).

- Ceremonial or entertainment expenses.

- Publicity expenses, except legal requirements for public notice.

- Bonus payments of any kind.

- Taxes for which the organization involved would not have been liable to pay.

- Charges incurred contrary to the policies and practices of the organization involved or RCO.

- The cost of contributed materials if their value is not substantiated.

- The value of personal properties, unless specifically approved in advance by RCO’s director.

- The value of discounts not taken.

- Appliances, office equipment, furniture, utensils, tools, supplies.

- Donations or contributions made by the participant, such as to a charitable organization.

- Planning and engineering fees in excess of the amount allowed in this grant program, unless otherwise approved by RCO’s director.

- Charges in excess of the lowest acceptable bid when competitive bidding is required, unless RCO’s director authorizes the higher costs, in writing, before the award of a contract.

- Damage judgments arising from acquisition or development of a facility, whether determined by a judicial decision, arbitration, or otherwise.
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- Purchase of equipment to be used for the construction of shooting and archery facilities such as tractors, hand tools, power tools, mowers, and hearing and eye protection devices.

- Site and facility maintenance and operational costs.

- Indirect costs (organization operations costs not directly associated with implementing the approved project).

- Fines, penalties, interest expenses, deficit and overdraft charges, and losses from uncollectible accounts.

- Land acquisition and development costs incurred before execution of the project agreement, except land purchases made under RCO’s written waiver of retroactivity and allowable pre-agreement architectural and engineering costs.

Requirements and Other Things to Know

Legal Requirements

All grant recipients must:

- Operate and maintain the site to ensure safe conditions; compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and building codes; and inclusion of all segments of the population.

- Regularly open usable facilities for
  - Law enforcement personnel or
  - The general public who possess Washington concealed pistol or hunting licenses or
  - People enrolled in hunter safety or firearm safety classes

- Regularly provide the facilities at no fee to hunter safety and firearm safety classes, except that archery ranges need not be open to firearm use.

- Post the hours of range availability for public and law enforcement use.

---

7 Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.210
• Repay the entire grant amount if use of the range facility is discontinued less than 10 years from the date of the last RCO reimbursement and acceptance of the project as complete.

Public Use

Providing for Public Use

In addition to the legal requirements outlined above, sponsors awarded FARR grants must provide for public use. “Public use” means members of the general public who possess a concealed pistol permit, hunter or firearm safety education class participants, law enforcement personnel, and the general community, which includes people not affiliated with the applicant’s organization. All must have regular access to the shooting facility. Competitive events that require participants to be certified before use do not meet RCO’s definition of public use. See evaluation Question 9. Mandating the purchase of a membership or automatically enrolling a guest as a member does not qualify as public use.

Minimum Availability

Funded projects will be open for public use, as defined above, a minimum 8 hours each month. This assumes the facility is open for other uses at least 8 hours a month. In months when the facility is available for other uses less than 8 hours, it will be open to the public the same number of hours that it is open for other purposes.

Notices

Information about facility availability to the public must be easily accessible and included wherever hours of operation are provided.

Public Hearing and Meeting Requirements

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board’s role is to assist in funding grant proposals and not to act as a hearings board before which land use issues are argued. The board’s intent is that all proposals, to the extent possible, have the support of the local community and be ready for implementation to ensure the maximum benefit is gained from the grants.

For this reason, the board’s funding session should not be the first public meeting in which the interested parties have a chance to express views on a project. RCO requires applicants to hold at least one public hearing (governmental applicants) or an advertised, open public meeting (nonprofit organizations). For government applicants, the public hearing is advertised through the government’s open public meeting process. For nonprofit organizations, the public meeting must be advertised in a local newspaper where the range facility is located.
Applicants must provide documentation to RCO that a hearing about the project was held. Specifically, applicants must conduct hearings or meetings if their projects will buy or develop a range facility where none currently exists or result in a substantial new external impact on the surrounding area.

Whenever possible, RCO encourages applicants to meet these requirements in as convenient a manner as possible. For example, applicants may combine the FARR public meeting with other meetings that may have been scheduled.

Applicants must provide a minimum of 10 days notification to everyone who may reasonably be expected to have an interest in attending. After the hearing or meeting, applicants must provide RCO with a Public Hearing/Meeting Certification (Appendix A). This must be completed by FARR’s technical completion deadline.

If an applicant’s project is selected for funding, the applicant must provide a follow-up public notice to those people that attended the public meeting to inform them of the project’s final design. The follow-up public notice may be sent via regular postal mail or e-mail. A copy of the follow-up public notice must be provided to RCO.

**Universal Barrier-Free Access**

Sponsors must ensure that all facilities paid for with Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grants meet current barrier-free standards. Several laws and codes provide construction designs that meet these standards. Facilities not covered by these laws and codes are not exempt from barrier-free access. Sponsors must, to the highest degree reasonable, make project elements accessible. See the Universal, Barrier-free Access section in *Manual 4, Development Projects* and the RCO Web site.

Plans, project applications, cost estimates, and construction drawings must reflect compliance with facility access and signing requirements.

**Sustainability**

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board encourages greater use of sustainable design, practices, and elements in grant-funded projects. To the board, “sustainability” means to help fund a recreation or conservation project that minimizes impact to the natural environment while maximizing the project’s service life.

Sponsors are encouraged to incorporate sustainable design, practices, and elements into the scope of a project. Examples may include use of recycled materials; native plants in landscaping; pervious surfacing material for pathways, trails, and parking areas; energy efficient fixtures; onsite recycling stations; and composting.
Sources of information on sustainability related to shooting ranges are:


**Control of the Land**

To protect investments made by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board and to assure public access to those investments, sponsors must have adequate control of project sites to construct, operate, and maintain the areas, and ensure there is enough property to have an adequate projectile fall zone for the term required by the grant program and project agreement. This “control and tenure” may be through land ownership, a lease, use agreement, or easement. See *Manual 4, Development Projects* for more information.

The application must identify all outstanding rights or interests held by others in the land to be developed and must describe current and proposed zoning. If any outstanding rights or zoning later prove to be incompatible with public use of the site, the grant recipient must remedy the situation to RCO's satisfaction. This includes replacing any facilities developed with FARR funds with other facilities of at least equal value, use, and location; or repaying the FARR grant. This must be done at no cost to the FARR program.

The applicant must provide evidence that all of the following conditions have been satisfied when proposing a development on leased land:

- At least 10 years will remain on the lease from the date of RCO's last reimbursement and acceptance of the project as complete.

- The lease is not revocable.

- The development and its intended uses are consistent with and legally permissible under the conditions of the lease.

**Cultural Resources Review**

Executive Order 05-05, Archaeological and Cultural Resources ([www.governor.wa.gov/office/execorders/oearchive/eo_05-05.pdf](http://www.governor.wa.gov/office/execorders/oearchive/eo_05-05.pdf)), directs state agencies to review all capital construction projects and land acquisition projects carried out for the purpose of capital construction.
Such projects must be reviewed for potential impacts to cultural resources\(^8\) to ensure that reasonable action is taken to avoid adverse impacts to these resources.

**Review Process**

RCO initiates the review process. Using materials submitted as part of the application, including the cultural resource reporting forms, RCO submits projects to the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation and affected Native American tribes for a determination of possible impacts to archaeological and cultural resources and whether consultation will be required.

Any consultation required by the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation will involve the applicant, the archaeology department, RCO, and any affected tribes. The outcome of the consultation may require an applicant to complete a cultural resources survey and a continuation of the consultation to determine next steps. The consultation must be completed before RCO will disburse any funds for construction.

State agency sponsors act as lead for ensuring compliance with archaeological, historic, and cultural resources requirements. RCO will not initiate review or consultation for projects sponsored by another state agency.

See [Manual 3, Acquisition Projects](#) or [Manual 4, Development Projects](#) for more information.

**Range and Course Safety**

RCO does not certify ranges or courses as being safe. However, RCO does require range and course facilities funded by the FARR program to be acquired, planned, designed, operated, and maintained to contain bullets, shot, arrows, or other projectiles within the facility property and to minimize noise impacts to adjacent and nearby properties. Therefore, all funded projects that directly benefit shooting activities or noise and safety abatement projects must be constructed to contain all projectiles. Depending upon the type of facility, the design must meet guidance published by the National Rifle Association,\(^9\) National Field Archery Association,\(^10\) and the Archery Trade Association.\(^11\)

To determine whether a project meets RCO policy, each project that directly benefits shooting activities or noise and safety abatement projects must be evaluated by a certified advisor from one of the associations identified above, a professional engineer, or other qualified professional consultant with experience and expertise in the evaluation and design

---

\(^8\) Cultural resources means archeological and historical sites and artifacts, and traditional areas or items of religious, ceremonial, and social uses to affected tribes.

\(^9\) The current National Rifle Association guide is called *The Range Source Book (2013)*.

\(^10\) The current National Field Archery Association guide is called the *Archery and Bowhunter Range Guidelines*.

\(^11\) The current Archery Trade Association guide is the *Archery Park Guide (2012)*.
of ranges and courses. Project sponsors must provide documentation of the projects’ evaluations by one of the above reviewers before receiving reimbursement from RCO. Costs associated with meeting this requirement are eligible administration expenses in the grant.

**User Fees and Charges**

User or other fees may be charged for areas and facilities acquired or developed with FARR grants, except that no facility fee shall be charged for firearm or hunter safety education classes. See RCO *Manual 3, Acquisition Projects*, *Manual 4, Development Projects*, and *Manual 7, Long-Term Obligations* for more information.

**Prevailing Wage**

When using contracted labor, prevailing wages must be paid on all projects financed with state money. Prevailing wage is defined as the hourly wage, usual benefits and overtime, paid in the largest city in each county, to the majority of workers, laborers, and mechanics. Prevailing wages are established by the Department of Labor and Industries for each trade and occupation employed in the performance of the work. They are established separately for each county, and are reflective of local wage conditions. Consult with the Department of Labor and Industries to determine whether prevailing laws apply to your project.

FARR funds are state grant funds. However, if federal funding is part of the project match, the Davis/Bacon Act must be followed unless the federal funding source specifically is identified as exempt from the requirement in the federal agreement. In instances where a project is funded by both state and federal sources, the higher of the two wages must be paid.

**Bid Competition for Purchased Equipment Services**

A competitive bid process must be used for expendable property, equipment, and other services, including construction, purchased with FARR funds. This requirement is to ensure that these services are obtained as effectively as possible, without any real or apparent conflict of interest. Such conflicts arise when a person related to the FARR project sponsor (agent, family member, partner, etc.) has a financial or other interest in the organization selected to provide the needed service. Avoid such conflicts by:

- Conducting all procurement transactions in an open and free competitive manner.
- Keeping a file on bid procedures (request for proposals, bid invitation, independent cost estimates, selection process, etc.)
- Making awards to bidders with offers most responsive to solicitations, considering price, quality, and other factors.
Liability Insurance

At the time a sponsor signs a project agreement with RCO, and continuing throughout the
grant recipient’s obligation to the project (a minimum of 10 years from final reimbursement)
each grant recipient must carry liability insurance with the coverage being for at least
$1 million. The policy must insure by name the State of Washington, the Recreation and
Conservation Funding Board, and Recreation and Conservation Funding Board members.

The policy must require the insurer to give RCO at least 30 days notice before cancellation
by the insurer, and no more than 10 days notice following termination by the insured.

The grant recipient may use either its funds, FARR grant funds, or a combination thereof, to
pay premiums on this policy. Payment of liability insurance is limited to 2 years per project.
While liability insurance is an eligible cost, it may not be the sole subject of a grant
application.

No person or organization may look to RCO to recover a claim due to this requirement. That
is, if death, injury, or damage to property at or near the FARR facility occurs, claimants must
look to the grant recipient or others for recovery.

Project Agreement

A grant recipient must sign RCO’s project agreement to receive grant funds. To begin work
before signing the agreement may jeopardize the entire project. Review the Pre-Agreement
Cost section to determine eligible pre-agreement work.

Project Area Stewardship and Ongoing Obligations

A RCO grant comes with long-term obligations to maintain and protect the project area
after a project is complete. The long-term obligations for the FARR are in state law\(^\text{12}\), the
project agreement, and Manual 7, Long-term Obligations. A template of the project
agreement may be found on RCO’s Web site at

RCO recognizes that changes occur over time and that some facilities may become obsolete
or the land needed for something else. The law discourages casual discards of land and
facilities by ensuring that grant recipients replace the lost value when changes or
conversions of use take place.

In general, the project area funded with an RCO grant must remain dedicated to the use as
originally funded, such as providing places for shooting sport recreation, for as long as

defined in the project agreement. For acquisition projects, the period is determined by the rights that are acquired. For development and restoration projects, the period is determined by the type of control and tenure provided for the project.

A conversion occurs when the project area acquired, developed, or restored with RCO grant funding is used for purposes other than what it was funded for originally. See RCO Manual 7, Long-term Obligations for a discussion of conversions and the process required for replacement of the public investment. Non-compliance with the long-term obligations of an RCO grant may jeopardize an organization’s ability to obtain future RCO grants.

**Acquisition Projects Conversion Policy**

If a conversion occurs less than 10 years after the office’s final reimbursement, the board shall approve such a conversion under the following conditions:

- All practical alternatives to the conversion have been evaluated and rejected on a sound basis;
- The sponsor shall pay back the entire grant amount to the firearms range account; and
- The sponsor shall comply with other board adopted policies as applicable.

If a conversion occurs 10 or more years after the office’s final reimbursement, the board shall approve such a conversion under conditions which assure:

- The substitution of other land of at least equal fair market value at the time of conversion and nearly as feasible equivalent usefulness and location as the original project; or
- By other remedy as adopted by the board to satisfy the conversion of use.

---

13 Washington Administrative Code 286-30-030(3) and (4)
Section 3: Money Matters

In this section, you’ll learn about:

- Matching resources
- Match requirements
- Types of match
- Grant limits
- Records and reimbursement

Matching Resources

Match is the project sponsor’s contribution to a project. Most Recreation and Conservation Funding Board programs require sponsors to match grants to meet statutory requirements, demonstrate a local commitment to the project, and to make funds available to a greater number of projects.

Eligible Match

A grant recipient’s matching contribution may include one or a combination of the following:

- Appropriations and cash
- Bonds – council or voter
- Conservation futures
- Corrections labor
- Donations – the value of using cash, equipment use, labor, land, materials, property rights, or services (see note below)
- Force account – the value of using sponsor’s equipment, labor, or materials (see note below)
Section 3: Money Matters

- Grants – federal, state, local and private (see notes below)
- Local impact and mitigation fees (see note below)
- Proceeds of a letter of credit or binding loan commitment
- Other Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grants that meet the requirements outlined below

Not Allowed as Match

- Existing grant recipient assets such as real property or developments
- Costs that are double counted (that is, a cost incurred by a grant recipient in a project that has been reimbursed by RCO shall not be used in another RCO project)
- Cost that are not eligible for grant assistance
- Costs incurred before the execution of a project agreement
- Costs associated with meeting a mitigation requirement for another project or action

Match Requirements

Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grants are intended to be the last source of funding for a project. In other words, before the board awards the grant, the required match must be secured so the project can move forward. Board grants also are intended to supplement the existing capacity of a sponsor, not to replace the existing funding that would have been used for a project without grant funding.

All matching resources must be:

- An integral and necessary part of the approved projects,
- Part of the work identified in the application and project agreement,
- For eligible categories and work types, and
- Committed to the project.

Rules governing projects apply to the grant applicant’s match. For example, if a grant applicant uses donated land as match, RCO rules requiring the land to remain in public reaction use forever apply to the donated land as well.

In many grant programs, particularly those where match is not required, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board adopted evaluation criteria to encourage applicants to contribute matching shares. This typically is reflected in the criteria when points are given for...
non-governmental contributions or for exceeding the minimum match requirements. Applicants should carefully review the evaluation instrument to determine if this applies to your project.

Except for grant applications submitted within the same biennium, matching resources or board grant funds committed in one board-funded project must not be used as match in another board funded project.

RCO will not reimburse more than the sponsor’s “out-of-pocket” costs.

**Required Match**

Applicants must match FARR funds as follows:

- For noise abatement or safety improvement projects or project elements provide 33 percent match (existing range facilities only).

- For all other projects or project elements, provide 50 percent match.

- Locals and nonprofits - At least 10 percent of the total project cost must be provided in the form of a non-state, non-federal contribution.

**Match Availability and Certification**

Applicants must have matching funds available for expenditure before the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board approves funding. All applicants are required to sign and submit a certification of match form to ensure their projects are included in the funding recommendation. Applicants are advised to plan ahead for projects whose match depends on citizen votes or passage of ballot measure. This certification is due at least 30 days before Recreation and Conservation Funding Board action.\(^\text{14}\) The forms and deadlines for certifying match are on the RCO Web site.

RCO may declare projects ineligible if there is no guarantee that matching funds are available and those projects may be passed over in favor of projects with the match in place. Such decisions are based on the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board’s confidence in the applicant’s ability to have the match in place when required.

---

\(^{14}\) Washington Administrative Code 286-13-040 (3)
Types of Match

Donations and Force Account

Donations are eligible only as matching funds and are not reimbursable. This means RCO will not pay more than the sponsor’s out of pocket expenses. Valuing donations of equipment, labor (including inmates, community service labor, and volunteers), and material is discussed in Manual 8, Reimbursements. RCO strongly encourages applicants to secure written confirmation of all donations you plan to use as match and attach the donation letters to your PRISM Online application.

Donated land must expand existing recreation lands or stand on its own as a viable recreation area. Review Manual 3, Acquisition Projects before taking title to property that will be donated and used as match. Manual 3 outlines the requirements for valuing the property and for securing a donation statement from the seller.

Force account refers to use of a sponsor’s staff (labor), equipment, or materials. These contributions are treated as expenditures.

Other Grants

In some cases, a sponsor may use funds awarded from a separate grant program as its match. Other grants are eligible as long as the purposes are similar and grant sources do not restrict or diminish the use, availability, or value of the project area.

The eligibility of federal funds to be used as a match may be governed by federal and state requirements and thus will vary with individual program policies.

Applicants must clearly identify in the grant application all grants to be used as match. RCO will help you determine if the source is compatible with Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grants.

RCO Grants as Match

Another Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grant or Salmon Recovery Funding Board grant may be used to help meet the match requirements if:

- The grants are not from the same Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grant program,
- Only elements eligible in both grant programs are counted as the match,
- Each grant is evaluated independently and on its own merits, as if the match were coming from elsewhere, and
• Except for state agencies, at least 10 percent of the total project cost is provided in the form of a non-state, non-federal contribution.

Note: When another Recreation and Conservation Funding Board grant is used as match, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board certification of match will be tentative, conditioned on receipt of the other grant or on the sponsor providing the match from other resources. To prevent a backlog of unspent grants, the sponsor must finish the project by the earliest complete date of the two grants.

For evaluation scoring purpose, an RCO grant used as match will not count toward the award of matching share points. 15

Mitigation Funds as Match

The Recreation and Conservation Funding Board allows use of impact fees and mitigation cash payments, such as money from a fund established as a mitigation requirement, as match if the money has been passed from the mitigating entity to an eligible applicant, and the board’s grant does not replace mitigation money, repay the mitigation fund, or in any way supplant the obligation of the mitigating entity.

Grant Limits

While an applicant may submit more than one application, RCO’s contribution to any single project will not exceed $150,000. Each project is limited to a single site. See Manual 4, Development Projects for limits on architectural and engineering costs.

The grant recipient is responsible for cost overruns. Also, the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board will not reimburse more than the sponsor’s actual expenditures.

All Projects: Administration, Architecture, Engineering

Direct administrative costs for acquisition of real property are limited to no more than 5 percent of the total acquisition cost.

Architecture and engineering costs for development or renovation projects are limited to 20 percent of the total development or renovation costs.

Additional information about eligibility and reimbursement maximums for these elements in Manual 3, Acquisition Projects (administration costs) and Manual 4, Development Projects (architectural and engineering costs).

15 Resolution 2014-06
Funds Must Augment

RCO intends that FARR funding enhance the capabilities of range providers. It is designed to achieve results that would not be possible without state funding. Therefore, it shall not replace any funding that would otherwise be available.16

Records and Reimbursement

Applicants must keep detailed records of all funded project costs including force account values and donated contributions. Refer to Manual 8, Reimbursements for details and instructions regarding audits, record retention, and documents required for reimbursement.

16 Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.210
Section 4: Project Selection

In this section, you’ll learn about:

✅ How project evaluation works
✅ FARR advisory committee
✅ Evaluation criteria

How Project Evaluation Works

Project evaluation is based on a set of questions adopted by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board. The questions are created from statutory and other criteria developed through a public process. The evaluation questions for each category may be found in the following pages.

There are two sections to the evaluation criteria: Advisory committee or team-scored questions and RCO staff-scored questions. In the first section, the advisory committee (see below) uses subjective criteria to score each project. Scores are based on each applicant’s response to evaluation questions, graphics presented during the evaluation meeting or included in the application, and summary application material made available in advance of the project’s evaluation.

In the second section, RCO staff scores the projects using objective measures, such as matching share, population, and conformance to growth management planning. Scores are based on material submitted by applicants and information obtained from the State Department of Commerce.

Scores from sections one and two are combined for a project’s total evaluation score. The resulting ranked lists are the basis for funding recommendations to the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board, which makes the final funding decisions in an open public meeting.

Funding Priorities

RCO gives funding preference to projects that have specific features. This preference is shown in the evaluation instrument that places a high priority on projects that excel by:
• Filling a real need.
• Contributing to the safety and noise reduction of a range facility.
• Adhering to high design standards.
• Expanding or renovating existing shooting facilities.
• Providing for legislatively mandated users: license holders, hunter and firearm safety education class participants, or law enforcement.
• Providing regular and convenient hours for public use.
• Reducing threats to the availability of a FARR opportunity.
• Presenting a budget that appropriately estimates and explains costs.
• Bringing a high proportion of matching value to the project (cash, goods, services, etc.).

**FARR Advisory Committee**

The FARR advisory committee’s role is to recommend policies and procedures to RCO for administering grant funds and to review, evaluate, and score grant applications. The resulting ranked list is the basis for funding recommendations to the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board. The board makes the final funding decision in an open public meeting.

In recruiting members for the committee, RCO seeks to appoint people who possess a statewide perspective and are recognized for their experience and knowledge of a variety of shooting sports in Washington.


RCO’s director may appoint *ex officio* members to the committee to provide additional representation and expertise.

**Do Not Fund Recommendation**

Occasionally during evaluations, the advisory committee may express significant concerns about a project, such that it would like to discuss a “Do Not Fund”

---

17 Pending approval by the Recreation and Conservation Funding Board in July 2014
recommendation. If this occurs, the advisory committee may discuss its concerns at the post-evaluation meeting, which takes place after application scores are tabulated.

If a “Do Not Fund” recommendation is scheduled to be considered, RCO will notify the applicant in writing, identify the significant concerns expressed by the evaluators, and invite the applicant to attend the post-evaluation meeting to respond to questions. The applicant also may submit a written response to the evaluators’ concerns. To ensure all projects are treated equally, no additional testimony from applicants or visitors is taken at the post-evaluation meeting. The advisory committee determines a “Do Not Fund” recommendation by a simple majority vote of the committee members that participated in application evaluations.

RCO staff will forward to the board a summary of the “Do Not Fund” recommendation and any committee member comments. The board will consider the advisory committee’s recommendation at a regularly scheduled public meeting, before the ranked list is adopted (consideration may take place at the same meeting, but the “Do Not Fund” recommendation will be discussed before the ranked list is adopted). The board retains discretion in awarding all grant funds.

**General Evaluation Policies**

While evaluation meetings are open to anyone, they are not public hearings. As such only authorized applicant representatives may address the evaluation team. At these meetings, an RCO staff member serves as moderator. Scoring is confidential Scoring instructions are contained in the evaluation instrument. Following the meeting, all scores are tabulated and compiled to establish a ranked list of projects.
### Evaluation Criteria

#### FARR Evaluation Criteria Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scored by</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Multiplier/Maximum Points</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Immediacy of threat</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>Combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Project design</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>Combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Impact on surrounding property*</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Expansion or renovation</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Health and safety</td>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Budget development</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mandated uses</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Public access</td>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Need satisfaction</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCO Staff</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Applicant match</td>
<td>-/5</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCO Staff</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Growth Management Act compliance</td>
<td>-/0</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Points Possible for Existing Sites=95**  
**Total Points Possible for New Sites=90**

*Applies only to existing sites and projects certified as qualifying for a higher funding level. See Question 3.*
Advisory Committee Scored

1. **Need.** To what extent is this type of FARR project needed in the service area?

   This question measures the need for this type of project. It is closely related to Question 10, which measures how well this proposal actually fulfills this need. Begin by displaying a graphic that describes the area to be served by this project. That is typically the area from which about 80 percent of the facility’s users will come.

   Considerations:
   - What are this area’s range needs and how reliable is the support information?
   - What is the role of safety and/or noise related to the stated need? Explain.
   - What is the service area’s population and estimated growth, and what major annual range events currently take place?
   - Within the service area of this project, what related opportunities exist? Describe.

   ▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 3.
   - 0 points Insufficient or no evidence presented.
   - 1-2 points Limited or modest need.
   - 3 points Moderate to above average need.
   - 4-5 points Unusually high to urgent need.

Revised December 2002.

2. **Threat Immediacy (acquisition and combination projects only).** To what degree will implementation of this proposal reduce the impact of a threat to the future availability of this opportunity?

   An example is a proposed land acquisition for a shooting facility. If it can be demonstrated that the site will be lost to another use within 3 years, the threat immediacy would be rated “high.” Considerations include:
   - How clearly identified and imminent is the threat?
   - How vulnerable is the facility to this threat? That is, will the threat have a small, medium, or large impact on the quality of the opportunity or its availability for public use?
What alternatives are available to avoid the threat?

⚠️ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 2 for acquisition projects.

- **0 points** Insufficient evidence presented or there is no threat.

- **1-2 points** Minimal threat; the FARR program opportunity is susceptible only marginally to this threat, which may arrive within 36 months.

- **3 points** Medium threat; the FARR program opportunity is susceptible moderately to this threat, or even though the threat is significant and due to arrive within 36 months, it only is under serious consideration and may not actually occur.

- **4-5 points** High threat; the site is very vulnerable to this type of threat
  
  **And** it has been shown that the threat will arrive within 36 months,

  **or** a threat has occurred, or is imminent, and has led some entity to acquire rights in the land at the request of the applicant agency/organization

  **or** RCO has granted a written waiver of retroactivity that advisory committee members feel has merit based on a threat situation.

3. **Project Design (development and combination projects only).** Has this project been designed in a high quality manner?

Does the design agree with generally accepted practices? For example:

- **Environment.** How are aesthetic, accessibility, and environmental issues addressed? If applicable, how are lead recovery, soil, and water conditions addressed?

- **Sustainability.** How does the project design include sustainability features or shooting range best management practices?

- **General.** If this is a new facility project, is it designed for ease of maintenance and traffic flow, operation of several types of shooting experiences simultaneously, etc.? Is the site's size, location, and topography appropriate?

- **Small works.** The above considerations may not fully apply to projects composed of one or two small items, such as toilets, fencing, or lighting. In such cases, consider how the items may contribute to the entire facility's general design features.
Point Range: 0-5. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 2 for development projects and 1 for combination projects.

0 points  Poor. Insufficient evidence presented, or the design is inappropriate for the intended uses.

1-2 points  Moderate. The design, or contribution to the over-all design, does a fair job of addressing intended uses.

3 points  Good. The design, or contribution to the over-all design, is adequate and reasonable for intended uses.

4-5 points  Excellent. The design, or contribution to the over-all design, is outstanding.

Revised January 2014, Resolution 2014-05

4. **Impact on Surrounding Property.** How much will this project protect surrounding properties from noise impacts and/or projectile hazards originating from the range?

This question may be addressed only by applicants that have had noise abatement and/or safety elements certified by RCO’s director or designee as qualifying for a higher funding level, and are seeking to improve existing range sites. For additional information, see “Legal Requirements” and “Noise Abatement and Safety Improvement Projects” in this manual.

This question supports the 1996 amendments to Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.720 by encouraging the reduction of impacts to land that surrounds FARR facilities and improvements to older facilities. Certified elements only, for existing acquisition or development sites.\(^{18}\)

**Noise Abatement.** Consider the degree to which the proposal will help reduce impacts on surrounding properties by lessening auditory disturbances. That is, does the project add:

- Land for buffer purposes.
- Containment structures (walls, roofs, berms, baffles).
- Sound insulation.

**Safety.** Neither RCO nor its advisory committee will evaluate the degree to which a range is safe or not. Responses to this question are meant solely to suggest, for

---

\(^{18}\)Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.720
discussion purposes, the role of this specific project in contributing to improving safety on surrounding properties. That is, does the project add:

- Perimeter fencing for safety purposes?
- Land for buffer purposes?
- Projectile containment structures (walls, roofs, berms, baffles)?

▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points.

0 points Poor. Insufficient evidence presented, or this proposal will have no effect on noise or safety issues.

1-2 points Fair. This project improves an existing range by reducing noise impacts and/or improving safety conditions on surrounding land to a small degree.

3 points Good. This project improves an existing range by reducing noise impacts and/or improving safety conditions moderately.

4-5 points Excellent. This project improves an existing range by reducing noise impacts and/or improving safety conditions markedly.

Revised March 1997

5. Expansion and Renovation. Will the project effectively expand or renovate an existing facility?

This question recognizes that expansion or phased projects generally provide greater benefit-to-cost ratios than new projects. For example, projects that add to existing FARR facilities frequently provide greater management flexibility and resource diversity.

▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points.

0 points Poor. Insufficient evidence presented or the project does not effectively expand or renovate an existing facility.

1-2 points Low. The project is primarily concerned with expansion or renovation of utilities (water, electricity, etc.).

3 points Medium. The project is primarily concerned with expansion or renovation of support facilities (restrooms, clubhouses, picnic shelters, parking areas), or the project is some combination of
expansion or renovation of support facilities, utilities, and/or direct shooting facilities.

4-5 points High. The project primarily consists of expansion or renovation of facilities that directly involve shooting or archery activities (firing lines, target lines, pits, backstops, side berms, safety baffles, etc.).

6. Health and Safety. How much will this project improve the health and safety qualities of the range property. How does your project address the safety guidelines required in the FARR program?

Neither RCO nor its advisory committee will evaluate the degree to which a range is safe or not. Responses to this question are meant solely to suggest, for discussion purposes, the role of this specific project in improving the health and safety of the facility. That is, does the project add:

Fencing for buffer or safety purposes?

Projectile containment structures (walls, roofs, berms)?

Sound limiting elements?

Improved range firing line separations, the communication of cease-fire orders (especially to the visually and hearing impaired), or similar elements?

Improved safety related health conditions, such as the provision of sanitary facilities or lead containment and abatement?

Has the project design been reviewed by an independent range safety specialist? Are costs associated with an independent range safety evaluation included in the application cost estimate?

▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 3.

0 points Poor. Insufficient evidence presented, or this proposal will have no effect on health or safety issues.

1-2 points Fair. This project will improve health and safety conditions.

3 points Good. This project will improve health and safety conditions moderately.

---

19 Revised Code of Washington 79A.25.720
4-5 points Excellent. This project will markedly improve health and safety conditions.

Revised March 1997

7. Budget Development. Is the budget appropriately developed with sufficient detail to ensure a successful, cost-effective project?

Considerations include:

Is there parity or disparity between the applicant’s cost estimates and the perceived real value of the proposed improvements?

What is this applicant’s past record with cost estimates (on-target, overruns, shortages, etc.)?

What portion of the budget elements appear inaccurate, unnecessarily expensive, or unwisely underestimated? Have all important elements been included? Are some omitted? Are unnecessary elements added?

▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points.

0 points Weak. Overall detail is insufficient for a higher rating or the cost estimates for too many elements appear unrealistic or the elements themselves unnecessary.

1-2 points Moderate. Only few cost estimates appear unrealistic or the elements themselves unnecessary.

3 points Good. Each element and cost estimate appears adequate and reasonable for this proposal.

4-5 points Excellent. Not only do virtually all elements appear on-target, but the budget is clear and will contribute to efficient implementation.
8. **Mandated Uses.** To what extent will the applicant make the facility available for range purposes to license holders, hunter or firearm education, or law enforcement?\(^{20}\)

By law, all project facilities must be available and convenient for use by: (1) law enforcement personnel, or (2) citizens possessing Washington concealed pistol licenses, or (3) citizens possessing hunting licenses, or (4) citizens enrolled in hunter safety or firearm safety classes.

Considerations include:

- Number and types of personnel trained annually (enforcement, license holders, safety class participants), and training activities or opportunities offered.
- Number of activities served (archery, pistol, black powder, rifle, shotgun, trap, etc.).
- Factors that limit or extend service (for example, the presence of all-weather facilities; the need to close one opportunity when another is opened; the number of special events that limit other uses).
- How well the proposal addresses any recent program growth among "mandated" uses.

**Point Range: 0-5 points.** Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Limited or unsure. The facility will be of limited use to any of the four groups (see above – enforcement, license holders, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Moderate. Convenient, with frequent and regular hours set for at least one or two groups (see above – enforcement, license holders, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good. Convenient, with frequent and regular hours set for at least three groups (see above – enforcement, license holders, etc.) or the facility serves just one of these groups, but does it well with good attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>Excellent. Convenient, with frequent and regular hours set for all four groups (see above – enforcement, license holders, etc.) or the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

facility serves just one or two of these groups, but does it extremely well with high attendance.

9. **Public Access.** To what extent will the FARR facility be available for access by the general public?\(^\text{21}\)

All FARR projects are required to provide for public use. “Public use” means that the general public (for example, people not affiliated with the applicant’s club) has access to the shooting facility. Competitive events that require “certification” to participate do not meet RCO’s definition of “public use.”

In addition to public use, many clubs and facility managers allow the general public to purchase memberships. This is recognized as increasing the public’s access to shooting facilities.

Public access is measured by determining if appropriate and convenient access is provided to people who wish to shoot at the range.

“Appropriate” combines these public access considerations:

- Is access at times when demand is greatest?
- Is access at times that are cost-efficient for the organization?
- Are any access restrictions based solely on safety considerations?
- Are any membership requirements and costs reasonable?

"Convenient" combines these public access considerations:

- Are access hours regularly allocated each day, month, and year?
- Are access hours at times when potential users can attend?
- Are access hours posted at the facility?
- Are access hours published in a widely available schedule?
- Is it easy for the public to obtain a membership?

\(^{21}\) RCO Policy
10. **Need Satisfaction.** How well does this project satisfy the need identified in Question 1?

Proposals that do the most to satisfy any urgent service area needs will score well here. Those that do little to address such needs, or those where the previously identified needs are not high or urgent (Question 1), will not score as well.

**Considerations:**

- To what extent will this project effectively fulfill a verified and important need? That is, how strong is the link between the previously identified need and this proposal? Explain.

- Is this need met in a similar way elsewhere in the service area? Explain. Consider both formal and informal opportunities and whether or not the other opportunities are struggling, successful, crowded, etc.?

- What is the background and experience level of the personnel assigned to this project? Describe their past successes and “learning opportunities.”

- Is the project named by location or type as a priority in an adopted plan? Explain.

- If this is a land acquisition project, how suitable is the site’s size and location?

Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points, which are later multiplied by 2.

- 0 points  Project does a poor job of addressing service area needs.
- 1-2 points  Project does a marginal job of addressing service area needs.
- 3 points  Project does a good job of addressing service area needs.
4-5 points  Project does an excellent job of addressing service area needs.

Revised December 2002

Scored by RCO Staff

11. Applicant Match. What is the value of applicant contributions to this project?

This question rewards applicants that provide more than 50 percent of the total project cost. Only elements considered reimbursable may be used in calculating the following percentages. Consider cash, goods, services, etc.

▲ Point Range: 0-5 points. Evaluators award a maximum of 5 points; there is no multiplier.

0 points  50 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources

1 point  50.01-55 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources.

2 points  55.01-60 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources.

3 points  60.01-65 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources.

4 points  65.01-70 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources.

5 points  More than 70 percent of the project’s value will be contributed from non-RCO sources.

Revised March 1997

12. Growth Management Act Compliance. Has the applicant made progress toward meeting the requirements of the Growth Management Act?22

State law requires that:

A. Whenever a state agency is considering awarding grants to finance public facilities, it shall consider whether the applicant23 has adopted a

---

22 Revised Code of Washington 43.17.250 (Growth Management Act-preference required.)
23 Applicants in this question are counties, cities, and towns only.
Section 4: Project Selection

comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by Revised Code of Washington 36.70A.040 (“state law”).

B. When reviewing such requests, the state agency shall accord additional preference to applicants that have adopted the comprehensive plan and development regulations. An applicant is deemed to have satisfied the requirements for adopting a comprehensive plan and development regulations if it:

- Adopts or has adopted within the time periods specified in state law;
- Adopts or has adopted by the time it requests a grant or loan; or
- Demonstrates substantial progress toward adopting within the time periods specified in state law. An agency that is more than 6 months out of compliance with the time periods has not demonstrated substantial progress.

C. A request from an applicant planning under state law shall be accorded no additional preference based on subsection (2) over a request from an applicant not planning under this state law.

RCO staff scores this question based on information from the state Department of Commerce, Growth Management Division. If an agency’s comprehensive plan, development regulations, or amendments have been appealed to a Growth Management Act Hearings Board, it cannot be penalized during the period of appeal. Scoring occurs after RCO’s technical completion deadline.

▲ Point Range: -1 to 1 point. RCO staff subtracts a maximum of 1 point.

-1 point Applicant does not meet the requirements of Revised Code of Washington 43.17.250.

0 points Applicant meets the requirements of Revised Code of Washington 43.17.250.

0 points Applicant is a nonprofit organization, or a state or federal agency.

Revised July 1999
Appendix A: Public Hearing and Meeting Form

Project Name ____________________________________________________________

Applicant Name_________________________________________________________

I/we understand that, in summary, it is the policy of the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) that Firearms and Archery Range Recreation program government applicants must hold at least one public hearing and that nonprofit organizations must hold at least one advertised, open public meeting. Specifically, the hearings or meetings are required if the project will acquire or develop a range facility where none currently exists, or will result in a substantial, new, external impact on the surrounding area of an existing range. Based on a review of this policy, I/we have determined that (check the appropriate box):

☐ We are not required to hold a public hearing or meeting and have no plans to do so.

☐ We are not required to hold a public hearing or meeting, but will do so or have done so.

☐ We are required to hold a public hearing or meeting. The following information is available in our files for inspection if needed by RCO:

  o Public notification announcement
  o Agenda
  o Attendance list
  o Summary of the proceedings

Date the hearing or meeting was held __________________________________________

Location of the hearing or meeting __________________________________________

Certified by ________________________________ Signature ______________________ Date
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